AN ANALYSIS OF COMPETENCIES NEEDED BY INTRODUCTORY DATA PROCESSING TEACHERS AS PERCEIVED BY

AUTHORITIES AND TEACHERS OF

DATA PROCESSING

A Dissertation

Presented to

the Faculty of the Graduate School

University of Houston

In Partial Fulfillment

of the Requirements for the Degree

Doctor of Education

by

Nora Jo Martin Sherman

August 1975

. .

The writer expresses deep appreciation to Dr. W. Arthur Allee, Chairman of the doctoral research committee for his guidance throughout the doctoral program. Appreciation is also expressed for the advice and assistance extended to the researcher by Dr. Kenneth W. Brown, Dr. Richard D. Strahan, and Dr. Bette A. Stead.

A special word of gratitude is extended to my husband, Jim, and my daughters, Sonya, Karen, and Sheri for their patience, understanding, and encouragement during this prolonged educational endeavor.

Appreciation is expressed to Mrs. Ruby Clifton, who has been my model, mentor, and inspiration.

A special thanks to Mrs. Patricia Felker for her assistance in typing the dissertation.

N.J.M.S.

iii

AN ANALYSIS OF COMPETENCIES NEEDED BY INTRODUCTORY

DATA PROCESSING TEACHERS AS PERCEIVED BY

AUTHORITIES AND TEACHERS OF

DATA PROCESSING

、

An Abstract of a Dissertation

Presented to

the Faculty of the Graduate School

University of Houston

In Partial Fulfillment

of the Requirements for the Degree

Doctor of Education

by

Nora Jo Martin Sherman

August 1975

ABSTRACT

Sherman, Nora Jo Martin. An Analysis of Competencies Needed by Introductory Data Processing Teachers as Perceived by Authorities and Teachers of Data Processing. Unpublished doctoral dissertation. University of Houston, August 1975.

This study was designed to compile a rank-ordered list of competencies which introductory data processing teachers should possess and to analyze these competencies as perceived by data processing teachers and authorities in the field of business data processing.

The list of competencies used in the initial questionnaire was developed through a review of related literature and from observations of practicing data processing teachers at the high school and junior college level.

The population consisted of American and Canadian business data processing authorities and Texas high school and junior college teachers of introductory data processing.

A three-questionnaire modification of the Delphi technique was used as a tool for gathering, organizing, and sharing opinions of the participants in the study. Participants were asked to rate, revise, and expand upon the first questionnaire which contained a partial list of competencies. In Questionnaire II, participants

v

were asked to rate the revised and expanded list of competencies. The third questionnaire was identical to Questionnaire II except that a black square had been drawn around the rating reflecting the modal consensus and the respondent's rating had been encircled in red. Participants were asked to review their responses in relation to those of the modal consensus and either join the modal consensus or state briefly why they chose to remain outside the modal consensus.

Using the chi-square, significance was determined at the .05 level of confidence on the responses of the three groups to the competency statements.

Based on the findings of this study, three lists of competencies were developed. One list of competencies was rated <u>crucial</u> and <u>highly desirable</u> by business data processing authorities and Texas junior college teachers. The second list of competencies was rated <u>crucial</u> and <u>highly desirable</u> by both business data processing authorities and Texas high school teachers. The third list of competencies was rated <u>crucial</u> and <u>highly desirable</u> by a modal consensus of high school and junior college introductory data processing teachers. Also included in the lists were competencies which received a <u>crucial</u> or <u>highly desirable</u> rating by 50 percent or more of the respondents from either of the groups, but which were outside the modal consensus.

vi

AUTHORITIES AND JUNIOR COLLEGE TEACHERS

Those competencies rated <u>crucial</u> by modal consensus of authorities and junior college teachers are shown here. Reference, by number, is made here to those competencies ranked <u>highly</u> <u>desirable</u> by modal consensus of both groups. A complete listing of competencies receiving varying ratings by the two groups is given in Appendix M, page 212.

Planning: "Knowledge of the end results--what the student is expected to accomplish by the completion of the course." Eighteen competencies were rated highly desirable.

Administration: Four competencies were rated highly desirable.

Instruction--Content: "Knowledge of data processing terminology," "Knowledge and application of data processing functions," "Knowledge of the electronic computer," and "Ability to flow chart." Twelve competencies were ranked highly desirable.

Instruction--Methodologies/Techniques: "Ability to demonstrate flow charting," and "Ability to demonstrate the computer." Nine competencies were rated highly desirable.

<u>Communication:</u> "Provision for teacher-pupil interaction," and "Ability to explain verbally." One competency was rated highly desirable.

Evaluation: Six competencies were rated highly desirable.

Developing Pupil-Self: "Development of ability to follow instructions." Four competencies were rated highly desirable.

Personal Attributes: "Knowledge of the ethical procedures of a professional," and "Establishment of proper teacher behavior as an example to students." Two competencies were rated highly desirable.

AUTHORITIES AND HIGH SCHOOL TEACHERS

Those competencies rated <u>crucial</u> by modal consensus of authorities and high school teachers are presented here. Reference, by number, is made here to those competencies rated <u>highly desirable</u> by modal consensus of the two groups. A complete listing of competencies receiving varying ratings by the two groups is given in Appendix N, page 223.

Planning: "Development of data processing concepts to be learned by the students," and "Knowledge of the end results--what the student is expected to accomplish by the completion of the course." Eighteen additional competencies were rated highly desirable.

Administration: "Provision for repair and maintenance of equipment if needed." Four competencies were rated highly desirable.

Instruction--Content: "Knowledge of data processing terminology," and "Knowledge and application of data processing functions." Eleven competencies were ranked highly desirable.

Instruction--Methodologies/Techniques: "Ability to demonstrate flow charting." Six competencies were rated highly desirable.

<u>Communication</u>: Two competencies were rated highly desirable by modal consensus.

Evaluation: Four competencies were rated highly desirable.

Developing Pupil-Self: "Stressing the need for regular attendance in the classroom." Six competencies were ranked highly desirable. viii

Personal Attributes: "Development of students' confidence by never violating their trust," and "Establishment of proper teacher behavior as an example to students." Two competencies were rated highly desirable.

HIGH SCHOOL AND JUNIOR COLLEGE TEACHERS

Listed below are those competencies rated <u>crucial</u> by modal consensus of authorities and junior college teachers. Reference, by number, is made here to those competencies ranked <u>highly</u> <u>desirable</u> by modal consensus of both groups. A complete listing of competencies receiving varying ratings by the two groups is given in Appendix O, page 237.

Planning: "Knowledge of the end results--what the student is expected to accomplish by the completion of the course." Twenty-five competencies were considered highly desirable.

Administration: Five competencies were rated highly desirable.

Instruction--Content: "Knowledge of data processing terminology," and "Knowledge and application of data processing functions." Fifteen competencies were rated highly desirable.

Instruction--Methodologies/Techniques: "Ability to demonstrate the computer," and "Ability to demonstrate flow charting." Fourteen competencies were rated highly desirable.

<u>Communication</u>: Seven competencies were rated highly desirable by modal consensus.

Evaluation: Seven competencies were rated highly desirable.

Developing Pupil-Self: Nine competencies were ranked highly desirable.

Personal Attributes: "Establishment of proper teacher behavior as an example to students." Ten competencies were rated highly desirable.

The recommendation is made that the competencies rated <u>crucial</u> and <u>highly desirable</u> by the three groups be given immediate consideration in the planning and implementation of a competencybased teacher education program in business education.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
LIST OF TABLES	xviii
Chapter	
1. INTRODUCTION	1
THE PROBLEM	5
Statement of the Problem	5
Purpose of the Study	5
Importance of the Study	5
Limitations of the Study	6
Delimitations of the Study	7
Definition of Terms	7
Business Education	7
Business Data Processing Authorities	8
Business Teacher Education	8
Competency-Based Teacher Education	8
Competency	9
Data Processing	9
Introductory Data Processing Course	9

Pag	ge
-----	----

	Modal Consensus	10
	Bimodal Consensus	10
	Trimodal Consensus	10
	Dodl's Categories	10
	SUMMARY	11
2.	METHOD OF PROCEDURE	12
	DESIGN OF THE STUDY	12
	Development of the Questionnaire	12
	Selection of Classroom Teachers	13
	Selection of Business Data Processing Authorities	14
	Use of the Delphi Technique	15
	Distribution of the Questionnaire	17
	SUMMARY	21
3.	REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE AND RESEARCH	22
	DATA PROCESSING	22
	INTRODUCTORY DATA PROCESSING	28
	DATA PROCESSING TEACHER EDUCATION	30
	COMPETENCY-BASED TEACHER EDUCATION	32

•

•

Chapter	Page
BUSINESS TEACHER COMPETENCIES	34
SUMMARY	37
4. SUMMARY OF DATA	38
THE POPULATION	38
Business Data Processing Athorities	38
High School and Junior College Teachers	39
DEVELOPMENT AND PRESENTATION OF QUESTIONNAIRE I	45
DEVELOPMENT AND PRESENTATION OF QUESTIONNAIRE II	46
PRESENTATION AND INTERPRETATION OF QUESTIONNAIRE III	48
Responses of Authorities and Junior College Teachers	49
Planning	50
Administration	54
Instruction	56
Content	56
Methodologies/Techniques	61
Communication	64
Evaluation	66

•

.

.

.

Chapter	Pa	ge
	Developing Pupil-Self • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	68
	Personal Attributes	70
	Responses of Authorities and High School Teachers	72
	Planning • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	72
	Administration	77
	Instruction	79
	Content	79
	Methodologies/Techniques	84
	Communication	87
	Evaluation	89
	Developing Pupil-Self	91
	Personal Attributes	93
	High School and Junior College Teachers	95
	$Planning \dots \dots$	96
	Administration	.01
	Instruction	.03
	Content	.03
	Methodologies/Techniques 1	.08
	Communication	. 1 1

Chapte	r Pa	ige
	Evaluation	114
	Developing Pupil-Self	116
	Personal Attributes	119
	SUMMARY	121
5.	SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS, AND RECOMMENDATIONS	122
	SUMMARY	122
	CONCLUSIONS	123
	Junior College	124
	Planning	124
	Administration	125
	InstructionContent	125
	InstructionMethodologies/ Techniques	125
	Communication	126
	Evaluation	126
	Developing Pupil-Self	126
	Personal Attributes	126
	High School	127
	Planning	127
	Administration	127
	InstructionContent	128

-

.

.

hapter P	'age
InstructionMethodologies/ Techniques ••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	128
$Communication \cdot \cdot$	1 28
Evaluation	129
Developing Pupil-Self	129
Personal Attributes	130
Junior College and High School	130
Planning	130
Administration	131
InstructionContent	131
InstructionMethodologies/ Techniques • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	132
Communication	132
$Evaluation \dots \dots$	132
Developing Pupil-Self	133
Personal Attributes	133
Conflicting Opinions Among the Three Groups	134
RECOMMENDATIONS	134
PPENDIXES	

-

Α.	Vital Statistics of the Business Education	
	$Program in Texas \cdot \cdot$	137
в.	Competencies Selected by Observations of Practicing Teachers	141

. ..

APPEI	NDIXES	F	Page
C.	Bibliographical List Used to Determine Data Processing Competencies	•	143
D.	Request to Classroom Teachers for Participation in the Study	•	145
E.	Letter of Request to Authorities for Participation in the Study		150
F.	Cover Letter and Questionnaire I		153
G.	Background Information Questionnaire for Teachers		1 68
H.	Follow-up Letter for Questionnaire I	•	1 70
I.	Cover Letter and Questionnaire II	•	172
к.	Cover Letter and Questionnaire III	•	192
L.	Follow-up Letter for Questionnaire III		210
,	Competencies Rated Crucial and Highly Desirable by Authorities and Junior College Teachers	•	212
N.	Competencies Rated Crucial and Highly Desirable by Authorities and High School Teachers	•	223
0.	Competencies Rated Crucial and Highly Desirable by High School and Junior College Teachers	•	237
Р.	Competencies Found to be Significantly Different in the Reporting of the Three Groups	•	249
SELEC	TED BIBLIOGRAPHY	•	258

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1.	Number of Questionnaires Mailed, Number and Percentage of Questionnaires Returned	20
2.	Educational Background of Responding High School and Junior College Teachers	39
3.	Office Work Experience of Responding High School and Junior College Teachers	40
4.	Data Processing Work Experience of Respond- ing High School and Junior College Teachers	41
5.	Experience in Teaching Data Processing of Responding High School and Junior College Teachers	42
6.	Data Processing Courses Taken by High School and Junior College Teachers	43
7.	Data Processing Courses Offered by Respondents' Schools	44
8.	Planning Competencies as Indicated by Authorities and Junior College Teachers, Including Degrees of Freedom, Chi-Square, and Percentages of Responses	51
9.	Administration Competencies as Indicated by Authorities and Junior College Teachers, Including Degrees of Freedom, Chi-Square, and Percentages of Responses	55
10.	Instruction (Content) Competencies as Indicated by Authorities and Junior College Teachers, Including Degrees of Freedom, Chi-Square, and Percentages of Responses	57

\mathbf{v}	v
~1	ົ

.

.

Page

Table

.

11.	Instruction (Methodologies/Techniques) Competencies as Indicated by Authorities and Junior College Teachers, Including Degrees of Freedom, Chi-Square, and Percentages of Responses 62	
12.	Communication Competencies as Indicated by Authorities and Junior College Teachers, Including Degrees of Freedom, Chi-Square, and Percentages of Responses	
13.	Evaluation Competencies as Indicated by Authorities and Junior College Teachers, Including Degrees of Freedom, Chi-Square, and Percentages of Responses	
14.	Developing Pupil-Self Competencies as Indicated by Authorities and Junior College Teachers, Including Degrees of Freedom, Chi-Square, and Percentages of Responses	I
15.	Personal Attributes Competencies as Indicated by Authorities and Junior College Teachers, Including Degrees of Freedom, Chi-Square, and Percentages of Responses	
16.	Planning Competencies as Indicated by Authorities and High School Teachers, Including Degrees of Freedom, Chi-Square, and Percentages of Responses	:
17.	Administration Competencies as Indicated by Authorities and High School Teachers, Including Degrees of Freedom, Chi- Square, and Percentages of Responses	
18.	Instruction (Content) Competencies as Indicated by Authorities and High School Teachers, Including Degrees of Freedom, Chi-Square, and Percentages of Responses 80	

Table

.

able	·	Page
19.	Instruction (Methodologies/Techniques) Competencies as Indicated by Authorities and High School Teachers, Including Degrees of Freedom, Chi-Square, and Percentages of Responses	85
20.	Communication Competencies as Indicated by Authorities and High School Teachers, Including Degrees of Freedom, Chi- Square, and Percentages of Responses	88
21.	Evaluation Competencies as Indicated by Authorities and High School Teachers, Including Degrees of Freedom, Chi- Square, and Percentages of Responses	90
22.	Developing Pupil-Self Competencies as Indicated by Authorities and High School Teachers, Including Degrees of Freedom, Chi-Square, and Percentages of Responses	92
23.	Personal Attributes Competencies as Indicated by Authorities and High School Teachers, Including Degrees of Freedom, Chi-Square, and Percentages of Responses	94
24.	Planning Competencies as Indicated by High School and Junior College Teachers, Including Degrees of Freedom, Chi- Square, and Percentages of Responses	97
25.	Administration Competencies as Indicated by High School and Junior College Teachers, Including Degrees of Freedom, Chi-Square, and Percentages of Responses	102

.

.

•

Table	• • •	Page
26.	Instruction (Content) Competencies as Indicated by High School and Junior College Teachers, Including Degrees of Freedom, Chi-Square, and Percentages of Responses	. 104
27.	Instruction (Methodologies/Techniques) Competencies as Indicated by High School and Junior College Teachers, Including Degrees of Freedom, Chi-Square, and Percentages of Responses	109
28.	Communication Competencies as Indicated by High School and Junior College Teachers, Including Degrees of Freedom, Chi-Square, and Percentages of Responses	113
29.	Evaluation Competencies as Indicated by High School and Junior College Teachers, Including Degrees of Freedom, Chi- Square and Percentages of Responses	115
30.	Developing Pupil-Self Competencies as Indicated by High School and Junior College Teachers, Including Degrees of Freedom, Chi-Square, and Percentages of Responses	117
31.	Personal Attributes Competencies as Indicated by High School and Junior College Teachers, Including Degrees of Freedom, Chi-Square and Percentages of Responses	120

·

•

•

.

Chapter 1

INTRODUCTION

Data processing as a means of producing a desired answer or result through the manipulation of factual matter of all kinds has been a part of the business office since its inception. The term can be applied to recording, classifying, sorting, calculating, summarizing, communicating, and storing of information. ¹ Changes in data processing have occurred through improved methods of handling data. The typewriter, teletype, telephone, and filing systems were all invented to expedite the processing of data.

The most recent and profound invention affecting data processing was the computer, which was first used in the business office in 1954.² Westley described early education in data processing as follows:

The subject matter was so new and strange to everyone that only the people who had created these

²F. Kendrick Bangs, "The Teaching of Data Processing," <u>National Business Education Association Yearbook</u>, No. 10, (Washington, D. C.: National Business Education Association, 1971), p. 60.

¹S. J. Wanous, E. E. Wanous, and Gerald E. Wagner, <u>Fundamentals of Data Processing</u>, (Cincinnati, Ohio: South-Western Publishing Co., 1971), p. 389.

new machines knew what knowledge and skills were necessary to make them work as desired.³

Manufacturers provided the education necessary as a courtesy to purchasers of the machines. Operators were recruited from the ranks of company employees with selection favoring those employees with backgrounds in mathematics.

As computer utilization increased, manufacturers cooperated with school districts in providing this new knowledge by furnishing expensive pieces of equipment at discounted prices. Early teachers were recruited from the ranks of individuals fortunate enough to have been involved with the processing of data using computers in business offices. Manufacturers still maintained an active involvement in data processing education through workshops and conferences designed to update users and teachers as changes were made in equipment.

The field grew rapidly. In 1971, 48,000 computers were at work in the United States, with projected increases to 85,000 computers in this country by 1975. Reports predicted direct involvement of more than 3,000,000 people in computer operation by 1975.⁴

³John W. Westley, "Data Processing," <u>National Business</u> Education Association Yearbook, No. 10, (Washington, D. C.: National Business Education Association, 1972), p. 167-182.

⁴Wanous, op. cit.

Inprovements in computers resulted in increasing machine speeds. This means that more data goes in and more data comes out; therefore, more clerical help is needed to handle the input and output work. A mathematics background is not necessarily needed in performing these routine clerical tasks. An overview or an introduction to the field of data processing would be an asset. F. Kendrick Bangs recommended that an introductory data processing course be offered at both the high school and junior college level.⁵

Enrollment increases in Texas secondary school business data processing courses reflected an increasing demand for training in this area. Vital Statistics of the Business Education Program in Texas (see Appendix A, page 140) showed 248 pupils enrolled in business data processing courses in 1967-68. The 1973-74 statistics revealed 986 pupils enrolled in business data processing, or a 398 perc ent increase in seven years.

Education in data processing has evolved to a stage of development where adequate teacher training is needed to meet this demand for public education. What competencies should a teacher possess to effectively teach an introductory course in data processing?

⁵Bangs, op. cit.

Development of observable teacher competencies is an innovative approach to education which could aid business education in meeting the challenge of the computer. Competency-Based Teacher Education (CBTE) places emphasis on objectives which are spelled out in advance. Students are told explicitly at the outset of a course what behaviors will be expected of them on exiting from the course. Time is not held as a constant. Achievement is held as a constant and time can vary. CBTE focuses on exiting requirements rather than on entrance requirements.⁶ Competency-Based Teacher Education is a learner-oriented approach to teacher education.

Need for the Study

H. Del Schalock, et al, outlined the following four-part process needed to accomplish a CBTE program:⁷

- 1. Pupil outcomes desired.
- 2. Conditions that bring about the pupil outcomes desired.
- Competencies needed by teachers to provide the conditions that bring about the pupil outcomes desired.

⁶James M. Cooper and Wilford A. Weber, "A Competency-Based Systems Approach to Teacher Education," (Houston, Texas: University of Houston, College of Education, 1974), p. 14.

⁷H. Del Schalock, et al. (ed.) "A Plan for Managing the Development, Implementation and Operation of a Model Elementary Teacher Education Program" (Monmouth, Oregon: Oregon College of Education, 1970), p. 6. (Mimeographed.)

4. Conditions that lead to the knowledge, skill, and sensitivities teachers need to provide the conditions that bring about the pupil outcomes desired.

Item 3 of the Schalock process is of prime importance in implementing a Competency-Based Teacher Education program. In order to describe in advance what a teacher should be able to demonstrate, specific lists of competencies are needed.

THE PROBLEM

Statement of the Problem

A search of the literature revealed that a research base does not exist in the area of competencies needed for effective teaching of introductory data processing.

Purpose of the Study

Therefore, the purpose of this study was to: (1) compile a rank-ordered list of competencies which introductory data processing teachers should possess, and (2) analyze these competencies for agreement or disagreement between the perceptions of Texas data processing teachers and American and Canadian authorities in the field of business data processing.

Importance of the Study

Competency-Based Teacher Education programs have gained sufficient recognition and approval that seventeen states are now basing their certification requirements on competencies.⁸ This analysis of competencies needed by data processing teachers provides a research base for development of competency-based teacher education in the area of introductory data processing. It would also aid administrators in evaluation of existing programs. The list would further provide a sound basis upon which administrative decisions could be made regarding hiring of new teachers as well as evaluation of practicing teachers. Teachers of data processing could benefit from this list as a tool for self analysis to determine possible need for further professional training.

Limitations of the Study

The researcher placed the following limitations on the study:

- 1. This study did not attempt to establish behaviorally stated competencies.
- The competencies suggested by authorities and teachers were all included. Generic competencies were not removed.
- 3. The <u>Business Education Index (1968-1973)</u> and the <u>National Business Education Association Yearbook</u> (1968-1973) were used to compile the authorities list and may not include all articles written on the topic of data processing.

⁸Allen A. Schmieder, <u>The State of the Scene</u>, No. 9 Performance-Based Teacher Education Series, (Washington, D.C.: AACTE, 1973), p. 10.

Delimitations of the Study

The study was conducted under the following delimitations:

- The classroom teacher population surveyed was limited to Texas high school and junior college teachers teaching introductory data processing whose names appeared on either the Texas Education Agency list or on the list compiled by South-Western Publishing Co.
- 2. The review of related research and literature was limited to studies, books, and articles published from 1968 through 1973 in the business education field and may not have included all literature on the subject of data processing.
- 3. The population of data processing authorities was limited to authorities in the United States and Canada who had published two or more data processing related articles in United States business education publications during the period 1968 through 1973.

Definition of Terms

Although the terms used in this study will ordinarily be understood by business teacher educators, several terms are defined as they are used in this study to insure clarity of thought and understanding.

Business Education. This term is used to refer to school learning (1) to develop skills and occupational intelligence in preparation for business occupations; and (2) to make students better consumers of the services of business and better members of the economic structure.⁹

Business Data Processing Authorities. Authors of two or more data processing related articles, research studies, or textbooks published during the past six years (1968-1973) and listed in either the Business Education Index or the National Business Education Yearbook.

Business Teacher Education. Professional preparation of teachers in the field of business education. ¹⁰

<u>Competency-Based Teacher Education (CBTE)</u>. An approach to teacher education stressing performance-based programs and performance goals which are specified, and agreed to, in rigorous detail in advance of instruction. The student must either be able to demonstrate his ability to promote desirable learning or exhibit behaviors known to promote it. He is held accountable for attaining a given level of competence

⁹Herbert A. Tonne and Louis C. Nanassy, <u>Principles</u> of Business Education (4th ed.; New York: McGraw-Hill Book Co., 1970), p. 12.

¹⁰C. A. Nolan, Carlos K. Hayden, and Dean R. Malsbury, <u>Principles and Problems of Business Education</u>, (Cincinnati, Ohio: South-Western Publishing Co., 1967), p. 6.

in performing the essential tasks of teaching. The training institution is held accountable for producing able teachers.¹¹

<u>Competency</u>. A competency is knowledge, skills, and judgment which the student will demonstrate at a predetermined proficiency level before initial and/or continuing certification. ¹²

Data Processing. Manipulation of factual matter of all kinds for the purpose of producing a desired answer or result. The term includes recording, classifying, sorting, calculating, summarizing, communicating, and storing of information, whether manual or by machine. ¹³

Introductory Data Processing Course. A survey course overviewing manual data processing, unit-record data processing, and electronic data processing. The time span covered can vary and equipment may or may not be used. The course may be offered at the high school or junior college level.

¹¹Fred S. Cook, Charlotte L. Neuhauser, and Rita C. Richey, "A Working Model of a Competency-Based Teacher Education System," Department of Vocational and Applied Arts Education, Wayne State University, Detroit, Michigan, p. 2. (Mimeographed.)

^{12&}lt;sub>Ibid</sub>.

¹³S. J. Wanous, E. E. Wanous, and Gerald E. Wagner, <u>Fundamentals of Data Processing</u>, (Cincinnati, Ohio: South-Western Publishing Co., 1971), p. 389.

Modal Consensus. Response which occurs most often in a frequency distribution.¹⁴

Bimodal Consensus. Two responses which are the highest in the distribution and have occurred with the same frequency. ¹⁵

Trimodal Consensus. Three responses which are the highest in the distribution and have occurred with the same frequency.

Dodl's Categories. A taxonomy of teacher competencies, developed by Norman Dodl, which includes the following:

a. Assessing and evaluating student behavior

b. Planning instruction

c. Conducting or implementing instruction

d. Performing administrative duties

e. Communicating

f. Developing personal skills

g. Developing pupil-self¹⁶

¹⁴Deobold B. Van Dalen and William J. Meyer, <u>Understanding</u> <u>Educational Research</u>, (New York: McGraw-Hill Book Company, 1966), p. 334.

¹⁵Ibid.

¹⁶Norman Dodl, The Florida Catalog of Teacher Competencies, (Tallahassee, Florida: Department of Education, 1973), p. 417.

SUMMARY

The most important link between the student and a smooth entry into society and the work force is the teacher. A search of the literature revealed that there is no body of data related to competencies needed by teachers in order to effectively teach introductory data processing.

This study was designed to establish a rank-ordered list of competencies which introductory data processing teachers should possess and analyze these competencies as perceived by Texas data processing teachers and American and Canadian authorities in the business education field. 11

Chapter 2

METHOD OF PROCEDURE

The procedures followed in conducting this study are presented in this section under three major divisions:

 Design of the Study, (2) Development and Distribution of the Questionnaires, and (3) Organization of the Report.

DESIGN OF THE STUDY

The study was designed to contain the following four major steps with several substeps.

Development of the Questionnaire

The initial questionnaire, containing 106 competencies, was developed by the researcher from an observation of practicing data processing teachers and from a review of textbooks, research studies, and journal articles.

During the school year 1973-74, four practicing data processing teachers were observed by the researcher. Two of the teachers taught introductory data processing at the high school level and two taught introductory data processing at the junior college level. A list of nineteen competencies were recorded during these observations. (Appendix B, page 141). A review of research studies, journal articles, and textbooks yielded an additional 87 competencies which were added to the original list. A bibliographical list of literature used in determining this list is found in Appendix C, page 143.

The questionnaire developed from this list categorized the competencies according to the Dodl taxonomy which includes planning, administration, instruction, communication, evaluation, developing pupil-self, and developing personal skills. ¹⁷ The questionnaire was then submitted to a jury, consisting of two business education professors and a class of graduate-level business education students, for assistance in editing.

Selection of Classroom Teachers

A list was provided the researcher by the Texas Education Agency which contained the names and addresses of data processing teachers at the high school and junior college level. South-Western Publishing Company submitted to the researcher a list of data processing teachers appearing on their mailing list. These two lists were combined into one list to comprise the population of teachers to be used in the study. 13

¹⁷Dodl, op. cit.

The lists supplied did not indicate whether the teachers taught <u>introductory</u> data processing. Therefore, the total population of teachers was contacted to establish which teachers taught introductory data processing and to request their participation in the study.

On September 10, 1974, a letter was sent to all teachers listed, and a reply postal card to facilitate answering was enclosed (Appendix D, page 145). A total of 258 letters were sent, 178 to junior college teachers and eighty to high school teachers. Sixty-four junior college teachers (36 percent) and 26 high school teachers (32.5 percent) responded and agreed to participate in the study.

Selection of Business Data Processing Authorities

The <u>Business Education Index</u> (1967-1973) and the <u>National</u> <u>Business Education Association Yearbook</u> (1967-1973) were consulted for authors of data processing articles. Those authors who published two or more articles in the past six years were selected for the initial authorities list. This initial list contained the names of 89 business data processing authorities. The researcher was unable to obtain addresses for three authorities.

On September 10, 1974, letters were sent to 86 authorities briefly describing the study and requesting their participation (Appendix E, page 150). A reply postal card was enclosed to facilitate answering (Appendix E, page 152). Forty-one authorities, or 48 percent, agreed to participate.

Use of the Delphi Technique

A modified Delphi technique was used as a tool for gathering, organizing, and sharing opinions of the participants in the study.

The Delphi technique was originally used to forecast the future. For that purpose, a series of questionnaires were mailed to participants who were anonymous to one another. The first questionnaire asked for a statement of opinion regarding the probable occurrence of future events. The second questionnaire gave estimates of the probability of each event occurring at a given date in the future. Responses to the second questionnaire were collated and returned to the respondents who were asked to revise their estimates. The third-round estimates were made with the knowledge of the responses of other participants. Responses to the third questionnaire were reported back to the participants and, if their responses did not fall within the interquartile range of all conjecture, they were asked to justify or change their positions. ¹⁸

15

¹⁸ W. Timothy Weaver, "The Delphi Forecasting Method," Phi Delta Kappan, LII (January, 1971), 267-271.

This technique has definite advantages over the traditional round-table discussion used at most committee meetings. Expert opinions can be gained without the expense of bringing the experts together in a common meeting place. Opinions expressed are more reflective of the honest viewpoints of experts since undue pressure cannot be placed on minority members of a group by persons of higher rank or authority. ¹⁹

The modified Delphi technique used in this study was modeled after the modification used successfully in the Prather²⁰ and Hebert²¹ studies. These researchers eliminated one questionnaire by preparing an initial questionnaire containing a partial list of competencies which the participants were asked to rate, revise, and expand. Space was provided at the end of each category for expansion of the list. Rating was accomplished

¹⁹Frederick R. Cyphert and Walter L. Gant, "The Delphi Technique: A Cast Study," <u>Phi Delta Kappan</u>, LII (January, 1971), 272-273.

²⁰Helen Prather, "An Analysis of Competencies Needed by Shorthand Teachers as Perceived by Business Teachers and by Authorities in Business Education," (unpublished doctor's dissertation, University of Houston, 1974), pp. 12-14.

²¹Margaret Hebert, "An Analysis of Competencies Needed by Typewriting Teachers as Perceived by Business Teachers and by Authorities in Business Education," (unpublished doctor's dissertation, University of Houston, 1973), p. 23.
using the following criteria: (C) <u>Crucial</u>, (H) <u>Highly Desirable</u>, (D) <u>Desirable</u>, but not absolutely necessary, (N) <u>Nonimportant</u>, (I) <u>Incorrectly stated</u>; needs revision, and (X) <u>Do not use</u>; concept inappropriate.

Distribution of the Questionnaire

On October 13, 1974, Questionnaire I (Appendix F, page 156) was mailed to a sample of 41 authorities, 64 junior college teachers, and 26 high school teachers, along with a stamped return envelope. The letter of transmittal (Appendix F, page 154) accompanying the questionnaire thanked the respondents for agreeing to participate in the study and requested that they rate each competency in this initial questionnaire, revise those competencies they thought could be improved, and add competencies to the list. Authorities, high school, and junior college teachers received identical questionnaires. However, the questionnaires mailed to high school and junior college teachers were accompanied by a covering questionnaire (Appendix G, page 169) requesting background information. This request was not made of authorities.

Follow-up letters (Appendix H, page 170) were mailed on November 12 requesting a prompt return of the completed questionnaire. A total of 35 authorities, 55 junior college teachers, and 21 high school teachers completed and returned the first questionnaire, which represented 87 percent of the sample. Respondent ratings were tallied and analyzed by the researcher. Competencies receiving a number of (N) <u>Nonimportant</u>, or (X) <u>Do not use; concept inappropriate</u> ratings were re-evaluated. None of the competencies were eliminated because, in every instance, the (C) <u>Crucial</u>, (H) <u>Highly Desirable</u>, and (D) <u>Desirable</u>, but not <u>absolutely necessary</u> ratings outnumbered the (N) and (X) ratings. Competencies receiving a number of (I) ratings by respondents were reworded. Comments of respondents were categorized and combined into a typewritten list. The questionnaire was then methodically revamped to reflect the opinions of respondents.

On November 27, 1974, Questionnaire II (Appendix I, page 174) was mailed to 55 junior college teachers, 21 high school teachers, and 35 authorities, along with a letter of transmittal (Appendix I, page 173) and a stamped return envelope. Participants were advised that the questionnaire had been revised to include their suggestions. Questionnaire II asked that the participants rate the revised and expanded list of competencies again using the coding (C) <u>Crucial</u>, (H) <u>Highly Desirable</u>, (D) <u>Desirable</u>, but not absolutely <u>necessary</u>, (N) <u>Nonimportant</u>, (I) <u>Incorrectly stated--needs revision</u>, and (X) <u>Do not use--concept inappropriate</u>. The coding was repeated twice for each competency. High school teachers were asked to indicate their rating of each competency using the coding marked (S). Junior college teachers were asked to indicate their rating of each competency using the coding marked (J). Authorities were asked to respond to the competencies by indicating their rating of each competency for high school teachers in the space marked (S) and their rating of the competency for junior college teachers in the space marked (J). The researcher requested that the completed instrument be returned by December 6, 1974.

A follow-up letter (Appendix J, page 190) was mailed to participants who had not returned the questionnaire by December 16, 1974. A total of 102 questionnaires were completed and returned, 34 from authorities, 48 from junior college teachers, and 20 from high school teachers.

The third questionnaire, mailed to participants January 17, 1975, was identical to Questionnaire II except that a black square had been drawn around the rating reflecting the modal consensus (responses which occurred most often) and the respondents' ratings had been encircled in red. A bimodal consensus was reported with two black squares, and a trimodal consensus was reported with three black squares. Respondents were asked to review their responses in relation to the modal consensus and consider joining the consensus. Respondents remaining outside the modal consensus were asked to give a brief reason for doing so. (Questionnaire III and cover letter are shown in Appendix K, page 192.) On February 7, a follow-up letter was mailed to participants whose responses had not been received. (See Appendix L, page 211). Follow-up telephone calls were made on February 18. By February 24, completed questionnaires had been received from 31 authorities (76 percent of the sample), 44 junior college teachers (69 percent of the sample) and 19 high school teachers (73 percent of the sample).

Table 1, representing the number and percentage of return of the questionnaires, is given below.

Table 1

Number of Questionnaires Mailed Number and Percentage of Questionnaires Returned

Question- naires]	Numbe Sent	r	R	Numbo eturn	er ed	Percentage of Return			
	A*	JC*	HS*	А	JC	HS	A	JC	HS	
I	41	64	26	35	55	21	85	86	81	
II	35	55	21	34	48	20	83	75	77	
III	34	48	20	31	44	19	76	6 9	73	
A* Aut	horities									
JC* Jun	ior Coll	ege Te	achers							
HS* Hig	h School	Teach	ners							

SUMMARY

From the initial list of 89 data processing authorities, requests were made to 86 (three addresses were unavailable). Forty-one authorities (48 percent) agreed to participate. Of the 178 junior college teachers and 80 high school teachers in Texas who were teaching data processing, 64 junior college teachers (36 percent) and 26 high school (33 percent) reported that they were teaching introductory data processing and agreed to participate in the study. Through the modified Delphi technique, the competencies were developed and used for analysis in this study. Participants responding to all three questionnaires included 31 authorities (76 percent), 44 junior college teachers (69 percent), and 20 high school teachers (73 percent).

Chapter 3, which follows, deals with related literature and research.

Chapter 3

REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE AND RESEARCH

A search of the literature revealed an adequacy of material concerning the effect of automation on business and education. Competency-based teacher education literature was available in abundance and was reviewed. Articles published in the <u>National</u> <u>Business Education Association Yearbook and Business Education</u> <u>Index (1967-1973) were reviewed for identification of authorities.</u> Textbooks, research studies, and journal articles were examined, along with other sources, for teacher competencies to be used in developing the initial questionnaire. Two studies and one journal article were found which directly relate to business teacher competencies.

DATA PROCESSING

The computer was first used in offices for processing data in 1954.²² During the two decades following its introduction, the

²²F. Kendrick Bangs, "The Teaching of Data Processing," National Business Education Association Yearbook, Ninth Yearbook, (Washington: National Business Education Association, 1971), p. 60. computer has greatly altered many areas of business and is rapidly becoming an integral part of all segments of our society. It is being used to prepare payrolls, keep bank accounts, check on the credit standing of customers, verify income tax returns, and to control the production of everything from bread to steel.²³

Hanson describes the magnitude of the changes attributed to the arrival of computers:

Although the computer may be classified as a product of the electrical manufacturing industry, no other item of capital goods has changed the basic terms of so many human activities worldwide in so short a time. It has altered profoundly the techniques of science, government, and national defense. Above all, it is changing radically our business production methods, the science of management, and data information systems.²⁴

The initial reaction to the computer was fear that machines would replace people in the office. Twenty years into automation have produced just the opposite phenomenon. Although a realignment of personnel has occurred, personnel in offices increased steadily through the sixties, and a further increase is projected in the seventies.²⁵

²⁴Robert D. Hanson, "An Integrated Approach to Teaching Data Processing and Bookkeeping/Accounting," <u>Business Education</u> Forum, XXV (March, 1971), 24-26.

²⁵Bangs, op. cit.

²³S. J. Wanous, E. E. Wanous, and Gerald E. Wagner, Fundamentals of Data Processing (Cincinnati, Ohio: South-Western Publishing Co., 1971), p. 10.

Early education of data processing personnel was handled by manufacturers of the machines. Business educators attempted to respond to the need created by the demand for employees trained to function in data processing and manufacturers cooperated by making expensive equipment available at little or no cost to the schools.

Educational background played an important role in maintaining positions or achieving promotions in offices where the computer had been introduced.

In 1960, the U.S. Department of Labor reported, based on a 1957 study, that 42 per cent of the personnel working in offices where the computer had been introduced had completed some college work or graduated from college and that 78 percent of those hired had either a degree or some college work.²⁶

A survey of 100 data processing personnel in Los Angeles, conducted by Goodman (1961), indicated that 30 percent of the data processing specialists and management personnel had received four years of college.²⁷

> 26 Bangs, op. cit.

²⁷C. J. Goodman, "Education for Business Data Processing," Office Executive, XXXVI (May, 1961), 18-20. Studies conducted by Carter (1967),²⁸ Gibson (1967),²⁹ Godby (1966),³⁰ Hoos (1961),³¹ and Korn (1968)³² revealed a slight trend toward hiring people who had been trained in high school, junior college, or universities. At this time, however, many educational institutions were not yet offering programs in data processing.

²⁸D. M. Carter, "A Study of Office Training Programs for Data Processing Personnel in Selected Businesses in Colorado, with Implications for Business Education," Dissertation Abstracts, 65-11601, 26:2521, November, 1965.

²⁹G. M. Gibson, "A Study of Office Automation in Selected Business Firms of the Greater Boston Area with Implications for Curriculum Planning," <u>Dissertation Abstracts</u>, 69-07808, 29:4164, May, 1969.

³⁰C. K. Godby, "Clerical Employees in Data Processing Occupations," The Balance Sheet, October, 1966, pp. 59-60.

³¹F. Kendrick Bangs, "The Teaching of Data Processing," National Business Education Association Yearbook, IX (1971), citing I. R. Hoos, Automation in the Office, (Washington, D.C.: Public Affairs Press, 1961), pp. 60-61.

³²W. M. Korn, "An Achievement Test for the Course Introduction to Business Data 'Processing," <u>Dissertation</u> Abstracts, 68-14730, 29:1045, October, 1968. The President's Science Advisory Committee issued a report entitled "Computers in Higher Education," (1967) which stated that unless all college graduates have a knowledge of computers and how they operate, they have missed a valuable part of higher education. In 1971, Richard B. Otte of the United States Office of Education reiterated the above statement adding that the same statement can now be applied to today's high school graduate.³³

A study conducted by the United States Office of Education in 1966 to examine the economic and technological feasibility of providing instructional and administrative support services to large numbers of high school and junior college students established that the schools at that time were not fulfilling their obligations in computer education.³⁴

Bangs said of these early studies:

In spite of the fact that much effort has been expended in investigation of the educational implications of automation in the office, nothing conclusive was produced prior to 1968.

³³Richard B. Otte, "Computer Instruction in Business Education," National Business Education Association Yearbook, IX (1971), p. 6, citing "Relationship of Automatic Data Processing Training Curriculum and Methodology in the Federal Government," Document No. FS 5.280:80066, (Washington, D. C.: Government Printing Office, 1969).

The studies tended to be unreliable because of the limited biased samples. The findings cannot be generalized either because the studies were extremely localized geographically. Consequently, business educators knew relatively little more about what to teach in and about data processing or when and where to teach it as a result of these research studies.³⁵

Weber described the responses of educators when confronted with the task before them. A basic lack of understanding existed, accompanied by a fear of the high cost of equipment and an absence of qualified technical personnel. However, data processing could not be overlooked. The problems must be overcome.³⁶

Meanwhile, technological advances continued to improve computers. One generation of computers rapidly followed another, with each new arrival producing data at faster speeds. This meant that more data went in and more data came out. These changes were accompanied by increasing demands for trained clerical personnel to handle these input/output tasks. An overview of the data processing field, in addition to the traditional clerical training, might better equip these employees to perform the roles expected by employers.

³⁵F. Kendrick Bangs and Mildred C. Hillestad, <u>Curricular</u> Implications of Automated Data Processing, BR 5-0144, OE6-85-030, (St. Peter, Minn.: Delta Pi Epsilon, 1968), pp. 87-88.

³⁶James F. Weber, "Data Processing Education--Can Community Colleges Do the Job?" <u>Business Education Forum</u>, XXIII, (April, 1969), 27-28.

INTRODUCTORY DATA PROCESSING

The literature abounds with expressions of the need for introductory data processing courses at the high school and junior college level. Bux,³⁷ Korn,³⁸ Haga,³⁹ Miller,⁴⁰ Baram,⁴¹ Baulch,⁴² and Thompson,⁴³ reflect the view that every high school business student should have an exposure to data processing as a discrete discipline. Reese⁴⁴

³⁷William Bux, "Entry-Level Office Positions Require Education in Data Processing," <u>Business Education Forum</u>, XXIV (March, 1970), 18-20.

³⁸Bill Korn and Lewis E. Wall, "Profile of a Data Processing Teacher," <u>Business Education Forum</u>, XXII (April, 1968), 16-18.

³⁹Enoch Haga, "Introductory Automation and Data Processing for All High School Students," <u>Business Education Forum</u>, XXII (May, 1968), 16-18.

⁴⁰Robert Miller and J. S. Walden, "DE Meets DP," Balance Sheet, LV (November, 1973), 115-17.

⁴¹Giora Baram, C. Joseph Sass, and S. A. Yarborough, "Development of an Introductory EDP Course," <u>Business Education</u> Forum, XXV (November, 1970), 47-48.

⁴²Janet Baulch, "Consider An EDP Course for All High School Students," Business Education Forum, XXV (November, 1970), 31-32.

⁴³Van B. Thompson, "Meaningful Data Processing Training in High Schools," Journal of Business Education, XLVI (January, 1971), 148-50.

⁴⁴Don Reese, "Data Processing Courses in High School?" Journal of Business Education, XL (January, 1970), 153-54. challenged Thompson's stand on the need for data processing education at the high school level, preferring rather to stress the basic fundamentals such as English, mathematics, social studies, and basic business. However, he too acknowledged the need for high school students to have an understanding of computers and the role they play in business.

Bangs and Hillestad (1968)⁴⁵ published a major study which established, among other things, that an introductory data processing course should be offered in the tenth grade in secondary schools and during the freshman and sophomore levels in junior colleges and universities. They suggested that the high school course should be a one-semester course, including a history of records systems and manual data processing, tabulating cards and equipment, electronic computer logic, flow charting, and computer operation.

At the postsecondary level, Bangs and Hillestad suggested that the introductory course also be a one-semester course, including the history of data processing, principles of data processing, an overview of unit record, card layout and design, flow charting, elements of programming, laboratory in data processing and number systems.

⁴⁵Bangs, op. cit.

Through an analysis of textbooks and interviewing procedures, Korn (1968)⁴⁶ compiled the following list of topics usually covered in Introduction to Business Data Processing courses: history of data processing, purpose and function of unit-record equipment, input/output media and devices, primary storage and retrieval, arithmetic and logic functions of the computer, control unit, introduction of programming, and the total systems concept.

Carter and Gibson⁴⁷ conducted a study to determine sequencing of events taught in the beginning course introducing students to data processing. Their sequencing ranged from history of data processing to management and organization.

DATA PROCESSING TEACHER EDUCATION

Couger⁴⁸ perceived the greatest inhibiting factor in progressing toward adequate education in data processing to be

⁴⁷Deane M. Carter and Harry L. Gibson, "An Analysis of the Introductory Business Data Processing Course," <u>Journal of</u> Business Education, XLVII (May, 1971), 318-21.

⁴⁸J. Daniel Couger, "Educating Faculty About Computers," Journal of Business Education, XLIV (March, 1969), 249-50.

⁴⁶Bill Korn and Lewis E. Wall, "Profile of a Data Processing Teacher," <u>Business Education Forum</u>, XXII (April, 1968), 16-18.

the shortage of faculty capable of teaching the data processing curriculum. He expressed the belief that teachers should be able to comprehend journal articles, to keep up to date on developments in computer technology, and to have an understanding of computer concepts.

Otte⁴⁹ attributes the shortage of teachers knowledgeable in data processing to the fact that university data processing courses are being offered in the computer science or mathematics departments and are not being offered for the preparation of teachers in the colleges of education.

Wood⁵⁰ categorizes data processing teachers into two areas: those who teach skills and those who teach about data processing. He outlined eight major areas an introductory data processing teacher should be knowledgeable in: (1) development of record systems, (2) need for automated data processing, (3) uses of data processing, (4) data handling, (5) electronic data processing, (6) computer systems, (7) data processing department, and (8) advanced training sources.

⁴⁹Otte, op. cit.

⁵⁰Merle W. Wood, "A Methods Course for Business Data Processing Teachers," Journal of Business Education, XLVI (April, 1971), 277-78. Hamed⁵¹ described the need for all business teachers to have a sound introductory knowledge of the elements of automated data processing in order to keep up with the times and to aid in the integration of data processing concepts into other business curricula.

Westley⁵² attributes the absence of a body of data processing methodology in the business curriculum to the fact that data processing is so new to the business curriculum and has been in a constant state of change.

COMPETENCY-BASED TEACHER EDUCATION

An innovative approach to education which could aid business education in meeting the challenge of computerized data processing is competency-based teacher education. Competency-based teacher education (CBTE) places emphasis on objectives which are spelled out in advance. Students are told explicitly at the outset of a course what behaviors will be expected of them on exiting from the course.

⁵¹Charles J. Hamed, "Data Processing," <u>Business Education</u> Forum, XXVII (May, 1970), 27.

⁵²John W. Westley, "Data Processing," National Business Education Association Yearbook, No. 10, (1972), pp. 167-82. Time is not held as a constant. Achievement is held as a constant and time can vary. CBTE focuses on exiting requirements rather than on entering requirements. Competency-based teacher education is a learner-oriented approach to teacher education.⁵³

A good deal of work has been done in the state of Oregon in developing competency-based programs. They approach teaching competence as something more than the mastery of knowledge and simple teaching skills or behaviors. Teaching competence is defined as:

The demonstrated ability to bring about the expected outcomes of a role or function in a job definition.

A competent teacher is defined as:

One who has acquired and demonstrated the essential competencies of a professional position and integrates and utilizes them effectively in meeting the requirements of that position in accordance with its level and certification status. At each certification level, the teacher must also provide evidence that he has mastered the knowledge and skills assumed to be required for the development of his teaching competence at that level.

When the approach is taken that teaching competence is more than the mastery of knowledge and simple teaching skills, then assessment becomes complex. Schalock reports that in the eyes

⁵³James M. Cooper, Wilford A. Weber, and Charles E. Johnson, <u>A Competency-Based Systems Approach to Program Design</u>, (Berkeley, California: McCutchan Publishing Corporation, 1973), p. 14.

of many, it takes on properties that demand more from the technology of measurement and evaluation than that technology has at the moment to give.⁵⁴

The first step in an assessment program is establishment of specific outcomes desired--specific teacher competencies to be assessed. This study is designed as the first step toward an assessment program for teachers of introductory data processing.

BUSINESS TEACHER COMPETENCIES

Studies by Prather (1974) and Hebert (1973) evidence the fact that business education is progressing rapidly toward the establishment of a firm research base in specific competencies needed to teach business education subjects.

Prather surveyed Texas shorthand teachers and national business education authorities to determine perceptions and differences in perceptions of these groups concerning competencies needed to teach shorthand. Prather analyzed these perceptions

⁵⁴H. Del Schalock, et al. (ed.) "A Plan for Managing the Development, Implementation and Operation of a Model Elementary Teacher Education Program," (Monmouth, Oregon: Oregon College of Education, 1970), p. 6. (Mimeographed.)

through use of a chi-square to identify competencies common to both groups and developed a rank-ordered list of shorthand teacher competencies suitable for use in a competency-based teacher education program.⁵⁵

Hebert conducted a survey of typewriting teachers in the metropolitan Houston area and national business education authorities relative to their perceptions of competencies needed to teach beginning typewriting. Using a chi-square test to analyze these perceptions, Hebert developed a list of competencies needed to effectively teach beginning typewriting. ⁵⁶

Both Prather and Hebert cited the need for a list of competencies needed to teach data processing.

McCullough made a contribution toward filling the need for a list of competencies needed by the teacher of data processing. In an article which included competencies needed for teaching Business Machines, Office Practice, Basic Business, Shorthand, Typewriting, Bookkeeping/Accounting/Recordkeeping, and Data Processing, she wrote that the beginning business teacher who

> ⁵⁵Prather, loc. cit. ⁵⁶Hebert, loc. cit.

teaches data processing should possess the following competencies:

- Knowledge of current data processing methods and equipment.
- Knowledge of the development of data processing, including people who have made contributions such as the adding machine, calculator, difference engine, etc., that an introductory course would provide.
- 3. Knowledge of computers and computer terminology that an introductory course would provide.
- 4. Ability to develop learning activities that will motivate student participation.
- 5. Knowledge of the punched card and its use in recording data.
- 6. Knowledge of the various visual and mechanical aids, as teaching tools.
- 7. Ability to set up performance standards for a given group of students.
- 8. Knowledge of computer programming and how to teach programming techniques.
- 9. Knowledge of the variety of curriculum patterns used in secondary schools for teaching data processing, including integrating data processing into other business courses.⁵⁷

Although McCullough has taken a step toward establishing

a list of teacher competencies in the data processing area, the

⁵⁷Edith McCullough, "Performance-Based Business Teacher Education," <u>California Business Education Journal</u>, VII (May, 1973), 21-28.

list was not based on research and is not an extensive list of teacher competencies. The need for a research-based list still exists. This study was designed to fill this need.

SUMMARY

Review of secondary sources related both to data processing and competency-based teacher education reinforced the need for research in the area of competencies needed to teach introductory data processing. Competency-based teacher education literature reflected the critical need for assessment in implementing a CBTE program. A list of specific competencies needed to teach introductory data processing is a vital step toward teacher assessment. The need for all students, high school and college, to have an introduction to data processing was well documented by the literature.

The following chapter contains the primary data related to this study obtained from a three-questionnaire survey of Texas high school and junior college teachers of introductory data processing and business data processing authorities in the United States and Canada.

Chapter 4

SUMMARY OF DATA

This chapter is divided into the following four major divisions: (1) a description of the population, (2) development and presentation of data from Questionnaire I, (3) development and presentation of data from Questionnaire II, and (4) presentation and interpretation of the data from Questionnaire III.

THE POPULATION

The participants in this study consisted of three groups of people--American and Canadian business data processing authorities, Texas high school teachers, and Texas junior college teachers. Both writers and practitioners were involved in identifying the competencies by using these three groups. Representatives of the teacher-training institutions (business data processing authorities) and graduates of teacher-training institutions (teachers) were represented in this research.

Business Data Processing Authorities

Of the 31 respondents in the authorities group, all except three were directly involved in collegiate institutions. Of these three, two were involved in public school administration, and one was employed by Educational Testing Service.

High School and Junior College Teachers

All nineteen high school teachers and 44 junior college teachers taught at least one introductory data processing course. As shown in Table 2, the two teacher groups reported similar educational backgrounds. Seventeen of the high school teachers (89 percent) had earned at least their bachelor's degree, while ten (52 percent) had completed their master's degree, and one (5 percent) had earned 54 hours toward a doctorate. Of the 44 responding junior college teachers, 41 (94 percent) had earned at least their bachelor's degree, 28 teachers (64 percent) had earned their master's degree, and one teacher (2 percent) had received a doctorate.

Table 2

Teachers	Degree	A.A.	B.A. B.S.	M.A. M.S.	Ph.D. Ed.D.	Total
High School						
Number	1	1	7	10		19
Percentage	5	5	36	52		
Junior College						
Number	1	1	13	28	1	44
Percentage	2	2	30	64	2	

Educational Background of Responding High School and Junior College Teachers

As indicated in Table 3, none of the high school teachers reported having worked more than 20 years in a business office, while three junior college teachers (7 percent) had worked in excess of 20 years in business offices. Twenty-seven of the junior college teachers (61 percent) and ten of the high school teachers (52 percent) had worked in business for five or more years.

Table 3

Teachers	0-4	5-10	11-15	16-20	20/	Total
High School						
Number	9	7	-0-	3	-0-	19
Percentage	47	37		16		
Junior College						
Number	17	15	4	5	3	44
Percentage	39	34	9	11	7	

Office Work Experience of Responding High School and Junior College Teachers

As Table 4 illustrates, greater similarity was reported by the two groups concerning data processing work experience. Four high school teachers (22 percent) and five junior college teachers (11 percent) reported having had no data processing work experience. Three high school (16 percent) and three junior college teachers (7 percent) had worked between 16 and 20 years in business data processing.

Table 4

Teachers	-0-	1-4	5-10	11-15	16-20	Total
High School						
Number	5	10	1	-0-	3	19
Percentage	26	53	5		74	
Junior College						
Number	4	19	15	3	3	44
Percentage	9	43	34	68	68	

Data Processing Work Experience of Responding High School and Junior College Teachers

Table 5 reflects the data processing teaching experience of responding high school and junior college teachers. Ten high school teachers (53 percent) and thirty junior college teachers (68 percent) reported five years or more experience in teaching data processing.

Τa	ble	5
----	-----	---

		· ·	· ·			
Teachers	0-2	3-4	5-6	7-10	11-20	Total
High School				•		
Number	6	3	2	7	. 1	19
Percentage	32	18	10	37	53	
Junior College						
Number	8	6	12	14	4	· 44
Percentage	18	14	27	32	9	

Experience in Teaching Data Processing of Responding High School and Junior College Teachers

As shown in Table 6, all of the high school teachers reported having taken at least one data processing course. However, two of the junior college teachers had taken no course work in data processing. Eleven (58 percent) of the high school teachers and 35 (85 percent) of the junior college teachers had taken a minimum of four data processing courses. One high school teacher (5 percent) and two junior college teachers (5 percent) had completed more than twenty data processing courses.

Table 6

	Number of Courses										
Teachers	-0-	1-4	5-10	11-15	16-20	20/	Total				
High School											
Number	-0-	14	4	ì	- 0 -	1	19				
Percentage		74	21	5		5					
Junior College											
Number	2	11	24	2	3	2	44				
Percentage	5	25	55	4	7	4					

Data Processing Courses Taken by High School and Junior College Teachers

As illustrated in Table 7, the number of data processing courses offered by the respondents' schools ranged from one to four courses in the high schools and from four to twenty or more courses in the junior colleges. Seventeen high schools (89 percent) offered three or more data processing courses while 27 junior colleges (61 percent) offered eleven or more data processing courses.

Table 7

Number of Courses												
Schools	1-4	5-10	11-15	16-20	207	Total						
High School					**************************************							
Number	19	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	19						
Percentage	100											
Junior College												
Number	1	16	15	3	9	44						
Percentage	2	36	34	7	20							

Data Processing Courses Offered by Respondents' Schools

Reported data processing equipment available to both groups of teachers was impressive. All 44 junior college teachers (100 percent) and fourteen of the high school teachers (74 percent) indicated that data processing equipment was available in their schools for student use.

In the junior college group, eight (18 percent) of the 44 teachers reported that unit-record equipment, electronic data processing equipment, and time sharing were available in their schools. Twenty-one respondents (50 percent) had both unitrecord and electronic data processing equipment for use by their students. Electronic data processing equipment and time sharing were used by five (11 percent) of the responding junior college teachers, while nine (20 percent) used only electronic data processing equipment in their schools.

Of the nineteen high school teachers, five (26 percent) reported having no data processing equipment for use by students. However, two (10 percent) used only time sharing, three (16 percent) used time sharing along with electronic data processing equipment, one (5 percent) used time sharing, electronic data processing equipment and unit-record equipment, three (16 percent) used only electronic data processing equipment, four (21 percent) used both unit-record equipment and electronic data processing equipment, and one (5 percent) used only unit-record equipment.

DEVELOPMENT AND PRESENTATION OF QUESTIONNAIRE I

Questionnaire I, containing 107 competencies, was modeled after the Hebert⁵⁸ study. This questionnaire was developed by the researcher from an observation of practicing data processing teachers and from a review of textbooks, research studies, and journal articles.

The competencies were categorized using the Dodl^2

⁵⁸Hebert, op. cit.

⁵⁹Dodl, op. cit.

taxonomy which includes planning, administration, instruction, communication, evaluation, developing pupil-self, and personal attributes. The questionnaire was submitted to a jury, consisting of two business education professors and a class of graduate-level business education students, for assistance in editing.

The questionnaire (Appendix F, page 156) was then submitted to the business data processing authorities, high school teachers, and junior college teachers. These three groups were requested to rate the partial list of competencies as being <u>Crucial</u> (C), <u>Highly Desirable</u> (H), <u>Desirable but not absolutely</u> <u>necessary (D), Nonimportant (N), Incorrectly stated--needs</u> <u>revision (I), and Do not use--concept inappropriate (X). In</u> addition, participants were asked to revise and expand upon this partial list of competencies.

DEVELOPMENT AND PRESENTATION OF QUESTIONNAIRE II

Respondent ratings to Questionnaire I were tallied and analyzed by the researcher. Competencies receiving a number of <u>Nonimportant</u> (N), <u>Incorrectly stated --needs revision</u> (I), and Do not use--concept inappropriate (X) ratings were re-evaluated and, in some instances, reworded. None of the competencies were eliminated as, in every instance, the <u>Crucial</u> (C), <u>Highly</u> <u>Desirable</u> (H), and <u>Desirable</u> (D) ratings outnumbered the <u>Nonimportant</u> (N), <u>Incorrectly stated--needs revision</u> (I), and <u>Do not use--concept inappropriate</u> (S) ratings. The questionnaire was then methodically revamped to reflect the opinions of the respondents.

The revised and expanded questionnaire (Appendix I, page 174) contained 183 competencies. The additional competencies added by respondents are indicated by the sign (#) in Appendix I, page 174). Identical questionnaires were sent to authorities, high school teachers, and junior college teachers.

Questionnaire II asked that the participants rate the revised and expanded list of competencies again using the coding <u>Crucial</u> (C), <u>Highly Desirable</u> (H), <u>Desirable</u> but not <u>absolutely necessary</u> (D), <u>Nonimportant</u> (N), <u>Incorrectly</u> <u>stated--needs revision</u> (I), and <u>Do not use--concept inappropriate</u> (X). The coding was repeated twice for each competency. High school teachers were asked to indicate their ranking of each competency using the coding marked (S). Junior college teachers were asked to indicate their rating of each competency using the coding marked (J). Authorities were asked to respond to the competencies by indicating their rating of each competency for high school teachers in the space marked (S) and their rating of the competency for junior college teachers in the space marked (J). The ratings given the competencies by authorities could show variance between levels. For example, a competency could be rated by authorities as <u>Crucial</u> (C) at the high school level and <u>Desirable</u> (D) at the junior college level. Responses to this questionnaire were tallied and a modal consensus was determined for each competency statement.

PRESENTATION AND INTERPRETATION OF QUESTIONNAIRE III

Questionnaire III contained competencies identical to those presented in Questionnaire II. A black square (\Box) placed on the rating indicated the modal consensus. Until this point, each group had received identical questionnaires. With the recording of the

modes for each group, variance between groups occurred. A red circle (O) indicated the selection the participant had made in Questionnaire II. Participants were asked to review their responses in relation to the modal consensus and either join the modal consensus or state briefly why they chose to remain outside the modal consensus.

The data from the two groups will be examined in this section. Each category of the competency areas will be presented using a table to show chi-square values and a comparison of the percentage of each response from the authorities, junior college teachers, and high school teachers in selecting <u>Crucial</u> (C), <u>Highly Desirable</u> (H), <u>Desirable but not absolutely necessary</u> (D), <u>Nonimportant</u> (N), <u>Reword competency</u> (I), and <u>Do not use</u> (X), for each competency. Comparisons will be made for the responses of authorities and junior college teachers, authorities and high school teachers, and high school and junior college teachers.

Responses of Authorities and Junior College Teachers

A description follows of the responses of authorities and junior college teachers to competencies contained in Questionnaire III.

Appendix M, page 212, contains a complete listing of those competencies rated crucial and highly desirable by a modal consensus of authorities and junior college teachers. A list of those competencies rated crucial and highly desirable by 50 percent or more of either group is also included in Appendix M. A complete listing of those competencies found to be significantly different in the reporting of the different groups is shown in Appendix P, page 249.

Planning

Included in the category of <u>Planning</u> are selecting aims, objectives, goals; collaborating with others in planning, developing classroom procedures; selecting or developing materials and activities; and organizing students. Questionnaire III sent to the three groups contained 37 competency statements in the <u>Planning</u> category.

Chi-square values and percentages of responses to <u>Planning</u> competencies are included in Table 8.

Only one competency was rated by the consensus of both groups as <u>crucial</u>: Competency No. 16, "Knowledge of the end results--what the student is expected to accomplish by the completion of the course."

Table 8

Planning Competencies as Indicated by Authorities and Junior College Teachers, Including Degrees of Freedom, Chi-Square, and Percentages of Responses

				A	uthori	ties (3	31)			Junior College Teachers (44)				
No.	DF	Square	C	H	D	N	I	x	С	Н	D	N	I	х
1	3	. 67	10	68	22				7	57	34	2		
2	2	. 59	27	73					37	61	2			
3	4	3.66	4	41	52	3			16	54	28		2	
4	5	.73	19	59	13	3	3	3	23	49	23	3	2	
5*	2	7.71	29	68	3				18	54	28			
6	3	.89	29	58	10	3			23	56	21			
7*	2	14.46	68	16	16				24	48	28			
8*	3	14.85	77	17	3		3		30	61	9			
9	5	. 20		19	52	23	3	3	2	26	44	23	5	
10	5	. 19	3	24	48	19	3	3	2	30	44	19	5	
11*	2	7.17	65	15	20				36	43	21			
12	3	1.16	16	68	16				12	56	28	4		
13	3	2.50	19	68	13				16	63	19	2		
14	4	1.58	3	39	48	10			5	36	41	9	4	~ -
15	3	6.31	32	52	13		3		14	74	23			
16	3	1.06	67	30			3		56	37	7			
17	3	6.10	6	77	13	4			14	43	33	10		
* C:	Carl D		- 05 T	1	<u></u>									

*Significant Difference at the .05 Level

Degrees of Freedom

1=3.841 3=7.815 5=11.070

2=5.991 4=9.488

Table 8 continued

				Authorities (31)							Junior College Teachers (44)					
No.	DF	Chi- Square	С	н	D	N	I	х	С	Η	D	N	I	х		
18	4	2.03	13	65	16	3	3	_ =	12	47	31	10				
19	2	5.67	65	29	6				33	53	14					
20	3	5.39	16	55	29				5	35	58	2				
21	3	1.25	16	55	29				7	49	39	5				
22	4	.03	23	57	17		3		24	55	19	2				
23	3	2.96	23	61	13	3			12	51	21	16				
24	5	. 20	6	26	52	13	3		2	26	51	19		2		
25	4	4.82	23	35	38		4		28	56	14	2				
26	2	.00	19	68	13				16	70	14					
27	3	2.80	6	77	13		3		13	57	30					
28	3	.60	19	58	23				28	56	14	2				
29	5	3.79	4	7	46	43			5	19	50	19	5	2		
30	4	9.31	19	26	43	6	6		12	66	15	5	2			
31	3	6.56	29	65	6				30	56	9					
32	3	.12	35	49	13	3			35	47	18					
33	3	2.90	3	42	52	3			2	21	72	5				
34	4	.55	32	52	6	6	3		44	44	6	3	3			
35	3	2.58	6	13	61	19			5	32	51	12				
36	3	.93	13	13	58	16			9	26	53	12				
37*	4	9.60	71	19	4		6		39	48	7		6			

*Significant Difference at the .05 Level

Degrees of Freedom

1=3.841 3=7.815 5=11.070 2=5.991 4=9.488
The following competencies in Planning were rated as

highly desirable by both groups:

- 1. Relationship of the data processing course to other courses and the total school program.
- 2. Relationship of the data processing course to positions in industry and business.
- 4. Preparation of a syllabus for the teacher's use.
- 5. Preparation of supplementary instructional materials.
- 6. Preparation of a syllabus for the data processing course.
- Development of instructional strategies appropriate to students' stated objectives and students' learning styles.
- Recognition of the need for strategies appropriate to students' stated objectives and students' learning styles.
- 15. Provision for various teaching methods using creativity and imagination.
- 17. Development of behavioral objectives.
- 18. Use of behavioral objectives.
- 21. Selection of supplementary materials.
- 22. Establishment of grading standards.
- 23. Establishment of grading standards based on students' competencies and established objectives.
- 26. Collaboration with other data processing teachers, business education teachers, and administrators in planning.
- 27. Planning course outline using long-range objectives.

- 28. Knowledge of content resulting from practical business experience in positions involving data processing.
- 31. Provision for exercises using data processing equipment.
- 32. Provision for "hands-on" experience if equipment is available.

While Competency No. 34, "Provision for exercises using computer program assignments," was rated <u>highly desirable</u> by 52 percent of the authorities and 44 percent of the teacher group, a bimodal consensus was reported in the teacher group. Forty-four percent of the teachers also rated the competency as crucial.

Administration

Administration includes arranging physical environment, maintaining procedures and routines, maintaining records, and organizing materials and equipment. Questionnaire III sent to the three groups contained nine competencies under the <u>Administration</u> category.

Responses to competencies in the <u>Administration</u> category are indicated in Table 9. Also shown in this table are degrees of freedom, chi-square values, and percentages of responses obtained from authorities and junior college teachers in the <u>Administration</u> area.

Administration Competencies as Indicated by Authorities and Junior College Teachers, Including Degrees of Freedom, Chi-Square, and Percentages of Responses

				A	uthori	ties (3	31)			T	eacher	rs (44)		
No.	DF	Chi- Square	С	Η	D	N	I	х	С	Н	D	N	I	Х
1	4	1,29	3	61	23	10		3	7	49	37	5		2
2	5	4.64	10	61	20	3	3	3	7	42	51			
3	3	1.77	19	54	22			5	14	70	16			
4	5	1.57	13	65	16		3	3	12	53	33	2		
5	4	8.63	10	16	65	6		3	12	49	30	9	- +	
6*	4	9.97	29	13	48	6		3	16	53	26	5		
7	5	4.92	6	19	62	7	3	3	7	44	35	12		
8	4	. 29	6	61	29			3	7	60	28	5		
9	5	2.39	5	29	33	19	5	9	14	40	30	12	5	

*Significant	Difference	at the .05	Level
1=3.841	3=7.815	5=11.070	
2=5.991	4=9.488		

None of the nine competencies in the <u>Administration</u> category were rated <u>crucial</u> by the two groups. However, four of the nine competencies were considered by both groups to be <u>highly</u>

desirable. These were:

- 1. The ability to conduct conferences with parents, teachers, and students.
- 3. Organization of teaching equipment, materials, etc.
- 4. Establishment and maintenance of classroom procedures and routines.
- 8. Establishment of rapport with community organizations to facilitate field trips.

Instruction

In this category are included structuring, motivating, and reinforcing students, providing for feedback, presenting information, and conducting learning activities. Using the Hebert model,⁶⁰ the competencies were divided into two areas--<u>Content</u> and <u>Methodologies/Techniques</u>. Questionnaire III sent to the three groups listed 49 competencies in the <u>Content</u> area of the <u>Instruction</u> category and 38 competencies in the <u>Methodologies/Techniques</u> area of the Instruction category.

<u>Content</u>. Chi-square values of the differences and similarities between the business data processing authorities and the junior college

⁶⁰Hebert, op. cit.

Instruction (Content) Competencies as Indicated by Authorities and Junior College Teachers, Including Degrees of Freedom, Chi-Square, and Percentages of Responses

				Au	thorit	ies (3	1)			Ju T	nior C eache:	College rs (44)	: 	
No.	DF	Chi- Square	С	н	D	N	I	х	С	н	D	Ν	I	х
1	3	5.64	3	24	70	3			12	49	40			
2*	2	11.13	93	7			. 		52	43	5			
3*	2	6.25	89	7			3		63	37				
4*	3	14.23	70	20	3		6		28	63	9			
5*	3	12.20	71	19			10		36	61	2			
6	4	8.19	15	49	22	7	7		9	26	63	2		
7	3	2.78	41	49	7	3			30	44	26			
8*	3	7.81	26	58	13	3			26	51	23			
9	3	.10	17	43	34	6			14	45	38	2		
10*	4	11.28	10	66	7	3	14		9	35	47	5	5	
11	4	3.54	17	41	34		7		7	37	40	14	2	
12*	4	10.18	66	21	10		3		26	56	16	2		
13	5	6.81	3	10	19	61	3	3	9	16	9	40	26	
14	3	1.90	3	16	78	3				33	60	7		
15	3	2.12	16	61	19	3			19	44	37			
16	3	2.42	74	16	6		3		57	36	7			

*Significant Difference at the .05 Level

1=3.841 3=7.815 5=11.070

2=5.991 4=9.488

57

			<u> </u>	Aı	uthorit	ies (31)			Ju: T	nior C 'eache	college rs (44	:)	
No.	DF	Chi- Square	С	н	D	N	I	х	С	н	D	N	I	х
17*	4	19.00	10	70	10		10		12	37	44	7		
18	3	3.47	19	70	3		8		30	53	16			
19	4	7.14	23	48	26		3		7	53	37	2		
20	3	2.58	17	60	17	7			12	44	37	7		
21	4	. 43	23	55	16	3	3		23	51	26			
22	3	1.64	17	27	57				12	19	60	9		
23	3	7.11	16	68	10	6			21	37	37	5		
24	5	4.09	3	37	37	11	6	6	9	23	58	9		
25	5	3.99	11	12	58	12	4	3	19	36	38	7		
26	3	1.83	13	29	55	3	÷		7	47	40	7		·
27	5	4.10	12	50	20	11	3	3	10	33	50	7		
28	2	1.23	26	45	29				37	42	21			
29	3	.97	7	19	74				2	24	67	7		
30*	4	12.56	50	10	30	6	4		16	49	28	5	2	
31.1	3	3.76	55	24	17		4		35	51	14			
31.2	4	. 34	19	48	26	3	4		28	51	21			
31.3*	3	8.77	, 8	48	28	16			5	20	58	18		
31.4	4	1.41	3	16	62	16	3		12	19	45	21	2	
31.5	4	3.15	10	19	55	13	3		28	26	37	9		
31.6	4	1.42	3	26	48	19	3		5	14	65	16		
31.7	4	. 20	13	16	52	16	3		12	23	51	14		

1=3.841 3=7.815 5=11.070

2=5.991 4=9.488

				Au	uthorit	ies (3	1)			Ju T	nior C eache:	College rs (44)	1	
No.	DF	Chi- Square	С	Н	D	N	I	x	С	Н	D	N	I	x
31.8	4	2.67	10	13	55	19	3		14	28	51	7		
31.9	5	2.47	6	14	43	16	20		10	18	43	20	5	5
31.10	4	.63			30	67	3			5	37	53	2	2
31.11	4	. 52		11	56	26	4	3		5	58	35	2	
31.12	3	.53		4	56	37	4			5	44	49	2	
31.13	4	1.14	3	10	61	19	6		7	21	49	16	7	
32	5	3.54	6	6	13	10	58	6	17	14	10	10	36	14
33	3	3.07	55	35	6		3		33	51	16			
34	2	1.04	25	58	16				26	49	26			
35	3	.05	61	32	4		3		58	37	5			
36	4	. 21	3	14	58	25				16	63	19	2	
37	3	1.24	6	3	74	16				9	70	21		

*Significant Difference at the .05 Level

Degrees of Freedom 1=3.841 3=7.815 5=11.070 2-5.991 4-9.488 teachers in addition to the percentages of responses for the two groups are given in Table 10.

Four competencies were rated as <u>crucial</u> and twelve were rated as highly desirable by authorities and junior college teachers.

The competencies rated <u>crucial</u> were: Competency No. 2, "Knowledge of data processing terminology"; Competency No. 3, "Knowledge and application of data processing functions"; Competency No. 16, "Knowledge of the electronic computer"; and Competency No. 35, "Ability to flow chart."

Those competencies receiving <u>highly desirable</u> ratings follow:

- 7. Knowledge of use of punched cards.
- Knowledge of record planning and layout for various mediums.
- 9. Ability to keypunch information in cards.
- 15. Knowledge of data-base concepts.
- 18. Knowledge about information storage and retrieval.
- 19. Knowledge of teleprocessing concepts.
- 20. Knowledge of multiprogram concepts.
- 21. Knowledge of documentation standards.
- 23. Knowledge of interaction of systems and systems analysis.
- 28. Knowledge of computer execution of a program.
- 31.2. Knowledge of computer languages: Fortran.
 - 34. Knowledge of report design.

<u>Methodologies/Techniques</u>. Competencies in the <u>Instruction--Methodologies/Techniques</u> category are indicated in Table 11. In addition, this table also reflects the percentages of responses obtained from business data processing authorities and junior college teachers in the <u>Instruction--Methodologies/</u> Techniques area.

The following competencies were ranked by the consensus of both groups as <u>crucial</u>: Competency No. 2.9, "Ability to demonstrate the computer"; and Competency No. 7, "Ability to demonstrate flow charting."

A bimodal response was recorded for junior college teachers on Competency No. 1.5, "Application of psychological principles of learning regarding whole vs. part learning." Fortyfive percent of the junior college teachers rated the competency <u>highly desirable</u>, and 45 percent rated it <u>desirable</u>. However, 52 percent of the authority group believed the competency to be <u>highly</u> desirable.

The following competencies in the <u>Instruction--Methodologies/</u> <u>Techniques</u> category were rated as <u>highly desirable</u> by both groups:

- 1. Application of psychological principles of learning regarding:
 - 1.2 Motivation
 - 1.3 Practice
 - 1.6 Transfer of learning

61

.

Instruction (Methodologies/Techniques) Competencies as Indicated by Authorities and Junior College Teachers, Including Degrees of Freedom, Chi-Square, and Percentages of Responses

				A	uthori	ties (3	31)			Ju T	nior C eachei	college rs (44)		
No.	DF	Chi- Square	С	н	D	N	I	x	С	н	D	Ν	I	х
1.1*	4	27.34	45	16	26		6	6		63	37	+ →		
1.2	4	6.66	29	43	19		6	3	7	65	28			
1.3	5	9.68	19	52	19		6	3	21	56	2	21		
1.4*	3	8.44	10	10	77	3			2	37	56	5		
1.5	4	8.31	3	52	23		19	3	10	45	45			
1.6	5	3.41	16	47	17	3	13	3	12	. 50	36		2	
2.1	5	.08	6	23	52	13	3	3	9	28	49	9		5
2.2	5	3.46	13	26	52	3	3	3	12	40	30	14		5
2.3	5	1.03	6	29	36	19	3	6	7	21	52	14		5
2.4*	3	12.47	65	16	16		3		23	53	23			
2.5	5	.24	7	26	41	15	4	. 7	7	35	42	12		5
2.6	5	5.48	10	26	23	32	3	6	7	28	49	12		5
2.7	5	. 35	6	26	35	23	3	6	7	21	47	21		5
2.8*	3	9.65	39	45	13		3		16	33	51			
2.9	4	.00	48	36	10	3	3		51	35	7	5	2	
3	3	. 42	30	57	10	3			21	65	14			

*Significant Difference at the .05 Level

1=3.841 3=7.815 5=11.070 2=5.991 4=9.488

62

.

				A	uthori	ties (3	1)			Ju T	nior C eachei	ollege rs (44)		
No.	DF	Chi- Square	С	Н	D	Ν	I	х	С	н	D	N	I	х
4.1	3	6.22	42	35	13	10	• •		30	51	19			
4.2*	4	12.26	19	23	45	10	3		32	55	14^{-1}			
4.3	4	1.23	6	19	48	23	4		7	30	51	12		
4.4*	4	13.90	3		32	61	3		12	14	55	17	2	
4.5*	4	10.75	3	16	29	45	7		16	30	42	17		
4.6	4	6.82	6	3	39	48	4		5	12	65	19		
4.7	3	2.80	7	16	55	22			16	14	58	12		
4.8	5	8.50	7	14	52	19	4	4	14	23	58	5		
4.9*	5	11.31	6	10	10	48	23	3	15	8	45	23	8	3
4.10	4	6.66			13	77	7	3		2	45	48	5	
4.11	4	6.26	3	3	16	68	10			7	47	44	2	
4.12	3	7.74		3	10	81	6			5	40	53	2	
4.13*	5	14.70	3	10	16	58	10	3	5	12	58	23		2
5	4	.04	23	55	16	3	3		21	56	21		2	
6	2	1.08	16	68	16				23	53	21	2		
7	2	2.98	71	23	6				53	42	5			
8*	4	13.74	5 2	26	13	6	3		16	72	12			~ -
9	4	1.54	10	68	13	6		3	16	58	23			2
10*	3	10.47	13	23	55	10			26	49	26			
11	5	7.20	13	3	55	23	3	3	9	30	47	12		2
12	4	4.07	16	62	13	3		6	14	47	40			
13	4	1.16	3	23	52	16		6	7	28	51	12	~ ~	2

- 3. Ability to enrich classroom presentation with cards, tapes, etc. used in actual business practice.
- 5. Ability to demonstrate various input/output media.
- 6. Ability to present systems design for data processing application.
- 9. Ability to transfer unit-record concepts to computer concepts.
- 12. Ability to compare manual data handling to each of the functions of computer data handling.

Communication

<u>Communication</u> competencies are those involving the operation of hardware (audio-visual equipment) and initiating and responding verbally and nonverbally. Sixteen competencies were listed in this category on Questionnaire III.

Chi-square values obtained for the significant differences between business data processing authorities and junior college teachers regarding <u>Communication</u> competencies and the percentages of responses obtained from both groups are given in Table 12.

The consensus of both groups rated the following competencies as <u>crucial</u>: Competency No. 4, "Provision for teacher-pupil interaction"; and Competency No. 8, "Ability to explain verbally."

The groups did not differ significantly in their responses to Competency No. 7, "Ability to use nonverbal communication," as both groups rated this competency highly desirable.

Communication Competencies as Indicated by Authorities and Junior College Teachers, Including Degrees of Freedom, Chi-Square, and Percentages of Responses

				Au	thoriti	es (31)			Ju	nior C eacher	ollege s (44)		
No.	DF	Chi- Square	С	Η	D	Ν	I	x	С	Н	D	Ν	I	х
1*	3	16.51	73	17	7	~~~~		3	28	67	5			
2*	3	8.29	48	42	6			3	16	77	7			
3.1	3	2.00	50	43	3			3	30	61	9			
3.2	3	4.04	47	43	7			3	21	65	14			
3.3	4	.50	3	30	53	10		3	2	40	49	9		
3.4	4	3.72	7	10	80			3	2	30	58	9		
3.5	5	3.49	7	17	67	7		3	5	33	42	9	12	
3.6	4	.15	10	30	53	3		3	8	26	63	2		
3.7	4	.14	7	20	67	3		3	5	19	74	2		
3.8	4	2.49	6	6	74	10	~ -	3	5	14	77	5		
3.9	5	2.16	13	27	50	3	3	3	2	23	63	12		
4	3	5.18	73	13	10			3	42	30	26	2		
5*	3	8.13	70	20	7			3	33	51	16			
6*	4	14.27	55	26	13		3	3	12	58	26	2	2	
7	3	1.28	14	69	14			3	9	58	28	3	2	
8	3	.92	83	10	3			3	72	21	7		-	

*Significant Difference at the .05 Level

1=3.841 3=7.815 5=11.070 2=5.991 4=9.488

1

65

Evaluation

Included in this category are selecting and assessing instruments, diagnosing student difficulties or abilities, and involving the students in self-evaluation. Questionnaire III contained eight competencies in the Evaluation category.

Chi-square values obtained for differences in responses between business data processing authorities and junior college teachers as well as percentages of responses obtained from the two groups regarding <u>Evaluation</u> competencies are shown in Table 13.

None of the eight <u>Evaluation</u> competencies were rated <u>crucial</u> by the two groups. However, six of the eight competencies were considered <u>highly desirable</u> by authorities and junior college teachers alike. They were:

- 1. Selection of valid and reliable measurement techniques.
- 2. Construction of valid and reliable measurement techniques.
- 3. Use of pretest and posttest when applicable.
- 4. Self-evaluation of teacher techniques and methods for self-improvement purposes.
- 5. Student evaluation of teacher techniques and methods for purposes of teacher improvement.
- 6. Use of student self-evaluation of his learning whenever feasible.

Significant difference was found in the reporting of the two groups on three competencies.

: . .

Evaluation Competencies as Indicated by Authorities and Junior College Teachers, Including Degrees of Freedom, Chi-Square, and Percentages of Responses

				A	uthori	ties (3	31)				eache	rs (44)	s 	
No.	DF	Chi- Square	С	н	D	Ν	I	х	С	H	D	N	I	х
1*	4	16.02	40	57				3	3	64	30	3		
2	4	5.69	27	67	3			3	23	47	28	2		
3	4	1.15	13	52	26	3		6	14	47	35	5		
4	4	2.76	33	47	13	3		3	30	67	2			-
5	4	.15	20	49	24	3		4	23	56	21			- •
6	4	1.54	7	73	14	3		3	14	58	26	2		
7*	2	17.06	76	16	8				27	73				
8*	3	16.49	70	20	7		3		21	69	10			-
	<u></u> .									·····				

2=5.991 4=9.488

Developing Pupil-Self

Included in this category are developing pupil-self concept, social interaction skills, learning-to-learn skills, and acceptance of responsibility. This general category is included in this study as it is a part of the Dodl categories⁶¹ and because the introductory data processing teacher has the opportunity to develop pupil-self concept.

Competencies in the <u>Developing Pupil-Self</u> category are indicated in Table 14. In addition, this table also shows the percentages of responses obtained from business data processing authorities and junior college teachers in the <u>Developing Pupil-Self</u> area.

Only Competency No. 12, "Development of ability in student to follow instructions," was considered <u>crucial</u> by both groups. Two of the fourteen competencies in the <u>Developing Pupil-Self</u> category were found to be significantly different in the reporting of the authority and junior college teacher groups.

The following competencies were rated <u>highly desirable</u> by a consensus of the two groups: Competency No. 1, "Assisting student in accepting responsibility of reaching his goals"; Competency No. 3, "Development of ability in student to work under pressure"; and

⁶¹Dodl, op. cit.

Developing Pupil-Self Competencies as Indicated by Authorities and Junior College Teachers, Including Degrees of Freedom, Chi-Square, and Percentages of Responses

				A	uthori	ties (3	31)			Ju: T	nior C eacher	ollege s (44)		
No.	DF	Chi- Square	С	Н	D	N	I	x	С	Н	D	N	I	х
1	4	. 59	24	62	10			4	16	63	19		2	
2	4	7.68	62	25	7		3	3	9	67	23			
3	5	. 33	23	54	14	3	3	3	19	63	19			
4	3	7.58	67	13	17			3	39	48	14			
5*	3	10.75	68	21	7			4	28	63	• 9			
6	4	. 41	23	58	13	3		3	16	67	16			
7	4	5.60	10	28	48	10		4		44	56			
8	5	9.49	3	43	27	17	3	7		21	70	7	2	
9	5	1.33	3	30	50	10	4	3		30	40	19	11	
10*	4	13.59	60	13	10	13		3	28	42	30			
11	4	. 37	29	46	18	4		3	23	58	19			
12	2	1.48	74	16	10				60	23	16			
13	2	4.20	60	20	20				37	40	23			
14	5	10.76	50	10	25	7	4	4	24	56	17	2		

.

*Significant	Difference	at the .05	Level
1=3.841	3 = 7.815	5=11.070	
2=5.991	4=9.488		

Competency No. 6, "Guidance regarding data processing as a vocational possibility, or work with counselor to provide services"; and Competency No. 11, "Development of ability in student to persevere.

Personal Attributes

The <u>Personal Attributes</u> category includes accepting self, evaluating and improving self, planning for one's self improvement, and solving problems. The area also includes interacting with others--both students and peers. Questionnaire III contained thirteen competencies in the Personal Attributes area.

Table 15 shows a comparison of responses by business education authorities and junior college teachers on <u>Personal</u> <u>Attributes</u> competencies including chi-square values and percentages of responses.

Two of the thirteen <u>Personal Attributes</u> competencies were believed to be <u>crucial</u> by both groups of respondents. They were Competency No. 1, "Knowledge of the ethical procedures of a professional," and Competency No. 10, "Establishment of proper teacher behavior as an example to students." Competency No. 10 was rated <u>crucial</u> by 70 percent of the authority group. However, a bimodal response was recorded by the junior college teacher group. Forty percent of this group rated this competency crucial and 40 percent rated it highly desirable.

Personal Attributes Competencies as Indicated by Authorities and Junior College Teachers, Including Degrees of Freedom, Chi-Square, and Percentages of Responses

				Αι	uthorit	ies (3	1)			Ju T	nior ('eache	College rs (44	e)	
No.	DF	Chi- Square	С	Н	D	Ν	I	х	С	н	D	N	Ī	х
1	2	1.42	60	23	17				48	40	12			
2	4	7.68	62	24	7		4	3	30	61	9			
3	4	6.55	45	35	19				14	49	37			
4*	3	9.18	65	25	10				26	58	16			
5	4	. 77	13	70	10	3		4	12	67	21			
6	3	5.20	59	21	14			6	35	51	12			2
7	5	4.58	42	45	13		~ -		19	43	33	2	2	
8*	3	8.07	77	16	7				40	49	9	2		
9*	4	15.74	61	20	13		3	3	19	67	14			
10	3	5.72	70	20	7	·~ ~~		3	40	40	20			
11	3	4.59	63	20	13			4	45	48	5			2
12*	5	12.42	58	16	16		3	7	21	57	14	5	~	2
13*	4	17.60	61	13	26				21	64	12	2	*** ***	

*Significant Difference at the .05 Level 1=3.841 3=7.815 5=11.070 2=5.991 4=9.488

71

The following competencies were rated <u>highly desirable</u> by a consensus of authorities and junior college teachers: Competency No. 5, "Establishment of rapport with administrative and supervisory personnel," and Competency No. 7, "Establishing a need for creativity."

Five of the competencies were found to be significantly different in the reporting of the two groups.

Responses of Authorities and High School Teachers

A description follows of the responses of authorities and high school teachers to competencies contained in Questionnaire III. Appendix N, page 224, contains a complete listing of those competencies rated <u>crucial</u> and <u>highly desirable</u> by a modal consensus of authorities and high school teachers. A list of those competencies rated <u>crucial</u> and <u>highly desirable</u> by 50 percent or more of either group is also included in Appendix N, page 224. A complete listing of those competencies found to be significantly different in the reporting of the different groups is shown in Appendix P, page 249.

Planning

Included in the category of <u>Planning</u> are selecting aims, objectives, goals; collaborating with others in planning, developing classroom procedures; selecting or developing materials and activities, and organizing students. Questionnaire III sent to the three groups contained 37 competencies under the <u>Planning</u> category.

Table 16 shows a comparison of responses by business education authorities and high school teachers on <u>Planning</u> competencies including chi-square values and percentages of responses.

Two competencies were rated by the consensus of both groups as <u>crucial</u>. They were: Competency No. 8, "Development of data processing concepts to be learned by the students";

and Competency No. 16, "Knowledge of the end results--what the student is expected to accomplish by the completion of the course."

The following competencies in <u>Planning</u> were rated as highly desirable by both groups.

- 2. Relationship of the data processing course to positions in industry and business.
- 4. Preparation of a syllabus for the teacher's use.
- 5. Preparation of supplementary instructional materials.
- 6. Preparation of a syllabus for the data processing course.

Planning Competencies as Indicated by Authorities and High School Teachers, Including Degrees of Freedom, Chi-Square, and Percentages of Responses

					High School Teachers (19)									
No.	DF	Square	С	н	D	N	I	x	С	Н	D	N	I	x
1*	2	7.42	6	75	19					37	63			
2	2	.00	23	74	3				. 26	74				
3*	3	12.70	4	37	55	4			11	89				
4	2	2.01	26	68	6				21	. 63	16		640 PH	·
5	2	. 22	26	68	6				21	79				
6	2	1.61	29	58	13				11	61	28			
7*	2	8.54	68	16	16				21	53	26			
8	3	. 22	77	17	3		3		68	21	11			
9	5	9.25		13 [,]	55	26	3	3	5	53	37			5
10	5	4.27	3	19	49	23	3	3	5	42	47			5
11	2	4.23	65	19	16				42	53	5			
12	2	1.70	16	71	13				37	53	11			
13	3	3.74	19	71	10				26	68		5		
14	3	5.03	- /	42	45	10			5	79	16			
15	4	5.10	32	48	13		3	3	11	89				
16	2	05	65	32			3		74	26				
17	3	1.96	6	78	13	3			11	53	32	5		

*Significant	Difference	at the .	05 Level
1 2 0 4 1	A B A 1 E	4 11 00	^

1=3.941 3=7.815 4=11.070

2=5.991 4=9.448

Table 16 continued

				A	uthori	ties (3	1)			High School Teachers (19)						
No.	DF	Chi- Square	С	н	D	N	I	х	С	н	D	Ν	I	х		
18	4	.94	10	68	16	3	3		11	56	33					
19	2	5.22	65	29	6				26	63	11					
20	2	3.46	19	36	45				11	68	21					
21	2	1.09	16	45	39				16	63	21					
22	4	.09	27	53	13	3	3	- - .	21	58	21					
23	3	.52	23	61	13	3			11	74	16					
24	5	1.50	6	20	57	14	3			26	68			5		
25	4	. 57	16	55	23	3	3		21	68	11					
26	2	.04	10	74	16		-		16	68	16					
27	3	1.51	6	61	29		3		16	74	11					
28	2	.05	16	55	29				11	63	26					
29	4	6.59	3	7	59	31				37	53	5		5		
30	4	3.80	14	26	48	6	6			58	42	~ -				
31	3	5.87	32	61	7				21	47	26	5				
32	3	3.71	48	33	16	3			37	63						
33	3	.07	3	35	58	3				32	68					
34	4	2.66	23	56	9	9	3		16	53	32					
35	3	7.13	3	17	60	20			5	58	32	5				
36*	3	20.14	13	13	55	19				84	11	5				
37	3	6.73	71	19			10		32	58	5		5			

*Significant Difference at the .05 Level 1=3.941 3=7.815 4=11.070 2=5.991 4=9.448

- Development of instructional strategies appropriate to students' stated objectives and students' learning styles.
- Recognition of the need for strategies appropriate to students' stated objectives and students' learning styles.
- 15. Provision for various teaching methods using creativity and imagination.
- 17. Development of behavioral objectives.
- 18. Use of behavioral objectives.
- 21. Selection of supplementary materials.
- 22. Establishment of grading standards.
- 23. Establishment of grading standards based on students' competencies and established objectives.
- 25. Collaboration with businesses employing students for updating course content.
- 26. Collaboration with other data processing teachers, business education teachers, and administrators in planning.
- 27. Planning course outline using long-range objectives.
- Knowledge of content resulting from practical business experience in positions involving data processing.
- 31. Provision for exercises using data processing equipment.
- 34. Provision for exercises using computer program assignments.

Of the 37 Planning competencies, only four were found to

be significantly different in the reporting of the two groups.

Administration

<u>Administration</u> includes arranging physical environment, establishing and maintaining procedures and routines, maintaining records, and organizing materials and equipment. Questionnaire III sent to the three groups contained nine competencies under the Administration category.

Table 17 shows chi-square values and percentages of responses obtained from business data processing authorities and high school teachers in the Administration area.

Competency No. 9, "Provision for repair and maintenance of equipment if needed," was believed to be <u>crucial</u> by authorities and high school teachers alike.

The following competencies in <u>Administration</u> were rated as highly desirable by both groups:

- 1. The ability to conduct conferences with parents, teachers, and students.
- 2. Arrangement of physical equipment conducive to a learning environment.
- 4. Establishment and maintenance of classroom procedures and routines.
- Establishment of smooth classroom routines, including tardiness and absences, paper collection and return.

Administration Competencies as Indicated by Authorities and High School Teachers, Including Degrees of Freedom, Chi-Square, and Percentages of Responses

				A	Author	ities (31)			F Te	ligh So acher	chool s (19)		
No.	DF	Chi- Square	С	н	D	N	I	х	С	н	D	N	I	x
1	4	2.77	6	65	23	3		3	11	79	11			
2	5	3.28	13	58	19	3	3	3	11	79	11			
3*	3	8.41	23	35	39			3	26	68	5			
4	4	.65	16	62	16		3	3	26	68	5			
5*	4	14.73	10	16	65	6		3	63	21	16			
6	4	4.84	29	16	45	6		4	63	21	16			
7	5	5.07	6	42	42	4	3	3	21	68	11		~ ~	
8	3	4.40	3	45	48			4	5	79	16			
9	5	3.15	35	20	23	12	2	6	47	42	5	5		

*Significant	Difference	at the .05 Level
1=3.841	3=7.815	5=11.070
2=5.991	4=9.448	

A bimodal consensus was recorded for Competency No. 7. Forty-two percent of the authorities and 68 percent of the high school teachers considered this to be a <u>highly desirable</u> competency. However, 42 percent of the authority group also rated the competency crucial.

Instruction

In this category are included structuring, motivating, and reinforcing students, providing for feedback, presenting information, and conducting learning activities. Using the Hebert model, ⁶² the competencies were divided into two areas--<u>Content</u> and <u>Methodologies Techniques</u>. Questionnaire III sent to the three groups listed 49 competencies in the <u>Content</u> area of the <u>Instruction</u> category and 39 competencies in the <u>Methodologies/Techniques</u> area of the <u>Instruction</u> category.

<u>Content</u>. Chi-square values and percentages of responses to <u>Content</u> competencies by business data processing authorities and high school teachers are given in Table 18.

62 Hebert, op cit.

Instruction (Content) Competencies as Indicated by Authorities and High School Teachers, Including Degrees of Freedom, Chi-Square, and Percentages of Responses

				A	uthori	ties (3	1)			F Te	ligh So acher	chool s (19)		
No.	DF	Chi- Square	С	Η	D	Ν	I	x	С	Н	D	Ν	I	х
1*	3	16.40	3	20	74	3			11	79	11			
2	1	2.24	93	7					74	26				
3	2	.02	86	10			4		79	16			5	
4*	3	8.97	71	19	3		7		32	68				
5*	2	7.51	71	19			10		37	63				
6	4	.52	20	30	36	7	7		26	42	26	5		
7	4	1.16	41	45	7	4	3		26	68	5			
8	3	6.03	26	58	13	3			11	89				
9	3	6.80	16	32	48	4			21	63	16			
10	4	.20	10	66	7	3	14		16	68	11		5	
11	3	6.81	14	31	48		7		21	68	11			
12*	3	9,99	56	30	10		4		11	79	11			
13	5	10.97	3	9	20	62	3	3	11	5	68	16		
14	3	. 65	3	13	81	3			5	26	68			
15	3	7.45	16	61	20	3			11	26	63			
16*	3	9.45	65	23	10		2		26	74				
17	3	2.58	9	71	10		10		16	63	21			

				А	uthori	ties (3	31)			I T	High S eacher	chool rs (19)		
		Chi-												<u></u>
No.	DF	Square	С	Н	D	N	I	x	С	Н	D	Ν	I	Х
18	3	5.94	14	76	3		7		16	68	16			
19	3	2.93	19	48	29		3			44	56			
20	3	5,33	13	60	20	7			5	31	58	5		
21	4	2.16	23	48	23	33	3		5	74	21			
22	3	5.31	13	26	.61				5	63	26			5
23*	3	20.13	13	67	13	7			11	5	79	5		
24	5	1.88	3	23	52	10	6	6		21	79			
25	5	3.17	10	10	68	6	3	3		33	50	6		11
26	4	7.31	13	19	68				5	5	74	11		5
27	5	5.09	12	30	38	12	4	4	11	11	58	16		5
28*	4	18.14		31	60	6	3		21	68	11			
29*	3	12.40	7	19	74					63	32			5
30*	5	11.57	20	17	53	7	3		5	58	26		5	5
31.1	3	1.50	29	48	19		4		26	63	11			
31.2	4	7.93	10	48	36	3	3		16	79	5			
31.3	5	5.29	4	46	31	15	4		11	47	26	5		11
31.4	5	1.31	3	14	64	16	3		5	5	68	11		11
31.5	5	.95	6	19	58	13	4		5	5	68	16		5
31.6	5	1.59	3	16	58	19	3		5	5	63	16		11
31.7	5	1.35	6	23	51	16	3		5	32	42	11		11
31.8	5	4.88	6	16	55	19	3		5	42	26	16		11
31.9	5	3.50	7	13	43	17	20		5	5	68	16		5

				A	uthori	ties (3	31)			F Te	ligh So eacher	chool s (19)	·	
No.	DF	Chi- Square	С	н	D	N	I	х	С	Н	D	N	I	x
31.10	4	2.52		- *	33	63	3		5		47	37		11
31.11	5	4.94		7	56	30	3	4	5		21	63		11
31.12	5	6.23		3	55	39	3		5		47	37		11
31.13	5	. 44		13	61	20	6		5	. 11	68	11		5
32	5	6.54	6	6	16	10	52	10		6	17	44	22	11
33	3	.08	33	58	6		3		26	68	5			
34	2	.02	19	61	19				16	68	16			
35	3	14.92	58	32	6		4			74	26			
36	4	2.39	3	10	61	26				5	84	5		5
37	4	3.10	6		71	23			5	16	63	11		5

*Significant	Difference	at the .05 Level
1=3.841	3=7.815	5=11.070
2=5.991	4=9.488	

By modal consensus, the two groups rated the following competencies as <u>crucial</u>: Competency No. 2, "Knowledge of data processing terminology"; Competency No. 3, "Knowledge and application of data processing functions."

Those competencies which were rated as <u>highly desirable</u> by both groups follow:

- 7. Knowledge of use of punched cards.
- 8. Knowledge of record planning and layout for various mediums.
- 10. Ability to construct codes.
- 17. Knowledge of the minicomputer.
- 18. Knowledge about information storage and retrieval.
- 21. Knowledge of documentation standards.
- 31. Knowledge of computer languages and relative importance of each:
 - 31.1 COBOL 31.2 Fortran 31.3 Basic
- 33. Knowledge of input/output media.
- 34. Knowledge of report design.

Nine of the 49 <u>Content</u> competencies were found to be significantly different in the reporting of the two groups.

<u>Methodologies/Techniques</u>. A comparison of the responses of authorities and high school teachers to competencies in the <u>Instruction--Methodologies/Techniques</u> category is shown in Table 19. Chi-square values of the differences and similarities between the two groups are given as well as the percentage of responses.

Only one competency, Competency No. 7, "Ability to demonstrate flow charting," was rated <u>crucial</u> by the consensus of both groups.

The following competencies were rated <u>highly desirable</u> by the consensus of both groups:

- 1. Application of psychological principles of learning regarding:
 - 1.2 Motivation
 - 1.3 Practice
 - 1.5 Whole vs. part learning
- 4. Ability to teach computer (programming) languages:

4.1 COBOL

- 6. Ability to present systems design for data processing application.
- 9. Ability to transfer unit-record concepts to computer concepts.

Fifteen competencies in the <u>Methodologies/Techniques</u> category were found to be significantly different in the reporting of the two groups.

Instruction (Methodologies/Techniques) Competencies as Indicated by Authorities and High School Teachers, Including Degrees of Freedom, Chi-Square, and Percentages of Responses

				A	uthori	ties (3	1)			High School Teachers (19)						
No.	DF	Chi- Square	С	Н	D	N	I	x	С	н	D	N	I	х		
 1.1*	4	9.89	48	16	24		6	6	21	68	11					
1.2	4	4.05	30	40	20		6	4	16	79	5					
1.3	4	.54	23	48	19		7	4	26	63	11					
1.4*	4	23.03	13	10	61		13	3	19	81	1					
1,5	4	3.84	3	52	23		19	3	11	79	11					
1.6*	5	12.50	19	46	16	3	13	3	86	14						
2.1*	5	12.50	6	19	55	14	3	3	11	74	11	5				
2.2*	5	11.14	13	23	55	3	3	3	11	74	11	5				
2.3	5	. 68	6	19	49	16	4	6	5	16	42	32		5		
2.4*	3	9.07	65	16	16		3		26	63	11					
2.5	5	.06	7	19	48	15	3	7	5	21	58	11		5		
2.6	5	5.18	10	23	26	32	3	6	5	26	58	5		5		
2.7	5	1.28	6	19	36	29	3	6	5	16	58	16		5		
2.8	5	7.40	48	29	19		3		5	42	42	5		5		
2.9	4	1.86	32	48	13	3	3		58	32	5	5				
3.	3	7.21	53	34	10	3			16	79	5					

*Significant Difference at the .05 Level 1=3.841 3=7.815 5=11.070

2=5.991 4=9.488

				A	uthori	ties (3	1)			H T	High S eacher	chool rs (19)		
No.	DF	Square	С	н	D	N	I	x	С	н	D	N	I	Х
4.1*	3	10.60	38	41	9	9	3		11	84	5			
4.2	4	13.61	23	23	41	10	3			84	16			
4.3	5	7.38	6	19	49	23	3			53	37			1
4.4	4	5.44	3		36	58	3		5		63	21		1
4.5*	4	11.79	3	19	29	50			5	5	63	16		1
4.6	5	5.66	6	3	39	48	3				79	16		ļ
4.7	5	2.25	6	13	55	23	3			26	53	11		1
4.8	5	1.77	6	10	58	23	3			21	53	16		1
4.9*	5	13.81	6	10	13	45	23	3	5		68	22		!
4.10*	3	9.75			13	77	6	3			58	32		1
4.11	4	8.57		3	16	68	13	~ -		5	53	32		1
4.12*	4	15.75		3	10	81	6				63	26		1
4.13*	5	15.36	3	10	16	58	10	3		26	63	5		!
5	4	4.75	45	29	19	3	3		21	68	11			-
6	3	1.49	13	65	23				11	79	5			1
7	2	. 32	68	26	6				63	37	~ -			-
8*	4	16.35	51	23	17	6	3		5	89	5			-
9	4	1.27	10	61	19	6		3	26	58	16			-
10*	3	13.37	10	23	58	10			11	79	11			-
11	4	7.80	13	3	61	23				11	68	11		1
12*	2	11.48	13	68	19				16	21	63			
13	4	6.77	3	24	52	14		7	5	68	21	5		-

.

Communication

<u>Communication</u> competencies are those involving the operation of hardware (audio-visual equipment) and initiating and responding verbally and nonverbally. Sixteen competencies were listed in this category on Questionnaire III.

Chi-square values obtained for differences in responses between business data processing authorities and high school teachers as well as percentages of responses obtained from the two groups regarding <u>Communication</u> competencies are given in Table 20.

None of the sixteen <u>Communication</u> competencies were rated crucial by authorities and high school teachers.

The consensus of both groups rated the following competencies as <u>highly desirable</u>: Competency 3.9, "Familiarity with several media for use in teaching data processing and knowledge of relative value of each: Bulletin board"; and Competency No. 7, "Ability to use nonverbal communication."

Thirteen of the sixteen <u>Communication</u> competencies were found to be significantly different in the reporting of the two groups.

Communication Competencies as Indicated by Authorities and High School Teachers, Including Degrees of Freedom, Chi-Square, and Percentages of Responses

				A	uthori	ties (3	1)		High School Teachers (19)						
No.	DF	Chi- Square	С	Н	D	Ν	I	x	С	н	D	N	I	x	
1*	3	12.74	70	23	3			3	16	79	5				
2*	3	12.71	57	37	3			3	5	95					
3.1*	3	8.31	63	30	3			3	21	79					
3.2*	3	9.28	58	35	4			4	11	84	5				
3.3	4	2.62	10	33	47	6		3	5	63	26			5	
3.4*	4	11.18	7	7	80	3		3		53	37	5		5	
3.5*	4	11.35	7	17	67	6		3	5	68	21			5	
3.6*	4	10.29	10	30	53	3		3	16	74	5	5			
3.7*	4	11.48	7	20	67	3		3	16	68	16				
3.8*	4	18.88	7	7	74	10		2	5	68	21			5	
3.9	5	.90	13	47	30	3	3	3	5	68	21	5			
4*	3	14.16	73	13	10			3	18	71	12		-		
5*	3	15.65	70	20	6			3	10	79	11				
6*	4	15.18	55	26	13		3	3	5	90	5				
7	3	.77	14	69	14			3	5	90	5				
8*	3	17.11	83	10	3			3	26	74					

*Significant Difference at the .05 Level 1=3.841 3=7.815 5=11.070

2=5.991 4=9.448
Evaluation

Included in this category are selecting and assessing instruments, diagnosing student difficulties or abilities, and involving the students in self-evaluation. Questionnaire III contained eight competencies in the Evaluation category.

Chi-square values obtained for differences in responses between business data processing authorities and high school teachers as well as percentages of responses obtained from the two groups regarding <u>Evaluation</u> competencies are shown in Table 21.

None of the <u>Evaluation</u> competencies were rated <u>crucial</u> by authorities and high school teachers.

The following competencies were rated by both groups as highly desirable:

- 2. Construction of valid and reliable measurement techniques.
- 3. Use of pretest and posttest when applicable.
- 4. Self-evaluation of teacher techniques and methods for self-improvement purposes.
- 6. Use of student self-evaluation of his learning whenever feasible.

Significant differences were found in the reporting

of the two groups on four competencies.

Evaluation Competencies as Indicated by Authorities and High School Teachers, Including Degrees of Freedom, Chi-Square, and Percentages of Responses

				A.	uthorit	ies (3	1)			T	eache	rs (19)		
No.	DF	Chi- Square	С	Η	D	N	I	X ·	С	Н	D	Ν	I	х
1*	3	10.08	60	37				3	11	79	11			
2	3	1.06	27	67	3			3	11	79	11			
3	4	1.23	13	52	26	3		7	5	63	21	5		5
4	4	7.15	33	47	13	5		5	5	84	11			
5*	4	15.09	20	47	27	3		3		42	53	5		
6	4	2.58	7	73	14	3		3		89	11			
7*	2	21.69	77	15	8				11	84	5			
8*	3	21.26	73	17	7		3		11	79	11			

*Significant	Difference	at the .05	Level
1=3.841	3=7.815	5=11.070	
2=5.991	4=9.488		

Developing Pupil-Self

Included in this category are developing pupil-self concept, social interaction skills, learning-to-learn skills, and acceptance of responsibility. This general category is included in this study as it is a part of the Dodl categories⁶³ and because the introductory data processing teacher has the opportunity to develop pupil-self concept.

Competency ratings by authorities and high school teachers are indicated in Table 22. In addition, this table also indicates chi-square values of the differences and similarities between the ratings of the two groups.

Only Competency No. 14, "Stressing the need for regular attendance in the classroom," was considered <u>crucial</u> by a consensus of both groups.

The following competencies in the <u>Developing Pupil-Self</u> category were rated <u>highly desirable</u> by business education authorities and high school teachers:

 Assisting student in accepting responsibility of reaching his goals. (e.g., correct homework procedures, practice, etc.)

⁶³Dodl, op. cit.

Developing Pupil-Self Competencies as Indicated by Authorities and High School Teachers, Including Degrees of Freedom, Chi-Square and Percentages of Responses

				A	uthori	ties (3	1)			F T	ligh Se eache:	chool rs (19))	
No.	DF	Chi- Square	С	н	D	N	I	x	С	Н	D	N	I	x
1	3	. 89	24	62	11	~ =		3	21	74	5	_ 4		
2	2	1.52	17	73	10				5	84	11			
3	5	1.06	17	57	17	3	3	3	16	79	5			
4*	3	17.45	63	17	17			3	16	84				
5*	3	18.08	63	20	13			3	11	89				
6	4	4.52	20	57	13	7		3		95	5			
7*	4	9.86	10	24	48	14		3		79	21			
8	5	10.25	7	23	40	. 20	3	7		79	21		~ -	
9	5	.91	3	27	50	13	3	3		21	74	5		
10*	4	10.96	57	17	10	13		3	21	68	11			
11	4	1.06	26	52	16	3		3	21	74	5			
12*	2	14.88	71	19	10				26	74				
13	3	1.85	33	47	17	3		÷ =	16	74	11			
14	5	3.51	50	11	25	7	4	3	63	32	5			

*Significant Difference at the .05 Level

1=3.841 3=7.815 4=11.070 2=5.991 4=9.448

56

- 2. Development of ability in student to accept constructive criticism.
- Development of ability in student to work under pressure.
- Guidance regarding data processing as a vocational possibility, or work with counselor to provide services.
- 11. Development of ability in student to persevere.
- 13. Development of ability to use reference materials.

Of the fourteen competencies in this category, five were significantly different in the responses of the two groups.

Personal Attributes

The <u>Personal Attributes</u> category includes accepting self, evaluating and improving self, planning for one's selfimprovement, and solving problems. The area also includes interacting with others--both students and peers.

Table 23 contains chi-square values and percentages of responses obtained from business data processing authorities and high school teachers in the Personal Attributes area.

Nine of the thirteen Personal Attributes competencies were found to be significantly different in the reporting of the two groups.

Personal Attributes Competencies as Indicated by Authorities and High School Teachers, Including Degrees of Freedom, Chi-Square, and Percentages of Responses

				Au	uthorit	ies (3	1)			H T	ligh So eache:	chool rs (19))	
No.	DF	Chi- Square	С	н	D	N	I	х	С	н	D	N	I	x
1*	2	10.0	57	27	17				16	79	5			
2	4	8.98	60	27	6		3	3	21	79				
3*	4	11.18	48	29	19	3			11	68	16		5	
4*	3	12.20	67	23	7			3	16	79	5			-
5	5	.07	13	70	10	3		3	17	72	6		6	
6	3	1.42	59	21	14			7	47	42	11			-
7*	2	11.82	41	45	13					89	11			-
8*	2	13,90	77	17	6				21	74	5			
9*	4	14.25	67	16	10		4	3	21	79				-
10	3	.02	70	20	7			3	69	26	5			-
11*	3	17.45	63	17	17			3	16	84				-
12*	4	15.78	58	16	16		3	7	11	79	11			
13*	3	22.32	61	13	26				11	79	11	5		.

*Significant Difference at the .05 Level 1=3.841 3=7.815 5=11.070

2=5.991 4=9.488

The following competencies were rated <u>crucial</u> by business data processing authorities and high school teachers: Competency No. 6, "Development of students' confidence by never violating their trust"; and Competency No. 10, "Establishment of proper teacher behavior as an example to students."

Competency No. 5, "Establishment of rapport with administrative and supervisory personnel," and Competency No. 7, "Establishing a need for creativity," were rated <u>highly</u> desirable by a modal consensus of both groups.

High School and Junior College Teachers

A description follows of the responses of high school and junior college teachers to competencies in Questionnaire III. Appendix O, page 237, contains a complete listing of those competencies rated <u>crucial</u> and <u>highly desirable</u> by a modal consensus of high school and junior college teachers. A list of those competencies rated <u>crucial</u> and <u>highly desirable</u> by 50 percent or more of either group is also included in Appendix O, page 237. A complete listing of those competencies found to be significantly different in the reporting of the different groups is shown in Appendix P, page 249.

Planning

Included in the category of <u>Planning</u> are selecting aims, objectives, goals; collaborating with others in planning, developing classroom procedures; selecting or developing materials and activities; and organizing students. Questionnaire III sent to the three groups contained 37 competency statements under the Planning category.

Chi-square values and percentages of responses to the <u>Planning</u> competencies by high school and junior college teachers are shown in Table 24.

Considerable similarity was found in the reporting of the groups in the <u>Planning</u> category. Of the 37 competencies included in this section, only Competency No. 36, "Ability to recommend selection of equipment," was found to be significantly different in the reporting of the two groups. Eighty-four percent of the high school teachers believed this to be a <u>highly desirable</u> competency while 53 percent of the junior college teachers rated the competency <u>desirable</u>.

Only Competency No. 16, "Knowledge of the end results-what the student is expected to accomplish by the completion of the course," was rated as a crucial competency by both groups. 96

Planning Competencies as Indicated by High School and Junior College Teachers, Including Degrees of Freedom, Chi-Square, and Percentages of Responses

				I T	High S eache	chool rs (19)			Ju T	nior (eache	College rs (44)		
No.	DF	Chi- Square	С	н	D	N	I	x	С	Н	D	N	I	х
1	3	2.93		37	63				7	57	34	2		
2	2	. 38	26	74					37	61	2			
3	3	5.68	11	89					16	54	28		2	
4	4	. 36	21	63	16				23	49	23	3	2	
5	2	4.97	21	79					18	54	28			
6	2	. 48	11	61	28				23	56	21			
7	2	.00	21	53	26				24	48	28			
8	3	7.17	68	21	11				30	61	9			
9	4	5.24	. 5	53	37			5	2	26	44	23	5	
10	4	2.49	5	42	47			5	2	30	44	19	5	
11	2	1.20	42	53	5				36	43	21			
12	3	4.23	37	53	11				12	56	28	4		
13	3	2.09	26	68		5			16	63	19	2		
14	4	7.17	5	79	16				5	36	41	9	4	
15	2	5.23	11	89					14	74	23			
16	2	.90	74	26					56	37	7			
17	3	. 10	11	53	32	5			14	43	33	10		

*Significant Difference at the .05 Level

1=3.841 3=7.815 5=11.070

2=5.991 4=9.488

Table 24 continued

.

				ן T	High S eacher	chool rs (19)				Ju T	nior C 'eache	College rs (44	e)	
No.	DF	Chi- Square	С	н	D	Ν	I	х	С	Н	D	Ν	I	х
18	3	. 62	11	56	33				12	47	31	10		
19	2	. 11	26	63	11	~ -			33	53	14			
20	3	5.79	11	68	21				5	35	58	2		
21	3	1.49	16	63	21				7	49	39	5		
22	3	. 00	21	58	21				24	55	19	2		
23	3	2.63	11	74	16				12	51	21	16		
24	4	2.66		26	68			5	2	26	51	19		2
25	3	. 22	21	68	11				28	56	14	2		
26	2	.00	16	68	16				16	70	14			
27	2	1.66	16	74	11				13	57	30			
28	3	1.65	11	63	26				28	56	14	2		
29	5	2.02		37	53	5		5	5	19	50	19	5	2
30	4	4.26		58	42				12	66	15	5	2	
31	3	. 91	21	47	26	5			30	56	9			
32	2	2.66	37	63					35	47	18			
33	3	. 29		32	68				2	21	72	5		
34	4	6.24	16	53	32				44	44	6	3	3	
35	3	2.33	5	58	32	5			5	32	51	12		
36*	3	14.82		84	11	5			9	26	53	12		
37	4	. 13	32	58	5		5		39	48	7		6	

.

*Significant Difference at the .05 Level

1=3.841 3=7.815 5=11.070

2=5,991 4=9.488

The following 25 <u>Planning</u> competencies were rated as highly desirable by both groups.

- 2. Relationship of the data processing course to positions in industry and business.
- 3. Relationship of the data processing course to the consumer and society.
- 4. Preparation of a syllabus for the teacher's use.
- 5. Preparation of supplementary instructional materials.
- 6. Preparation of a syllabus for the data processing course.
 - 7. Use of a syllabus for the data processing course.
- 11. Preparation of an adequate lesson plan.
- Development of instructional strategies appropriate to students' stated objectives and students' learning styles.
- Recognition of the need for strategies appropriate to students' stated objectives and students' learning styles.
- 15. Provision for various teaching methods using creativity and imagination.
- 17. Development of behavioral objectives.
- 18. Use of behavioral objectives.
- 19. Selection of required textbooks or materials.
- 21. Selection of supplementary materials.
- 22. Establishment of grading standards.

99

- 23. Establishment of grading standards based on students' competencies and established objectives.
- 25. Collaboration with businesses employing students for updating course content.
- Collaboration with other data processing teachers, business education teachers, and administrators in planning.
- 27. Planning course outline using long-range objectives.
- Knowledge of content resulting from practical business experience in positions involving data processing.
- 30. Knowledge of advanced data processing systems for teaching more effectively.
- 31. Provision for exercises using data processing equipment.
- 32. Provision for "hands-on" experience if equipment is available.
- 37. Concepts of data processing to be learned by the students.

Although Competency No. 34, "Provision for exercises

using computer program assignments," was rated <u>highly desirable</u> by 53 percent of the high school teachers and 44 percent of the junior college teachers, a bimodal consensus was reported in the teacher group. Forty-four percent of the teachers also rated the competency as crucial.

Administration

Administration includes arranging physical environment, establishing and maintaining procedures and routines, maintaining records, and organizing materials and equipment. Questionnaire III sent to the three groups contained nine competencies in the

Administration category.

Competencies in the <u>Administration</u> category are indicated in Table 25. Also shown in this table are percentages of responses obtained from high school and junior college teachers in the Administration area.

Although none of the nine <u>Administration</u> competencies were rated <u>crucial</u> by high school and junior college teachers, five of the competencies were ranked as <u>highly desirable</u> by a consensus of the two groups. These were:

- 1. The ability to conduct conferences with parents, teachers, and students.
- 3. Organization of teaching equipment, materials, etc.
- 4. Establishment and maintenance of classroom procedures and routines.
- 7. Establishment of smooth classroom routines, including tardiness and absences, paper collection and return.
- 8. Establishment of rapport with community organizations to facilitate field trips.

Administration Competencies as Indicated by High School and Junior College Teachers, Including Degrees of Freedom, Chi-Square, and Percentages of Responses

					High Teach	School ers (1	l 9)			Ju: T	nior C eachei	ollege s (44)		
No.	DF	Chi- Square	С	Н	D	Ν	I	х	С	Η	D	N	I	х
1	4	3.98	11	79	11				7	49	37	5		2
2*	2	7.36	11	79	11				7	42	51			
3	2	1.08	26	68	5				14	70	16			
4	3	4.26	26	68	5				12	53	33	. 2		
5*	3	14.03	63	21	16				12	49	30	9		
6*	3	10.70	63	21	16				16	53	26	5		
7	3	5.34	21	68	11				7	44	35	12		
8	3	.84	5	79	16				7	60	28	5		
9	4	7.52	47	42	5	5			14	40	30	12	5	

*Significant Difference at the .05 Level

1=3.841 3=7.815 5=11.070

.

2=5.991 4=9.488

Three competencies were found to be significantly different in the reporting of the two groups.

Instruction

In this category are included structuring, motivating, and reinforcing students, providing for feedback, presenting information, and conducting learning activities. Using the Hebert model,⁶⁴ the competencies were divided into two areas--<u>Content</u> and <u>Methodologies/Techniques</u>. Questionnaire III sent to the three groups listed 49 competencies in the <u>Content</u> area of the <u>Instruction</u> category and 38 competencies in the <u>Methodologies/Techniques</u> area of the Instruction category.

<u>Content</u>. Table 26 shows the chi-square values of the differences and similarities between the high school and junior college teachers in addition to the percentages of responses for the two groups.

Significant difference was found in the reporting of the two groups on five of the competencies.

Only two <u>Content</u> competencies were rated <u>crucial</u> by high school and junior college teachers. These were:

⁶⁴Hebert, op. cit.

Instruction (Content) Competencies as Indicated by High School and Junior College Teachers, Including Degrees of Freedom, Chi-Square, and Percentages of Responses

		 .		I T	High S 'eache	chool rs (19)		·····	Ju T	nior C eache:	ollege rs (44)		
No.	DF	Chi- Square	С	н	D	N	I	x	С	н	D	N	I	х
1	2	4.31	11	79	11	- -			12	49	40			
2	2	1.33	74	26					52	43	5			<u> </u>
3	2	1.81	79	16			5		63	37				
4	2	.63	32	68					28	63	9			
5	2	.00	37	63					36	61	2			
6	3	5.01	26	42	26	5			9	26	63	2		
7	2	2.93	26	68	5				30	44	26			
8*	2	6.39	11	89					26	51	23			
9	3	2.02	21	63	16				14	45	38	2		
10	4	6.54	16	68	11		5		9	35	47	5	5	
11	4	7.49	21	68	11				7	37	40	14	2	
12	3	1.62	11	79	11				26	56	16	2		-
13*	4	20.39	11	5	68	16			9	16	9	40	26	
14	3	.51	5	26	68					33	60	7		
15	2	2.26	11	26	63				19	.44	37			
16	2	5.51	26	74			~ -		57	36	7			-

*Significant Difference at the .05 Level

1=3.841 3=7.815 5=11.070

2=5.991 4=9.448

.

Table 26 continued

				I T	High S eache	chool rs (19)			Ju: Te	nior C eacher	ollege s (44)		
No.	DF	Chi- Square	С	Н	D	N	I	х	С	Н	D	N	I	х
17	3	3.04	16	63	21				12	37	44	7		
18	2	.86	16	68	16				30	53	16			
19	3	.92		44	56				7	53	37	2		
20	3	1.21	5	31	58	5			12	44	37	7		
21	2	2.30	5	74	21				23	51	26			
22*	4	10.10	5	63	26			5	12	19	60	9		
23*	3	7.93	11	5	79	5			21	37	37	5		
24	3	1.83		21	79				9	23	58	9		
25	4	4.27		33	50	6		11	19	36	38	7		
26	4	8.06	5	5	74	11		5	7	47	40	7		
27	4	2.31	11	11	58	16		5	10	33	50	7		
28	2	2.31	21	68	11	~ -			37	42	21			
29	4	7.33		63	32			5	2	24	67	7		
30	5	.81	5	58	26		5	5	16	49	28	5	2	
31.1	2	. 25	26	63	11				35	51	14			
31.2	2	2.80	16	79	5				28	51	21			
31.3	4	6.88	11	47	26	5		11	5	20	58	18		
31.4	5	4.08	5	5	68	11		11	12	19	45	21	2,	
31.5	4	6.47	5	5	68	16		5	28	26	37	9		
31 6	4	2, 13	5	5	63	16		11	5	14	65	16		

*Significant Difference at the .05 Level

1=3.841 3=7.815 5=11.070

2=5.991 4=9.488

Table 26 continued

				H Te	igh Sc acher	hool s (19)				Ju T	nior (eacher	College rs (44)	e	
NI -	DE	Chi-	C	TT	n	NT	т	v	C	тт	D	NT	т	v
110.	DF	Square	C	п		IN	1	~		н	U	IN	1	
31.7	4	2.12	5	32	42	11		11	12	23	51	14		
31.8	4	4.26	5	42	26	16		11	14	28	51	7		
31.9	5	1.95	5	5	68	16		5	10	18	43	20	5	5
31.10	5	1.32	5		47	37		11		5	37	53	2	2
31.11	5	6.99	5		21	63		11		5	58	35	2	
31.12	5	2.25	5		47	37		11		5	44	49	2	
31.13	5	1.41	5	11	68	11		5	7	21	49	16	7	
32	5	8.49		6	17	44	22	. 11	17	14	10	10	36	14
33	2	. 98	26	68	5				33	51	16			
34	2	1.02	16	68	16				26	49	26			
35*	2	16.29		74	26				58	37	5			
36	4	2.13		5	84	5		5		16	63	19	2	
37	4	.78	5	16	63	11		5		9	70	21		

*Significant Difference at the .05 Level

1=3.841 3=7.815 5=11.070

2=5.991 4=9.488

Competency No. 2, "Knowledge of data processing terminology"; and Competency No. 3, "Knowledge and application of data processing function."

The following competencies were believed by both groups to be highly desirable:

- 1. Knowledge of data processing history.
- 4. Development of problem-solving ability.
- 5. Knowledge and function of automated data processing.
- 7. Knowledge of use of punched cards.
- 8. Knowledge of record planning and layout for various mediums.
- 9. Ability to keypunch information in cards.
- Knowledge of process of printing, calculating, and preparing reports.
- 18. Knowledge about information storage and retrieval.
- 21. Knowledge of documentation standards.
- 28. Knowledge of computer execution of a program.
- 30. Knowledge of computer language hierarchy.
- 31. Knowledge of computer languages and relative importance of each:
 - 31.1 COBOL
 - 31.2 Fortran

33. Knowledge of input/output media.

34. Knowledge of report design.

<u>Methodologies/Techniques</u>. A comparison of responses by high school and junior college teachers in <u>Methodologies/</u> <u>Techniques</u> competencies, including chi-square and percentages of responses, is given in Table 27.

Five competencies were found to be significantly different in the reporting of the two groups.

Competency No. 2.9, "Ability to demonstrate the computer"; and Competency No. 7, "Ability to demonstrate flow charting," were rated by the consensus of both groups as crucial.

The following competencies in the Instruction--Methodologies/ Techniques category were considered by high school and junior college teachers to be highly desirable.

- 1. Application of psychological principles of learning regarding:
 - 1.1 Favorable environment
 - 1.2 Motivation
 - 1.3 Practice
 - 1.5 Whole vs. part learning
- 2. Ability to demonstrate:
 - 2.2 Sorter
 - 2.4 Keypunch

108

Instruction (Methodologies/Techniques) Competencies as Indicated by High School and Junior College Teachers, Including Degrees of Freedom, Chi-Square and Percentages of Responses

				F Te	ligh So eacher	chool s (19)				Ju: Te	nior C eacher	College s (44)		
No.	DF	Chi- Square	С	н	D	N	I	x	С	н	D	Ν	I	x
1.1*	2	8,47	21	68	11					63	37			
1.2	2	2.77	16	79	5				7	65	28			
1.3	3	3.24	26	63	11				21	56	2	21		
1.4*	3	13.90	19	81	1				2	37	56	5		
1.5	2	5.72	11	79	11				10	45	45			
1.6*	3	22,70	86	14					12	50	36		2	
2.1*	4	9.80	11	74	11	5			9	28	49	9		5
2.2	4	4.12	11	74	11	5			12	40	30	14		5
2.3	4	1.35	5	16	42	32		5	7	21	52	14		5
2.4	2	.62	26	63	11				23	53	23			
2.5	4	.86	5	21	58	11		5	7	35	42	12		5
2.6	4	.16	5	26	58	5		5	7	28	49	12		5
2.7	4	.18	5	16	58	16		5	7	21	47	21		5
2.8	4	1.08	5	42	42	5		5	16	33	51			
2.9	4	.02	58	32	5	5			51	34	7	5	2	
3	2	. 48	16	79	5				21	65	14			

Table 27 continued

					eachei	:nool :s (19)				Ju: Te	nior C eacher	ollege 's (44)		
No.	DF	Chi- Square	С	н	D	N	I	x	С	H	D	N	I	x
								<u>-</u> <u>-</u> <u>-</u>						
4.1	2	4.05	11	84	5				30	51	19			
4.2*	2	6.10		84	16				32	55	14			
4.3	4	4.67		53	37			11	7	30	51	12		
4.4	5	3.41	5		63	21		11	12	14	55	17	2	
4.5	4	5.84	5	5	63	16		11	16	30	42	17		
4.6	4	1.40			79	16		5	5	12	65	19	~ ~	
4.7	4	4.22		26	53	11		11	16	14	58	12		
4.8	4	4.14		21	53	16		11	14	23	58	5		
4.9	5	1.97	5		68	22		5	15	8	45	23	8	3
4.10	4	2.49			58	32		11		2	45	48	5	
4.11	4	2.12		5	53	32		11		7	47	44	2	
4.12	4	4.58			63	26		11		5	40	53	2	
4.13	4	2.50		26	63	5		5	5	12	58	23		2
5	3	. 50	21	68	11				21	56	21		2	
6	4	2.88	11	79	5			5	23	53	21	2		
7	2	.12	63	37					53	42	5			
8	2	.94	5	89	5				16	72	12			
9	3	. 35	26	58	16	-			16	58	23			2
10	2	3.17	11	79	11				26	49	26			
11	4	3.34	~ -	11	68	11		11	9	30	47	12		2
12	2	2.71	16	21	63				14	47	40			
13	4	6,67	5	68	21	5			7	28	51	12		2
*Signifi	.cant Dif	ference at the	e.05 L	evel										

110

- 3. Ability to enrich classroom presentation with cards, tapes, etc., used in actual business practice.
- 4. Ability to teach computer (programming) languages:
 - 4.1 COBOL4.2 Fortran
- 5. Ability to demonstrate various input/output media.
- 6. Ability to present systems design for data processing application.
- 8. Ability to demonstrate input planning.
- 9. Ability to transfer unit-record concepts to computer concepts.
- Ability to relate personal business experience in data processing to classroom activities.

"Application of psychological principles of learning

regarding whole vs. part learning," Competency No. 1.5, was con-

sidered highly desirable by 79 percent of the high school teachers.

A bimodal consensus was reported for junior college teachers.

Forty-five percent rated the competency highly desirable and

45 percent rated it desirable.

Communication

<u>Communication</u> competencies are those involving the operation of hardware (audio-visual equipment) and initiating and responding verbally and nonverbally. Sixteen competencies were listed in the category on Questionnaire III. Table 28 shows the chi-square values obtained for differences in responses between high school and junior college teachers regarding <u>Communication</u> competencies and the percentages of responses obtained from both groups.

Significant differences were found in the reporting of the two groups on five competencies.

Although none of the sixteen <u>Communication</u> competencies were rated <u>crucial</u> by the reporting of high school and junior college teachers, seven competencies were rated as <u>highly desirable</u> by the two groups. These were:

- 1. Effective speaking skills.
- 2. Proper questioning techniques.
- 3. Familiarity with several media for use in teaching data processing and knowledge of relative value of each:
 - 3.1 Chalkboard3.2 Overhead projector
- 5. Use of feedback from interaction for improved teaching.
- 6. Understanding the importance of nonverbal communication.
- 7. Ability to use nonverbal communication.

Communication Competencies as Indicated by High School and Junior College Teachers, Including Degrees of Freedom, Chi-Square and Percentages of Responses

				T	High S 'eache	chool rs (19)			Ju T	nior (eachei	College rs (44))	
No.	DF	Chi- Square	С	Н	D	N	I	x	С	Н	D	N	I	х
l	2	. 49	16	79	5				28	67	5			
2	2	1.13	5	95					16	77	7			
3.1	2	1.19	21	79					30	61	9			
3,2	2	1.04	11	84	5				21	65	14			
3.3	4	3.01	5	63	26			5	2	40	49	9		
3.4	4	2.19		53	37	5		5	2	30	58	9		
3.5	5	5.99	5	68	21			5	5	33	42	9	12	
3.6*	3	14.26	16	74	5	5			8	26	63	2		
3.7*	3	16.14	16	68	16				5	19	74	2	~ -	
3.8*	4	17.19	5	68	21			5	5	14	77	5		
3.9*	3	9.96	5	68	21	5			2	23	63	12		
4	3	5.78	18	71	12				42	30	26	2		
5	2	4.64	10	79	11				33	51	16			
6	4	8.83	5	90	5				12	58	26	2	2	***
7	4	8.83	5	90	5				9	58	28	3	2	
8*	2	23.54	26	74					72	21	7			

*Significant Difference at the .05 Level

1=3.841 3=7.815 5=11.070 2=5.991 4=9.488 113

Evaluation

Included in this category are selecting and assessing instruments, diagnosing student difficulties or abilities, and involving the students in self-evaluation. Questionnaire III contained eight competencies in the Evaluation area.

Chi-square values obtained for difference in responses between high school and junior college teachers as well as percentages of responses obtained from the two groups regarding Evaluation competencies are shown in Table 29.

Although none of the <u>Evaluation</u> competencies were rated <u>crucial</u> by high school and junior college teachers, seven of the eight competencies in this area were rated by both groups as <u>highly desirable</u>. They were:

- 1. Selection of valid and reliable measurement techniques.
- 2. Construction of valid and reliable measurement techniques.
- 3. Use of pretest and posttest when applicable.
- 4. Self-evaluation of teacher techniques and methods for self-improvement purposes.
- 6. Use of student self-evaluation of his learning whenever feasible.
- 7. Ability to diagnose student difficulties.
- 8. Ability to apply appropriate remedial techniques.

Evaluation Competencies as Indicated by High School and Junior College Teachers, Including Degrees of Freedom, Chi-Square, and Percentages of Responses

		Chi		H T	ligh So eache	chool rs (19)		Junior College Teachers (44)						
No.	DF	Square	С	Н	D	Ν	I	х	С	н	D	Ν	I	Х
1	3	1.73	11	79	11				3	64	30	3	** =	
2	3	3.54	11	79	11				23	47	28	2		
3	4	1.31	5	63	21	5		5	14	47	35	5		
4	2	3.45	5	84	11				30	67	2			
5*	2	6.87		42	53	5			23	56	21			
6	3	3.68		89	11				14	58	26	2	~ -	
7	2	1.12	11	84	5				27	73				
8	2	.43	11	79	11				21	69	10			

*Significant Difference at the .05 Level 1=3.841 3=7.815 5=11.070 2=5.991 4=9.488

115

.

Only Competency No. 5, "Student evaluation of teacher techniques and methods for purposes of teacher improvement," was significantly different in the reporting of the two groups. High school teachers, 58 percent, rated this a <u>desirable</u> competency; and junior college teachers, 56 percent, considered it to be a highly desirable competency.

Developing Pupil-Self

Included in this category are developing pupil-self concept, social interaction skills, learning-to-learn skills, and acceptance of responsibility. This general category is included in this study as it is part of the Dodl categories⁶⁵ and because the introductory data processing teacher has the opportunity to develop pupil-self concept.

Table 30 shows the chi-square values of the differences and similarities between the responses of high school and junior college teachers to Developing Pupil-Self competencies. Percentages of responses are also shown for the two groups.

None of the fourteen competencies in the <u>Developing Pupil-</u> <u>Self</u> category were believed to be <u>crucial</u> by both high school and junior college teachers.

⁶⁵Dodl, op. cit.

Developing Pupil-Self Competencies as Indicated by High School and Junior College Teachers, Including Degrees of Freedom, Chi-Square and Percentages of Responses

				I T	High S eacher	chool rs (19))	Junior College Teachers (44)						
No.	DF	Chi- Square	С	Н	D	N	I	x	С	Η	D	N	I	x
1	3	. 93	21	74	5	- .			16	63	19		2	
2	2	.85	5	84	11				9	67	23			
3	2	1.12	16	79	5				19	63	19			
4	2	5,30	16	84					39	48	14			
5	2	2.67	11	89					28	63	9			
6	2	3.31		95	5				16	67	16			
7	1	.00		79	21					44	56			
8*	2	18.98		79	21					21	70	7	2	
9	3	4.40		21	74	5				30	40	19	11	
10	2	2.79	21	68	11				28	42	30			
11	2	1.12	21	74	5				23	58	19			
12*	2	11.65	26	74					60	23	16			
13	2	4.31	16	74	11				37	40	23			
14	3	6.08	63	32	5				24	56	17	2		

2=5.991 4=9.488

Only two of the <u>Developing Pupil-Self</u> competencies were found to be significantly different in the reported responses of the two groups.

Nine of the fourteen competencies in the <u>Developing Pupil-Self</u> category were rated as <u>highly desirable</u> by a consensus of high school and junior college teachers. They were:

- Assisting student in accepting responsibility of reaching his goals. (e.g., correct homework procedures, practice, etc.)
- 2. Development of ability in student to accept constructive criticism.
- 3. Development of ability in student to work under pressure.
- 4. Development in student of a respect for time, property, and rights of others.
- 5. Development of self-confidence in student's own ability.
- 6. Guidance regarding data processing as a vocational possibility, or work with counselor to provide services.
- 10. Development of ability to work independently through good study habits.
- 11. Development of ability in student to persevere.
- 13. Development of ability to use reference materials.

Personal Attributes

The <u>Personal Attributes</u> category includes accepting self, evaluating and improving self, planning for one's self improvement, and solving problems. The area also includes interacting with others--both students and peers.

Table 31 illustrates the chi-square values and percentages of responses to <u>Personal Attributes</u> competencies by high school and junior college respondents.

Only Competency No. 10, "Establishment of proper teacher behavior as an example to students," was rated <u>crucial</u> by high school and junior college teachers. A bimodal consensus was reported for junior college teachers. Forty percent of the teachers considered the competency a <u>crucial</u> one, while 40 percent believed the competency to be highly desirable.

Ten of the thirteen competencies in the <u>Personal Attributes</u> category were rated <u>highly desirable</u> by a consensus of both groups. They were:

- 2. Establishment of rapport that reflects a positive influence upon pupils.
- 3. Counseling of students concerning their individual problems.
- 4. Sensitivity to students' learning problems.
- 5. Establishment of rapport with administrative and supervisory personnel.
- 7. Establishing a need for creativity.

Personal Attributes Competencies as Indicated by High School and Junior College Teachers, Including Degrees of Freedom, Chi-Square and Percentages of Responses

				Hi Tea	gh Sch achers	001 (19)	··	Junior College Teachers (44)						
No.	DF	Chi- Square	С	Н	D	N	I	x	С	H	D	N	I	х
1	2	5.82	16	79	5				48	40	12			
2	2	1.19	21	79					30	61	9			
3	3	2.11	11	68	16		5		14	49	37			
4	2	1.33	16	79	5				26	58	16			
5	3	1.23	17	72	6		6		12	67	21			
6	3	. 33	47	42	11				35	51	12			2
7	4	8.29		89	11				19	43	33	2	2	
8	3	1.87	21	74	5				40	49	9	2		
9	2	1.52	21	79					19	67	14			
10	2	3.20	69	26	5				40	40	20			
11	3	4.88	16	84					45	48	5			2
12	4	1.06	11	79	11				21	57	14	5		2
13	3	. 42	11	79	11	5			21	64	12	2		

*Significant Difference at the .05 Level 1=3.841 3=7.815 5=11.070 2=5.991 4=9.488

120

- 8. Establishment of a positive attitude toward the teaching of data processing.
- 9. Ability to use patience with slow learners.
- 11. Provision for continuous learning and updating.
- 12. Development of total dedication to teaching.
- 13. Participation in in-service data processing conferences and workshops.

SUMMARY

This chapter contained a description of the populations participating in this study, together with the examination and explanation of the data obtained from the questionnaires. These questionnaires were administered to business education authorities in the data processing area, high school data processing teachers, and junior college data processing teachers. Chi-square values were computed for each competency as well as percentages of responses obtained from the three groups.

Chapter 5

SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS, AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This study was designed to determine the perceptions and differences in perceptions of business education authorities in the area of data processing, high school data processing teachers, and junior college data processing teachers concerning the importance of listed competencies needed for effective teaching of an introductory data processing course.

SUMMARY

Determination of these perceptions and differences in perceptions was accomplished by (1) developing a list of competencies needed by the introductory data processing teacher, (2) polling business education authorities in the area of data processing, Texas high school data processing teachers, and Texas junior college data processing teachers to obtain their rankings of the list of competencies, (3) analyzing the responses of the three groups, and (4) drawing conclusions and making recommendations that may aid business educators in the preparation of data processing teachers. Methods and procedures used in the collection and treatment of the data were discussed in Chapter 2. For purposes of this research, a modified Delphi technique was used.

Chapter 3 contained the review of the literature. This review was limited to business-teacher competencies and the effect of automation on business education. An adequacy of material concerning the effect of automation on business and education was found. However, only two studies and one journal article were found which directly related to business-teacher competencies. The search revealed no research which developed teacher competencies in the data processing area by using perceptions of business data processing authorities and high school and junior college classroom teachers.

Chapter 4 described the populations participating in this research, along with an examination and analysis of the data obtained from the questionnaires sent to the three groups. Chisquare values and percentages were computed for each competency to determine differences in the reporting of the three groups.

CONCLUSIONS

Based on the findings of this study, three lists of competencies were developed. One list contained those competencies rated crucial and highly desirable by a modal consensus of authorities and junior college teachers. The second list included those competencies rated <u>crucial</u> and <u>highly desirable</u> by a modal consensus of authorities and high school teachers. The third list contained competencies rated <u>crucial</u> and <u>highly desirable</u> by modal consensus of high school and junior college teachers. The three lists also included competencies which received a <u>crucial</u> or <u>highly desirable</u> rating by 50 percent or more of the respondents from either group but which were outside the modal consensus.

Authorities and Junior College Teachers.

The competencies that were rated <u>crucial</u> and <u>highly desirable</u> by authorities and junior college teachers are discussed here in terms of the number of competencies in each of the eight areas of Dodl's categories. For a complete list of the competencies, see Appendix M, page 212.

Planning

Only one Planning competency was rated <u>crucial</u> by a modal consensus of both groups, although eighteen additional competencies were rated <u>highly desirable</u> by the two groups. Another five competencies were ranked <u>crucial</u> by authorities and <u>highly desirable</u> by junior college teachers, while one other competency was considered <u>highly desirable</u> by authorities and <u>desirable</u> by junior college teachers. An additional three competencies were rated <u>highly desirable</u> by junior college teachers and desirable by authorities.
Administration

Although none of the nine Administration competencies were rated <u>crucial</u> by a modal consensus of both groups, four were rated <u>highly desirable</u> by both groups. Additionally, one competency was rated <u>highly desirable</u> by junior college teachers and <u>desirable</u> by authorities.

Instruction--Content

Four Content competencies were considered <u>crucial</u>, and twelve competencies were rated <u>highly desirable</u> by modal consensus of the two groups. Six added competencies were rated <u>crucial</u> by authorities and <u>highly desirable</u> by junior college teachers, while another three were believed to be <u>highly desirable</u> by authorites and desirable by junior college teachers.

Instruction--Methodologies/Techniques

In the Methodologies/Techniques area of Instruction, two competencies were considered <u>crucial</u>, and nine were rated <u>highly</u> <u>desirable</u> by modal consensus. However, another four competencies were rated <u>crucial</u> by authorities and <u>highly desirable</u> by junior college teachers, while one other competency was ranked <u>highly</u> desirable by junior college teachers and <u>desirable</u> by authorities.

Communication

Two communication competencies were rated <u>crucial</u>, and one was rated <u>highly desirable</u> by modal consensus of both groups. An additional six competencies were rated <u>crucial</u> by authorities and highly desirable by junior college teachers.

Evaluation

None of the Evaluation competencies were rated as <u>crucial</u> by a modal consensus of the two groups, although six competencies were considered <u>highly desirable</u> by modal consensus of both groups. Another two competencies were rated <u>crucial</u> by authorities and highly desirable by junior college teachers.

Developing Pupil-Self

In the Developing Pupil-Self area, one competency was believed to be <u>crucial</u> and four were considered <u>highly desirable</u> by a modal consensus of the two groups. An added six competencies were rated <u>crucial</u> by authorities and <u>highly desirable</u> by junior college teachers.

Personal Attributes

Two Personal Attributes competencies were rated <u>crucial</u>, and two were rated <u>highly desirable</u> by modal consensus of both groups. Eight additional competencies were believed to be <u>crucial</u> by authorities and highly desirable by junior college teachers.

Authorities and High School Teachers

The competencies that were rated as <u>crucial</u> and <u>highly</u> <u>desirable</u> by authorities and high school teachers are discussed here in terms of the number of competencies in each of the eight areas of Dodl's categories. For a complete list of the competencies, see Appendix N, page 223.

Planning

Two Planning competencies were rated <u>crucial</u>, and eighteen were rated <u>highly desirable</u> by modal consensus of authorities and high school teachers. An additional five competencies were believed to be <u>crucial</u> by authorities and <u>highly desirable</u> by high school teachers, while another seven competencies were rated highly desirable by high school teachers and desirable by authorities.

Administration

Of the nine competencies listed in the Administration category, only one was considered <u>crucial</u> by modal consensus, while f our competencies were rated <u>highly desirable</u> by modal consensus. Two additional competencies were considered <u>crucial</u> by high school teachers and <u>desirable</u> by authorities, and another two competencies were rated <u>highly desirable</u> by high school teachers and <u>desirable</u> by authorities.

127

Instruction--Content

Two competencies were rated <u>crucial</u> by modal consensus of authorities and high school teachers, and another eleven were believed to be <u>highly desirable</u> by modal consensus. Another five competencies were rated <u>crucial</u> by authorities and <u>highly desirable</u> by high school teachers, while an added seven competencies were considered <u>highly</u> <u>desirable</u> by high school teachers and <u>desirable</u> by authorities. Three other competencies were rated <u>highly desirable</u> by authorities and desirable by high school teachers.

Instruction--Methodologies/Techniques

Although only one competency in the Methodologies/Techniques area of Instruction was rated <u>crucial</u> by modal consensus, six competencies were rated <u>highly desirable</u> by the two groups. Five competencies were rated <u>crucial</u> by authorities and <u>highly desirable</u> by high school teachers; two competencies were rated <u>crucial</u> by high school teachers and <u>highly desirable</u> by authorities; one competency was rated <u>highly desirable</u> by authorities and <u>desirable</u> by teachers; and seven competencies were rated <u>highly desirable</u> by high school teachers and desirable by authorities.

Communication

Although none of the competencies in the Communication category were rated crucial by modal consensus, two competencies

128

were believed to be <u>highly desirable</u> by modal consensus of the two groups. Eight additional competencies were rated <u>crucial</u> by authorities and <u>highly desirable</u> by high school teachers, and another six competencies were considered <u>highly desirable</u> by high school teachers and desirable by authorities.

Evaluation

None of the Evaluation competencies we re rated <u>crucial</u> by a modal consensus of the two groups. However, four competencies were rated <u>highly desirable</u> by modal consensus, and an additional three competencies were rated <u>crucial</u> by authorities and <u>highly</u> desirable by high school teachers.

Developing Pupil-Self

One competency in the Developing Pupil-Self category was ranked <u>crucial</u> by modal consensus of authorities and high school teachers. Another six competencies were believed to be <u>highly</u> <u>desirable</u> by modal consensus, and four other competencies were rated <u>crucial</u> by authorities and <u>highly desirable</u> by high school teachers. Two added competencies were considered <u>highly</u> desirable by high school teachers and <u>desirable</u> by authorities.

Personal Attributes

Two competencies in the Personal Attributes area were ranked <u>crucial</u> by modal consensus, and two were rated <u>highly</u> <u>desirable</u> by modal consensus. Another nine competencies were considered <u>crucial</u> by authorities and <u>highly desirable</u> by high school teachers.

Junior College and High School

The competencies that were rated as <u>crucial</u> and <u>highly</u> <u>desirable</u> by junior college and high school teachers are discussed here in terms of the number of competencies in each of the eight areas of Dodl's categories. For a complete list of the competencies, see Appendix O, page 237.

Planning

Although only one Planning competency was believed to be <u>crucial</u> by a modal consensus of junior college and high school teachers, 25 competencies were considered <u>highly desirable</u> by modal consensus of the two groups. One additional competency was rated <u>crucial</u> by high school teachers and <u>highly desirable</u> by junior college teachers. Five added competencies were rated highly desirable by high school teachers and desirable by junior college teachers, and one other competency was rated <u>highly</u> <u>desirable</u> by junior college teachers and <u>desirable</u> by high school teachers.

Administration

Although none of the Administration competencies were considered <u>crucial</u> by modal consensus of junior college and high school teachers, five competencies were rated <u>highly desirable</u> by modal consensus. Two competencies were rated <u>crucial</u> by high school teachers and <u>highly desirable</u> by junior college teachers, while one other competency was ranked <u>highly desirable</u> by high school teachers and desirable by junior college teachers.

Instruction--Content

Two competencies in the Content area of Instruction were believed to be <u>crucial</u> by modal consensus of junior college and high school teachers, and fifteen competencies were rated <u>highly</u> <u>desirable</u> by modal consensus. Two competencies were rated <u>crucial</u> by junior college teachers and <u>highly desirable</u> by high school teachers; five competencies were considered <u>highly</u> <u>desirable</u> by high school teachers and <u>desirable</u> by junior college teachers; and one other competency was rated <u>highly desirable</u> by junior college teachers and desirable by high school teachers.

Instruction -- Methodologies / Techniques

Two competencies in the Methodologies/Techniques area of Instruction were considered <u>crucial</u> by modal consensus of the two groups, and fourteen competencies were rated <u>highly desirable</u> by modal consensus. One other competency was rated <u>crucial</u> by high school teachers and <u>highly desirable</u> by junior college teachers, and four additional competencies were rated <u>highly desirable</u> by high school teachers and desirable by junior college teachers.

Communication

While none of the Communication competencies were ranked <u>crucial</u> by modal consensus of the two groups, seven competencies were rated <u>highly desirable</u> by modal consensus. Two competencies were rated <u>crucial</u> by junior college teachers and <u>highly desirable</u> by high school teachers, while seven competencies were rated <u>highly desirable</u> by high school teachers and <u>desirable</u> by junior college teachers.

Evaluation

Although none of the Evaluation competencies were rated <u>crucial</u>, seven competencies were believed to be <u>highly desirable</u> by modal consensus of the two groups. One added competency was rated highly desirable by junior college teachers and desirable by high school teachers.

Developing Pupil-Self

None of the competencies in the Developing Pupil-Self category were rated <u>crucial</u> by modal consensus of junior college and high school teachers, although nine were rated <u>highly desirable</u> by modal consensus. One competency was rated <u>crucial</u> by high school teachers and <u>highly desirable</u> by junior college teachers; one competency was rated <u>crucial</u> by junior college teachers and <u>highly desirable</u> by high school teachers; and two competencies were rated <u>highly desirable</u> by high school teachers and <u>desirable</u> by junior college teachers.

Personal Attributes

Only one competency in the Personal Attributes area was rated <u>crucial</u> by modal consensus of junior college and high school teachers. However, ten of the competencies were believed to be <u>highly desirable</u> by modal consensus of the two groups. One added competency was rated <u>highly desirable</u> by junior college teachers and <u>crucial</u> by high school teachers, and one further competency was considered <u>highly desirable</u> by high school teachers and <u>crucial</u> by junior college teachers.

Conflicting Opinions Among the Three Groups

Using a chi-square computation, significant differences at the .05 level of confidence were determined among the three groups. Convergent opinions far outnumbered divergent opinions.

High School and junior college teachers responded similarly to most competencies (88 percent). Only 22 significant differences (12 percent) were found in responses to 183 competencies.

Greater differences occurred between authorities and junior college teachers. Forty-one significant differences were found between the responses of the two groups to 183 competencies (22 percent).

Fifty-nine significant differences were found bewteen the responses of authorities and high school teachers (32 percent).

A complete listing of significant differences can be seen in Appendix P, page 249.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the findings and conclusions of this study, the following recommendations are made for planning the curriculum of business-teacher education programs:

- 1. Attention should be given by business-teacher educators to those competencies rated <u>crucial</u> by high school teachers, junior college teachers, and business data processing authorities. Development of those competencies which are not now included in methods courses should be implemented.
- 2. Those competencies rated <u>highly desirable</u> by the three groups which are not now included in methods courses should also be added to the curriculum of data processing methods courses.
- 3. Those competencies rated <u>crucial and/or highly</u> <u>desirable</u> by 50 percent or more of either responding group should be considered as content for methods courses.
- Curriculum materials based on the findings of this study should be incorporated in a methods textbook for introductory data processing teachers.
- 5. The competencies listed as <u>crucial</u> and <u>highly</u> desirable by the three groups participating

in this study should be subjected to validity studies to determine whether teachers possessing these competencies produce higher student achievement.

6. A further study should be undertaken whichwould result in behaviorally stated competencies.

.

APPENDIX A

Vital Statistics of the

Business Education Program in Texas

VITAL STATISTICS OF THE

BUSINESS EDUCATION PROGRAM IN TEXAS

GRADES 8-12

.

1973-1974*

Course	Pupils Enrolled	Staff	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Bookkeeping I	35, 295	1,020	
Bookkeeping II	1,628	67	
Record Keeping	9,065	219	
Business Math	9,003	247	
Business Com.	1,702	65	
Business Law	15,099	268	
Business Machines	3,746	60	
Business Office Exp.	639	27	
Bus. Cler. Practice	3,847	144	
Bus. DP, Comp. Oper.	986	29	
Bus. Org/Management	795	. 22	
Salesmanship	1,495	. 30	
General Business	27,063	545	
Shorthand I	19,467	827	
Shorthand II	1,637	95	
Per. Use Shorthand	673	15	
Secretarial Practice	1,149	64	
Typewriting, Grade 8	14,679	217	
Typewriting I	132, 180	2,035	
Typewriting II	27,484	948	
Other	5,327	113	
TOTALS	312,059	7,057	

*Obtained from the State Consultant in Business Education, Austin, Texas.

VITAL STATISTICS OF THE

BUSINESS EDUCATION PROGRAM IN TEXAS

GRADES 8-12

1972-1973*

.

Course	Pupils Enrolled	Staff	Sections Taught
Bookkeeping I	36, 437	1,013	1,667
Bookkeeping II	1,568	60	77
Record Keeping	9, 968	211	388
Business Arithmetic	10,323	252	433
Bus. Com. (Bus. Eng.)	1,963	67 -	93
Business Law	9,024	221	381
Business Machines	2,280	42	102
Business Office Exp.	742	23	40
Bus. Cler. Prac.	4,754	148	243
Bus. DP, Comp. Oper.	760	27	42
Bus. Org/Management	370	10	16
Bus. Salesmanship	647	17	28
General Business	23, 416	492	924
Shorthand I	21, 262	871	1,246
Shorthand II	1,375	85	90
Per. Use Shorthand	214	8	11
Secretarial Practice	945	50	64
Typewriting, Grade 8	12,132	166	451
Typewriting I	138,391	2,059	5,044
Typewriting II	30,484	974	1,497
Other Business Educ.	5,185	103	225
TOTALS	312,240	6,899	13,062
Vocational Office Coop	6, 213	303	303
Vocational Office Combination	3.883	153	153
Pre-Employment Laboratory	425	147	147
TOTALS	10,521	603	. 603

* Obtained from the State Consultant in Business Education, Austin, Texas

.

.

.

VITAL STATISTICS OF THE BUSINESS EDUCATION PROGRAM IN TEXAS

GRADES 8-12

1968-1969

COURSE	COUDSE TITLE	NUMBER OF SECTIONS		PUPILS ENROLLED		AVERAGE	CLASS SIZE	PER CENT OF BUSINESS EDUCATION ENROLLMENT		
NUMBER	COURSE TITLE	1968-69	+ OR - BASED ON 1967-68	1968-69	+ OR - BASED ON 1967-68	1968-69	+ OR - BASED ON 1967-68	1968-69	+ OR - BASED ON 1967-68	
0^{3} , 01 01 0^{3} , 01 02 0^{3} , 01 03 0^{3} , 02 01 0^{3} , 02 02 0^{3} , 02 03 0^{3} , 02 04 0^{3} , 02 05 0^{3} , 02 04 0^{3} , 02 07 0^{3} , 02 08 0^{3} , 02 07 0^{3} , 02 08 0^{3} , 02 07 0^{3} , 02 08 0^{3} , 02 09 0^{3} , 02 10 0^{3} , 03 01 0^{3} , 03 02 0^{3} , 03 03 0^{3} , 03 04 03, 04 03 03, 99 99	Bookkeeping I Bookkeeping II Record Keeping Business Arithmetic Business Communications Business Law Business Machines Bus. Office Experience Clerical Practice Bus. D/P, Comp. Oper. Bus. Org./Management Salesmanship General Rusiness Shorthand I Shorthand I Personal Use Shorthand Secretarial Practice Typewriting Grade 8 Typewriting II Other Business Education	1,674 71 233 294 82 254 116 39 238 42 11 26 716 1,286 112 7 87 282 4,835 1,475 92	27- 8- 139+ 13+ 3+ 19+ 7+ 5+ 26+ 31+ 1+ 8+ 71+ 6- 4- 1- 38- 1- 102+ 50+ 1+	37,242 1,283 5,842 7,099 1,778 6,033 2,463 777 4,561 658 261 641 18,308 22,821 1,866 141 1,519 7,400 131,917 30,685 2,213	453- 270- 3,572+ 469+ 12- 434+ 213+ 172+ 467+ 4101 83+ 196+ 2,120+ 618- 115- 31- 777- 614- 5,825+ 572+ 94-	21.95 18.07 25.07 27.42 21.68 23.75 21.23 19.92 19.16 15.67 23.73 24.65 25.57 15.75 16.66 20.14 17.46 26.24 27.28 20.80 24.05	.21- 1.59- .94+ 3.83+ .98- .08- .59+ 2.13+ .15- 6.88- 5.93+ .07- .471- 2.39- .42- 1.36- .91- 2.08- .64+ .33- 1.30-	13.05 .45 2.05 2.49 .62 2.11 .86 .27 1.60 .23 .09 .22 6.41 7.99 .65 .05 .53 2.59 46.21 10.75 .78	.71- .12- 1.22+ .07+ .03- .06+ .051 .04+ .114 .13+ .02+ .51+ .56- .08- .02- .31- .30- .18+ .24- .07-	
TOTALS		11,972	391+	285,508	11,549+	21.72	.20-	100.00		

,

George T. Lagleder State Consultant in Business Education Austin, Texas

.

.

.

APPENDIX B

Competencies Selected by Observations of

.

Practicing Teachers

List of Competencies Selected by Observations

of the Researcher

The teacher of introductory data processing should understand, demonstrate, and effect the following:

- 1. Facility at the blackboard.
- 2. Ability to use overhead projector effectively.
- 3. Knowledge of course content.
- 4. Ability to communicate knowledge to students.
- 5. Ability to speak effectively.
- 6. Ability to develop a lesson plan.
- 7. Ability to read the environment of the classroom and change the lesson plan accordingly.
- 8. Ability to use tape recorder.
- 9. Ability to use slide projector.
- 10. Ability to develop and maintain a bulletin board.
- 11. Knowledge of at least two machine languages.
- 12. Ability to develop supplementary materials to reinforce the text.
- 13. Knowledge of input/output systems.
- 14. Knowledge of computer math.
- 15. Knowledge of history of computers.
- 16. Ability to relate classroom experiences to business world.
- 17. Ability to keypunch.
- 18. Ability to demonstrate use of machinery.
- 19. Ability to diagnose student difficulties.

APPENDIX C

Bibliographical List Used to Determine

Data Processing Competencies

BIBLIOGRAPHY

(Used to Determine Competencies)

- Bangs, F. Kendrick. Curricular Implications of Automated Data Processing for Educational Institutions. Boulder: University of Colorado, 1968.
- Hebert, Margaret. "An Analysis of Competencies Needed by Typewriting Teachers as Perceived by Business Teachers and by Authorities in Business Education." Unpublished Doctor's dissertation, University of Houston, 1973.
- McCullough, Edith L. "Performance-Based Business Teacher Education," <u>California Business Education Journal</u>, VIII, Number 3 (May, 1973).
- Prather, Helen. "An Analysis of Competencies Needed by Shorthand Teachers as Perceived by Business Teachers and by Authorities in Business Education." Unpublished Doctor's dissertation, University of Houston, 1974.
- Wanous, S. J., E. E. Wanous, and Gerald E. Wagner. <u>Fundamentals of Data Processing</u>. Cincinnati: South-Western Publishing Co., 1971.
- Wanous, S. J., E. E. Wanous, and Arthur Hughes. Introduction to Automated Data Processing. Cincinnati: South-Western Publishing Co., 1968.

APPENDIX D

Request to Classroom Teachers

for

Participation in the Study

Your name has been submitted to me by the Texas Education Agency as a data processing teacher who might be willing to participate in a study establishing needed competencies for effective teaching of an introductory course in high school and junior college data processing. Your participation is a vital part of this study. The task will involve completing questionnaires on competencies and you will be furnished a synopsis of the completed doctoral disseration.

Please indicate your response on the attached card and mail it to me by October 1, 1974.

Sincerely yours,

Mrs. Nora Jo Sherman

njs

Enclosure

 Yes, I am willing to participate in your study.
 No, I am unable to participate in your study.
Name
School
Address

Your name has been submitted to me by South-Western Publishing Co. as a data processing teacher who might be willing to participate in a study establishing needed competencies for effective teaching of an introductory course in high school and junior college data processing. Your participation is a vital part of this study. The task will involve completing questionnaires on competencies and you will be furnished a synopsis of the completed doctoral dissertation.

Please indicate your response on the attached card and mail it to me by October 1, 1974.

Sincerely yours,

Mrs. Nora Jo Sherman

njs

Enclosure

4	Yes, I am willing to participate in your study.
	No, I am unable to participate in your study.
	Name
	School
	Address

APPENDIX E

Letter of Request to Authorities

for

Participation in the Study

•

In reviewing data processing literature, I have noted that you have written articles pertaining to data processing. Will you be willing to participate as an authority in a study establishing needed competencies for effective teaching of an <u>introductory</u> course in high school and junior college data processing? Your participation is a vital part of this study. The task will involve completing questionnaires on competencies, and you will be furnished a synopsis of the completed doctoral dissertation.

Please indicate your response on the attached card and mail it to me by October 1, 1974.

Sincerely yours,

Mrs. Nora Jo Sherman

njs

Enclosure

 Yes, I am willing to participate in your study.
 No, I am unable to participate in your study.
Name
School
Address

APPENDIX F

Cover Letter and Questionnaire I

Houston, Texas 77040 October , 1974

Dear

Thank you for agreeing to participate in my study to establish needed competencies for effective teaching of an introductory course in data processing.

For purposes of this study, introductory data processing is defined as a survey course overviewing manual data processing, usit record data processing, and a brief introduction to electronic data processing. The time span covered can vary and equipment may or may not be used. The population from which this sample is drawn encompasses Texas high school and junior college data processing teachers as well as authorities who have published two or more data processing articles in the past six years.

The Delphi technique will be used to gather expert opinions by means of three questionnaires to which you will be asked to respond. Each questionnaire should take approximately 25 minutes of your time. The questionnaire will be designed as follows:

- 1. The first questionnaire, which is enclosed, asks you to rate and revise the competencies listed and to add to the list those competencies which you believe were omitted.
- 2. From the second questionnaire, you will receive a feedback of the responses given by you and other contributors. Based upon your opinion and your knowledge, you will again be asked to rate these competencies.
- 3. The third questionnaire will indicate your responses in relation to the consensus of the group and you will again be asked to give your ratings. If you choose to remain outside the consensus of the group, you will be asked to state your reasons briefly.

Because the same set of participants are used throughout the study, you will need to sign your name. Your name, however, will not be used in the study unless your permission is sought and granted. The need for research-based information in the data processing area is critical. As an expert in the data processing area, your contributions to this study will be very valuable.

Sincerely,

Nora Jo Martin Sherman

njs

Attachments

QUESTIONNAIRE I

COMPETENCIES NEEDED BY THE TEACHER OF INTRODUCTORY DATA PROCESSING

Nora Jo Martin Sherman University of Houston Please return by

INTRODUCTORY DATA PROCESSING is defined as a survey course overviewing manual data processing, unit-record data processing, and a brief introduction to electronic data processing. The time span covered can vary and equipment may or may not be used.

DIRECTIONS: Within each of the 5 columns at the right, please react to each objective in terms of:

- C = Crucial
- H = Highly desirable
- D = Desirable but not absolutely necessary
- N = Nonimportant
- I = Incorrectly stated; needs revision
- X = Do not use; concept inappropriate

THE TEACHER OF INTRODUCTORY DATA PROCESSING SHOULD UNDERSTAND, DEMONSTRATE, AND EFFECT THE FOLLOWING:

Planning

1.	Relationship of the data processing course to other courses and the total school program.	С	н	D	N	I	x
2.	Preparation and use of a syllabus for the data processing course.	С	н	D	N	I	x
3.	The concepts of data processing to be learned by the students.	С	н	D	N	I	x

4.	Development and utilization of pre- assessment techniques which lead to pupil placement, formal and informal testing, personal inter- views and observations, previous grades etc	С	н	D	N	Ŧ	x
5.	Preparation of an adequate lesson	C	ч	D	N	Ť	x
6.	The development of instructional strategies appropriate to stated objectives and students' learning styles.	С	н	D	N	I	x
7.	Knowledge of the end results what the student is expected to accomplish by the completion of the course.	С	Н	D	N	I	x
8.	Development and use of behavioral objectives.	С	Н	D	N	I	x
9.	Selection of course textbooks.	С	H	D	N	I	x
10.	Establishment of grading standards.	С	н	D	N	I	x
11.	Selection of supplementary materials.	с	H	D	N	I	x
12.	Collaboration with other data pro- cessing teachers, business education teachers, and administrators in planning.	С	н	D	N	I	x
13.	Planning course outline using long-range objectives.	С	H	D	N	I	x
14.	Ability to plan a field trip.	C	H	D	N	I	x

•

 С	н	D	N	I	Х
С	н	D	N	Ι	х
С	н	D	N	I	х

(Please use the back for additional comments.)

THE TEACHER OF INTRODUCTORY DATA PROCESSING SHOULD UNDERSTAND, DEMONSTRATE, AND EFFECT THE FOLLOWING:

Administration

1.	The ability to conduct conferences with parents, teachers, and students.	С	н	D	N	I	x
2.	Arrangement of physical environment.	С	н	D	Ν	I	x
3.	Organization of teaching equipment, materials, etc.	с	н	D	N	I	x
4.	Establishment and maintenance of classroom procedures and routines.	с	н	D	N	I	x
5.	Maintenance, storage, and retrieval of records.	С	н	D	N	I	x
6.	Recording of grades in an efficient manner.	С	н	D	N	I	x
7.	Establishment of smooth classroom rountines, including tardiness and absences, paper collection and return.	С	н	D	N	I	x
8.	Establishment of rapport with community organizations to facilitate field trips.	С	н	D	N	I	x

9.	Procedures for repair and maintenance of equipment.	С	н	D	N	I	х
Other	suggested Administrative Competencies						
<u>.</u> .		С	н	D	N	Ι	x
		С	н	D	N	I	х
		С	н	D	N	I	x

THE TEACHER OF INTRODUCTORY DATA PROCESSING SHOULD UNDERSTAND, DEMONSTRATE, AND EFFECT THE FOLLOWING:

Instruction

Content

1.	Knowledge and application of data processing functions.	С	н	D	N	I	x
2.	Knowledge of development of data processing tools.	С	н	D	N	I	x
3.	Knowledge of manual data processing.	С	н	D	N	I	x
4.	Knowledge of automated data processing.	С	н	D	N	I	x
	Unit-Record						
5.	Knowledge of use of punched cards.	С	н	D	N	I	x
6.	Knowledge of card planning and layout.	С	н	D	N	I	x
7.	Ability to record information in cards.	С	н	D	N	I	x

8.	Ability cards.	to sort and classify data in	С	н	D	N	I	x
9.	Knowledge of process of printing, calculating, and preparing reports.			H	D	N	I	x
10.	Knowledge of the control panel.			н	D	N	I	Х
Other	r sugges	ted Unit-Record Competencies						
			С	Η	D	N	I	х
			С	Η	D	N	I	х
	Electro							
12.	Knowledge of the electronic computer.			Η	D	N	I	Х
13.	Knowledge about internal storage of information.		С	H	D	N	I	x
14.	Knowledge of key tape as an input device.		C	H	D	N	I	x
15.	Knowledge of machine languages:					•		
	15.1	Cobol	С	Η	D	N	í	Х
	15.2	Fortran	С	Η	D	Ν	ï	х
	15,3	Basic	С	Η	D	N	I	х
	15.4	Other languages (please list):						
			С	н	D	Ν	I	х
			С	Н	D	N	Ι	х
			С	н	D	N	I	x
16.	Understanding of human language programs and block diagrams.			н	D	N	I	x
17.	Knowledge of input/output systems.	С	н	D	N	I	х	
---------------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	
18.	Ability to flow chart.	С	Н	D	N	I	X	
Othe: Comp	r suggested Electronic Data Processing petencies							
		С	H	D	N	I	x	
		c	ਮ	л	N	т	x	

THE TEACHER OF INTRODUCTORY DATA PROCESSING SHOULD UNDERSTAND DEMONSTRATE, AND EFFECT THE FOLLOWING:

Instruction

Methodologies / Techniques

Application of psychological principles
 of learning regarding:

	1.1	Favorable environment	С	Η	D	Ν	I	Х
	1.2	Motivation	С	Ĥ	D	N	I	х
	1.3	Practice	С	н	D	N	Ι	x
	1.4	Relaxation	С	Η	D	N	I	x
	1.5	Whole vs. part learning	С	Η	D	N	Ι	x
	1.6	Transfer of learning	С	Н	D	N	I	x
2.	Ability t	o demonstrate:						
	2.1	Verifier	С	Н	D	N	I	х
	2.2	Sorter	Ċ	н	D	N	I	x
	2.3	Collator	С	Н	D	N	I	х
	2.4	Keypunch	С	н	D	N	Ι	х

	2.5	Reproducer	С	Н	D	N	I	Х
	2.6	Interpreter	С	н	D	Ν	I	х
	2.7	Accounting machine	С	Η	D	N	I	х
3.	Ability t	o demonstrate the computer.	С	Н	D	N	Ι	х
4.	Ability t tation w in actua	o enrich classroom presen- ith cards, tapes, etc. used l business practice.	С	н	D	N	I	x
5.	Ability t	to teach machine languages:						
•	5.1	Cobal	С	Η	D	Ν	I	X
	5.2	Fortran	С	Н	D	Ν	I	Х
	5.3	Basic	С	Н	D	N	I	Х
	5.4	Other languages (please list):						
			· C	Η	D	N	I	х
			С	Η	D	Ν	I	x
		······································	С	H	D	Ν	Ι	х
6.	Ability t output m	to demonstrate various input/ nedia.	С	Н	D	N	I	X
7.	Ability t data pro	o present an application of ocessing to systems design.	С	Н	D	N	I	X
8.	Ability t charting	o demonstrate flow	С	Н	D	N	I	Х
9.	Ability t planning	o demonstrate card and card layout.	С	Н	D	N	I	Х
10.	Abilițy t concepts	to transfer unit-record s to computer concepts.	С	н	D	N	I	x

11.	Ability experience classroo	to relate personal business nce in data processing to om activities.	С	н	D	N	I	x
Othe	r suggest	ed Instructional Competencies						
<u></u>			С	н	D	N	I	x
<u></u>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	С	н	D	N	I	x
THE SHOU THE	TEACHE JLD UND FOLLOW	CR OF INTRODUCTORY DATA PRO ERSTAND, DEMONSTRATE, AND E VING:	CES FF	SSI EC	∮G T			
Com	municatio	<u>on</u>						
1.	Effectiv	e speaking skills.	С	Η	D	N	I	х
2.	Proper	questioning techniques.	С	Н	D	N	Ι	х
3.	Familia use in te knowled	rity with several media for eaching data processing and ge of relative value of each.						
	3.1	Chalkboard	С	н	D	N	I	x
	3.2	Overhead projector	С	н	D	N	I	x
	3.3	Teaching machines, programmed instruction	.C	н	D	N	I	x
	3.4	Videotape recorder	С	н	D	Ν	I	x
	3.5	Tape and/or cassette recorder	С	н	D	N	I	x
	3.6	Motion picture projector	С	н	D	N	I	x
	3.7	Filmstrip projector	С	н	D	N	I	x

	3.8	Auto-Vance Projector (Filmstrip and cassette tape	C	ਸ	מ	N	T	x
	3.9	Bulletin board	c	н	D	N	I	x
			•		-	- •	-	
4.	Provisi interact	on for teacher-pupil tion.	Ċ	H	D	N	I	х
5.	Use of t for imp	feedback from interaction roved teaching.	С	н	D	N	I	X
6.	Unders: nonverb	tanding the importance of \cdot bal communication.	С	н	D	N	I	x
Othe	r suggest	ted Communication Competencies	S					
<u></u>			С	Η	D	N	I	Χ
			С	Н	D	N	Ι	Х
SHOU THE	JLD UNE FOLLOV	DERSTAND, DEMONSTRATE, AN WING:	ND EFI	FE	CT			
Evalu	uation							
1.	Selectio measur	on of valid and reliable rement techniques.	С	Н	D	N	I	х
2.	Use of _l applical	pretest and posttest when ble.	С	н	D	N	I	x
3.	Self-eva and met purpose	aluation of teacher techniques thods for self-improvement es.	с	н	D	N	I	x
4.	Student techniqu purpose	evaluation of teacher ues and methods for es of teacher improvement.	с	н	D	N	I	x
5.	Use of s	student self-evaluation of ming whenever feasible.	С	н	D	N	I	x

.

6.	Ability to diagnose student difficulties and apply appropriate remedial techniques.	С	Н	D	N	I	x
Othe	r suggested Evaluation Competencies						
<u> </u>		С	Η	D	Ν	I	Х
		С	н	D	N	Ι	х
		С	H	D	N	I	х
THE SHOU THE	TEACHER OF INTRO DUCTORY DATA F JLD UNDERSTAND, DEMONSTRATE, AN FOLLOWING:	PROCE	SSI FE(NG CT			
Deve	loping Pupil-Self						
1.	Assisting student in accepting responsibility of reaching his goals. (e.g. correct homework procedures, practice, etc.)	С	н	D	N	I	x
2.	Development of ability in student to accept constructive criticism.	С	H	D	N	I	x
3.	Development of ability in student to work under pressure.	С	H	D	N	I	x
4.	Development in student of a respect for time, property, and rights of others.	С	H	D	N	I	x
5.	Development of self-confidence in student's own ability.	С	H	D	N	I	x
6.	Guidance regarding data pro- cessing as a vocational possibility, or work with counselor to provide services.	с	н	D	N	I	x
7.	Encouragement of student to work in a part-time office position.	С	н	D	N	I	x

8.	Development of ability to work independently through good study habits.	С	н	D	N	I	x
9.	Development of ability in student to persevere.	С	н	D	N	I	x
10.	Development of ability to follow instructions.	С	н	D	N	I	x
11.	Development of ability to use reference materials.	С	Н	D	N	I	x
12.	Stressing the need for regular attendance in the classroom.	С	H	D	N	I	x
Othe1 Stude	suggested Competencies for Developing nt Self						
		С	н	D	N	I	х
•		С	н	D	N	I	х
		С	H	D	N	I	х
THE SHOU THE	TEACHER OF INTRODUCTORY DATA PROC ULD UNDER STAND, DEMONSTRATE, AND F FOLLOWING:	CES	SII FE(1G CT			
Perso	onal Attributes						
1.	Knowledge of the ethical procedures of a professional.	С	н	D	N	I	x
·2 .	Establishment of rapport that reflects a positive influence upon pupils.	С	H	D	N	I	x
3.	Counseling of students concerning their individual problems.	с	н	D	N	I	x

4. Sensitivity to students' learning problems. C H D N I X

5.	Establishment of rapport with administrative and superviso personnel.	ı ry	С	н	D	N	I	x
6.	Development of students' confidence by never violating their trust.		С	н	D	N	I	x
7.	Establishment of an example the students by his actions.	to	С	н	D	N	I	x
8.	Establishment of a positive a toward the teaching of data pr	ttitude rocessing.	С	H	D	N	I	x
Other	suggested Personal Attribute	S						
			С	н	D	N	I	x
			С	н	D	N	I	x
	NA	ME					-,	
	AI	DRESS	<u> </u>					

APPENDIX G

Background Information Questionnaire

for Teachers

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Degrees held]	Name
B.A. or B.S.	Year	
M.A. or M.S.	Year	
Ed.D. or Ph.D.	Year	
Type of certification		•
Areas in which certification is held		
Years of teaching experience		
Years of teaching experience in Data Process	ing	
Years of work experience in business		
Years of work experience in Data Processing		
Grade level taught		
Is Unit-Record Equipment used in your school	?	
Is Electronic Data Processing Equipment used	d?	
Is Time Sharing used?		
How many Data Processing courses are offere in your school?	ed	
Please list titles of Data Processing courses by you school.	offered	
Please list the titles of Data Processing cours	365	

you have taken.

.

APPENDIX H

,

Follow-up Letter for Questionnaire I

Mrs. Nora Jo Sherman

Houston, Texas 77040

November 12, 1974

Re: Study to establish competencies needed to teach data processing

Dear

If you have not returned the first questionnaire, please complete and return the attached copy to me at your earliest convenience.

The second questionnaire connot be mailed to you until I hear from you. May I have the questionnaire soon.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Nora Jo Sherman

njs

Enclosures

APPENDIX I

Cover Letter and Questionnaire II

Houston, Texas 77040

November 27, 1975

Dear Respondent:

Enclosed is Questionnaire II of my study establishing competencies needed to effectively teach an introductory course in data processing at the high school or junior college level.

Your help in refining and revising the questionnaire is appreciated. The suggested revisions were all beneficial. Your suggestions may not appear on the revised questionnaire exactly as you wrote them since related ideas were combined.

Due to the Christmas mailing problem, you are asked to respond to this instrument by December 6, 1974. The results of this questionnaire will be returned to you approximately one week following the deadline data and will be used to determine a consensus.

I am looking forward to receiving your completed questionnaire.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Nora Jo Sherman

njs

Enclosure

QUESTIONNAIRE II

COMPETENCES NEEDED BY THE TEACHER OF INTRODUCTORY DATA PROCESSING

Nora Jo Martin Sherman University of Houston Please return by December 6, 1974

INTRODUCTORY DATA PROCESSING is defined as a survey course overviewing manual data processing, unit-record data processing, and electronic data processing. The time span covered can vary and equipment may or may not be used.

DIRECTIONS: Within each of the 5 columns at the right, please react to each objective in terms of:

- C = Crucial
- H = Highly desirable
- D = Desirable but not absolutely necessary
- N = Nonimportant
- I = Incorrectly stated; needs revision
- X = Do not use; concept inappropriate

The coding is repeated twice for each item. The first coding is for responses of secondary teachers and the second coding is for responses of junior college teachers. Authorities, please answer both.

THE TEACHER OF INTRODUCTORY DATA PROCESSING SHOULD UNDERSTAND, DEMONSTRATE, AND EFFECT THE FOLLOWING:

Planning

	1.	Relationship of the data processing							
		course to other courses and the total	С	Η	D	Ν	I	Х	(S)
		school program.	С	Η	D	Ν	J.	Х	(J)
#	2.	Relationship of the data processing							
		course to positions in industry and	С	Η	D	Ν	I	Х	(S)
		business.	С	Η	D	Ν	Ι	Х	(J)

Competencies added by respondents.

Relationship of the data processing C H D N I X (S) # 3. course to the consumer and society. C H D N I X (J) Preparation of a syllabus for the # 4. C H D N I X (S) teacher's use. C H D N I X (J) Preparation of supplementary # 5. C H D N I X (S) instructional materials. C H D N I X (J) # 6. Preparation of a syllabus for the C H D N I X (S) data processing course. C H D N I X (J) Use of a syllabus for the data 7. C H D N I X (S) processing course. C H D N I X (J) # 8. Development of data processing C H D N I X (S) concepts to be learned by the students. C H D N I X (J) 9. Development of preassessment techniques which lead to pupil placement, formal and informal testing, personal interviews and observations, C H D N I X (S) previous grades, etc. C H D N I X (J)#10. Utilization of preassessment techniques which lead to pupil placement, formal and informal testing, personal interviews and observations, C H D N I X (S) previous grades, etc. C H D N I X (J)11. Preparation of an adequate lesson C H D N I X (S) C H D N I X (J) plan. 12. Development of instructional strategies appropriate to students' stated objectives and students' C H D N I X (S) learning styles. C H D N I X (J)#13. Recognition of the need for strategies appropriate to students' stated objectives and students' CHDNIX (S) learning styles. C H D N I X (J)

#	14.	Provision for making available the teaching materials from various manufacturers and data processing associations.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
#	15.	Provision for various teaching methods using creativity and imagination.	с с	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
	16.	Knowledge of the end results what the student is expected to accomplish by the completion of the course.	с с	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
	17.	Development of behavioral objectives.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
#	18.	Use of behavioral objectives.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
	19.	Selection of required course text- books or materials.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
#	20.	Selection of appropriate library reference materials.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
	21.	Selection of supplementary materials.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
	22.	Establishment of grading standards.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
#	23.	Establishment of grading standards based on students' competencies and established objectives.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
# [.]	24.	Collaboration with students in planning.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
#	25.	Collaboration with businesses employing students for updating course content.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)

26.	Collaboration with other data processing teachers, business education teachers, and admini- strators in planning.	C C	н н	D D	N N	I I	X X	(S) (J)
27.	Planning course outline using long- range objectives.	C C	н н	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
#28 .	Knowledge of content resulting from practical business experience in positions involving data processing.	C C	н н	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
#29.	Preparation of an individualized, self-paced course of study.	с с	H H	D D	N N	l	X X	(S) (J)
# 30.	Knowledge of advanced data pro- cessing systems for teaching more effectively.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
# 31.	Provision for exercises using data processing equipment.	. C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
# 32.	Provision for "hands-on" experience, if equipment is available.	C .C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
# 33.	Provision for speakers from businesses.	C C	н н	D D	N N	I I	X X	(S) (J)
# 34.	Provision for exercises using computer program assignments.	C C	н Н	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
35.	Ability to plan a field trip.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I	x x	(S) (J)
# 36.	Ability to recommend selection of equipment.	с с	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
37.	Concepts of data processing to be learned by the students.	с с	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)

_____. · · · · · · · · · · · ·

.

•

.

•

.

177

THE TEACHER OF INTRODUCTORY DATA PROCESSING SHOULD UNDERSTAND, DEMONSTRATE, AND EFFECT THE FOLLOWING:

Administration

1.	The ability to conduct conferences with parents, teachers, and students.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	X X	(S) (J)
2.	Arrangement of physical equipment conducive to a learning environment.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	X X	(S) (J)
3.	Organization of teaching equipment, materials, etc.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
4.	Establishment and maintenance of classroom procedures and routines.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
5.	Maintenance, storage, and retrieval of student records.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
6.	Recording of grades in an efficient manner.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
7.	Establishment of smooth classroom routines, including tardiness and absences, paper collection and return.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I	x x	(S) (J)
8.	Establishment of rapport with community organization to facilitate field trips.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
9.	Provision for repair and mainte- nance of equipment, if needed.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)

THE TEACHER OF INTRODUCTORY DATA PROCESSING SHOULD UNDERSTAND, DEMONSTRATE, AND EFFECT THE FOLLOWING:

.

Instruction

Content

Knowledge of data processing	C	H	D	N	I	X	(S)
history.	C	H	D	N	I	X	(J)
Knowledge of data processing terminology.	C	H	D	N	1	X	(S)
	C	H	D	N	I	X	(J)
Knowledge and application of data processing functions.	C	H	D	N	I	X	(S)
	C	H	D	N	I	X	(J)
Development of problem-solving ability.	C	H	D	N	I	X	(S)
	C	H	D	N	I	X	(J)
Knowledge and function of automated data processing.	C	H	D	N	I	x	(S)
	C	H	D	N	I	x	(J)
Knowledge and function of manual data processing.	C	H	D	N	I	X	(S)
	C	H	D	N	I	X	(J)
Knowledge of use of punched cards.	С	H	D	N	ľ	X	(S)
	С	H	D	N	I	X	(J)
Knowledge of record planning and layout for various mediums.	C	H	D	N	I	X	(S)
	C	H	D	N	I	X	(J)
Ability to keypunch information in cards.	C	H	D	N	I	x	(S)
	C	H	D	N	I	x	(J)
Ability to contruct codes.	C	H	D	N	I	X	(S)
	C	H	D	N	I	X	(J)
Ability to sort and classify data in cards by machine.	с	H	D	N	I	X	(S)
	с	H	D	N	I	X	(J)
Knowledge of process of printing, calculating, and preparing reports.	C	H	D	N	I	x	(S)
	C	H	D	N	I	x	(J)
Knowledge of the unit-record control panel.	C	H	D	N	I	x	(S)
	C	H	D	N	I	x	(J)
	 Knowledge of data processing history. Knowledge of data processing terminology. Knowledge and application of data processing functions. Development of problem-solving ability. Knowledge and function of automated data processing. Knowledge and function of manual data processing. Knowledge of use of punched cards. Knowledge of record planning and layout for various mediums. Ability to keypunch information in cards. Ability to contruct codes. Ability to sort and classify data in cards by machine. Knowledge of process of printing, calculating, and preparing reports. Knowledge of the unit-record control panel. 	Knowledge of data processing history.CKnowledge of data processing terminology.CKnowledge and application of data processing functions.CDevelopment of problem-solving ability.CKnowledge and function of automated data processing.CKnowledge and function of manual data processing.CKnowledge of use of punched cards.CKnowledge of record planning and layout for various mediums.CAbility to keypunch information in cards.CAbility to sort and classify data in cards by machine.CKnowledge of process of printing, calculating, and preparing reports.CKnowledge of the unit-record control panel.C	Knowledge of data processing history.CHKnowledge of data processing terminology.CHKnowledge and application of data processing functions.CHDevelopment of problem-solving ability.CHKnowledge and function of automated data processing.CHKnowledge and function of manual data processing.CHKnowledge and function of manual data processing.CHKnowledge of use of punched cards.CHKnowledge of record planning and layout for various mediums.CHAbility to keypunch information in cards.CHAbility to sort and classify data in cards by machine.CHKnowledge of process of printing, calculating, and preparing reports.CHKnowledge of the unit-record control panel.CH	Knowledge of data processing history.CHDKnowledge of data processing terminology.CHDKnowledge and application of data processing functions.CHDDevelopment of problem-solving ability.CHDKnowledge and function of automated data processing.CHDKnowledge and function of manual data processing.CHDKnowledge and function of manual data processing.CHDKnowledge of use of punched cards.CHDKnowledge of record planning and layout for various mediums.CHDAbility to keypunch information in cards.CHDAbility to sort and classify data in cards by machine.CHDKnowledge of the unit-record control panel.CHD	Knowledge of data processing history.CHDNKnowledge of data processing terminology.CHDNKnowledge and application of data processing functions.CHDNDevelopment of problem-solving ability.CHDNKnowledge and function of automated data processing.CHDNKnowledge and function of manual data processing.CHDNKnowledge of use of punched cards.CHDNKnowledge of record planning and layout for various mediums.CHDNAbility to keypunch information in cards.CHDNAbility to sort and classify data in cards by machine.CHDNKnowledge of the unit-record control panel.CHDN	Knowledge of data processing history.CHDNIKnowledge of data processing terminology.CHDNIKnowledge and application of data processing functions.CHDNIDevelopment of problem-solving ability.CHDNIKnowledge and function of automated data processing.CHDNIKnowledge and function of manual data processing.CHDNIKnowledge of use of punched cards.CHDNIKnowledge of record planning and layout for various mediums.CHDNIAbility to keypunch information in cards.CHDNIAbility to sort and classify data in calculating, and preparing reports.CHDNIKnowledge of the unit-record control panel.CHDNI	Knowledge of data processing history.CHDNIXKnowledge of data processing terminology.CHDNIXKnowledge and application of data processing functions.CHDNIXDevelopment of problem-solving ability.CHDNIXKnowledge and function of automated data processing.CHDNIXKnowledge and function of manual data processing.CHDNIXKnowledge of use of punched cards.CHDNIXKnowledge of record planning and layout for various mediums.CHDNIXAbility to keypunch information in cards.CHDNIXAbility to sort and classify data in cards by machine.CHDNIXKnowledge of the unit-record control panel.CHDNIX

# 14.	Knowledge of optical scanners.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	X X	(S) (J)
# 15.	Knowledge of data-base concepts.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	X X	(S) (J)
16.	Knowledge of the electronic computer.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	X X	(S) (J)
# 17.	Knowledge of the minicomputer.	C C	H H	D D	'N N	I I	X X	(S) (J)
18.	Knowledge about information storage and retrieval.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	X X	(S) (J)
# 19.	Knowledge of teleprocessing concepts.	С С	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
# 20.	Knowledge of multiprogram concepts.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
# 21.	Knowledge of documentation standards.	С С	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
# 22.	Ability to use decision tables.	с с	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
# 23.	Knowledge of interaction of systems and systems analysis.	С С	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
# 24.	Knowledge of recent changes to memory and programming systems such as vertical memory concept and monolithic memory storage.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
# 25.	Knowledge of JCL.	С С	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
# 26.	Knowledge of management informa- tion systems.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
# 27.	Knowledge of algorithmic design.	с с	H H	D D	Ň N	I I	x x	(S) (J)

#	28.	Knowle a prog:	edge of computer execution of ram.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
	29.	Knowle device	edge of key tape as an input •	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
	30.	Knowle hierar	dge of computer language	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
	31.	Knowle relativ	edge of computer languages and e importance of each:							
		31.1	COBOL	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	X X	(S) (J)
		31.2	Fortran	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
		31.3	Basic	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
#		31.4	BAL	C C	H H	D D	N N	1 I	X X	(S) (J)
#		31.5	Assembler	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
#		31.6	PL/I	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
#		31.7	RPG	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
#		31.8	RPG II	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
#		31.9	Prime language of systems	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
#		31.10	GPSS	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	X X	(S) (J)
#		31.11	PERT/CPM	C C	H H	D D	N N	I T	x x	(S) (.T)

#		31.12	ALGOL	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
#		31.13	Machine language	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
	32.	Knowl langua	edge of <u>only</u> one computer ge.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
	33.	Knowl	edge of input/output media.	с с	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
#	34.	Knowl	edge of report design.	с с	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
	35.	Ability	y to flow chart.	с с	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
#	36.	K nowle packag	edge of computer statistical es.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	X X	(S) (J)
#	37.	Knowl technic	edge of computer simulator ques.	с с	H H	D D	N N	ľ I	x x	(S) (J)
	Meth	odologi	es/Techniques							
	1.	Applic of lear	ation of psychological principles ning regarding:							
		1.1	Favorable environment	с с	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
		1.2	Motivation	с с	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
		1.3	Practice	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
		1.4	Relaxation	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
		1.5	Whole vs. part learning	с с	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)

	1.6	Transfer of learning	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	X X	(S) (J)
2.	Ability	to demonstrate:							
	2.1	Verifier	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	X X	(S) (J)
	2.2	Sorter	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	X X	(S) (J)
	2.3	Collator	С С	H H	D D	N N	I I	X X	(S) (J)
	2.4	Keypunch	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	X X	(S) (J)
	2.5	Reproducer	C C	н Н	D ມ	N N	I I	X X	(S) (J)
·	2.6	Interpreter	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	X X	(S) (J)
	2.7	Accounting machine	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	X X	(S) (J)
	2.8	Report design	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	X X	(S) (J)
	2.9	Computer	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	X X	(S) (J)
3.	Ability sentati used ir	to enrich classroom pre- on with cards, tapes, etc. n actual business practice.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
4.	Ability (progra	to teach computer amming) languages:							
	4.1	COBOL	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	X X	(S) (J)
	4.2	Fortran	С С	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)

#

183

		4.3	Basic	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
#		4.4	BAL	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
#		4.5	Assembler	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	X X	(S) (J)
#		4.6	PL/I	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	X X	(S) (J)
#		4.7	RPG	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
ŧ		4.8	RPG II	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
#		4.9	Prime language of systems	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
#		4.10	GPSS	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
#		4.11	PERT/CPM	С С	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
#		4.12	ALGOL	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
#		4.13	Machine language	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
	5.	Ability input/c	to demonstrate various output media.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
	6.	Ability for dat	to present systems design a processing application.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
	7.	Ability chartin	to demonstrate flow ag.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
	8.	Ability plannin	to demonstrate input	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)

·

.

•

	0	Ability to transfor unit record	C	τ.J	n	NT	Ŧ	v	101
	7.	concepts to computer concepts.	c	H	D	N	I	x	(J)
	10.	Ability to relate personal business							
		experience in data processing to	С	Н	D	Ν	I	Х	(S)
		classroom activities.	С	Η	D	N	ľ	Х	(J)
#	11.	Ability to teach JCL.	С	н	D	N	I	x	(S)
			С	H	D	N	I	Х	(J)
#	12.	Ability to compare manual data							
		handling to each of the functions of	С	Η	D	Ν	Ι	Х	(S)
		computer data handling.	С	Η	D	N	I	X	(J)
#	13.	Ability to operate the classroom	С	H	D	N	I	х	(S)
		as a data processing office simulation.	С	Н	D	Ν	I	Х	(J)

THE TEACHER OF INTRODUCTORY DATA PROCESSING SHOULD UNDERSTAND, DEMONSTRATE, AND EFFECT THE FOLLOWING:

Communication

1.	Effecti	ve speaking skills.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
2.	Proper	questioning techniques.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	X X	(S) (J)
3.	Famili use in knowle	arity with several media for teaching data processing and dge of relative value of each.							
	3.1	Chalkboard	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
	3.2	Overhead projector	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	X X	(S) (J)
	3.3	Teaching machines, programmed instruction	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	X X	(S) (J)

		3.4	Videotape recorder	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	X X	(S) (J)
		3.5	Tape and/or cassette recorder	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	X X	(S) (J)
		3.6	Motion picture projector	C	H H	D D	N N	I I	X X	(S) (J)
		3.7	Filmstrip projector	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	X X	(S) (J)
		3.8	Auto-Vance Projector (Filmstrip and cassette tape recorder combined)	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
		3.9	Bulletin board	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	X X	(S) (J)
	4.	Provis interac	ion for teacher-pupil ction.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	X X	(S) (J)
	5.	Use of for im	feedback from interaction proved teaching.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	X X	(S) (J)
(6.	Under: nonver	standing the importance of bal communication.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	X X	(S) (J)
	7.	Ability commu	to use nonverbal inication.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	X X	(S) (J)
ł	8.	Ability	to explain verbally.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	X X	(S) (J)

THE TEACHER OF INTRODUCTORY DATA PROCESSING SHOULD UNDERSTAND, DEMONSTRATE, AND EFFECT THE FOLLOWING:

Evaluation

#

#

	1.	Selection of valid and reliable measurement techniques.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	X X	(S) (J)
#	2.	Construction of valid and reliable measurement techniques.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)

3.	Use of pretest and posttest when applicable.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
4.	Self-evaluation of teacher techniques and methods for self-improvement purposes.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	X X	(S) (J)
5.	Student evaluation of teacher techniques and methods for purposes of teacher improvement.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	X X	(S) (J)
6.	Use of student self-evaluation of his learning whenever feasible.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
7.	Ability to diagnose student difficulties.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
8.	Ability to apply appropriate remedial techniques.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	X X	(S) (J)

THE TEACHER OF INTRODUCTORY DATA PROCESSING SHOULD UNDERSTAND, DEMONSTRATE, AND EFFECT THE FOLLOWING:

Developing Pupil-Self

#

1.	Assisting student in accepting responsibility of reaching his goals. (e.g., correct homework procedures, practice, etc.)	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
2.	Development of ability in student to accept constructive criticism.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
3.	Development of ability in student to work under pressure.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
4.	Development in student of a respect for time, property, and rights of others.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
5.	Development of self-confidence in student's own ability.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)

	6.	Guidance regarding data processing							
		as a vocational possibility, or work	С	Η	D	Ν	I	Х	(S)
		with counselor to provide services.	С	Η	D	Ν	Ι	Х	(J)
#	7.	Guidance regarding scholarship	C	H	D	N	I	х	(S)
		possibilities for advanced study.	C	н	D	N	1	Х	(J)
#	8.	Stressing the need for high scholar-	-		_		_		
		ship to compete for scholarships in	C	H	D	N	I	Х	(S)
		advanced training.	С	H	D	Ν	Ι	Х	(J)
	9.	Encouragement of student to work	С	н	D	Ν	I	х	(S)
		in a part-time office position.	С	Η	D	Ν	I	х	(J)
	10.	Development of ability to work	~		_		_		
		independently through good study	C	H	D	N	1	X	(S)
		habits.	C	Н	D	N	Ţ	х	(J)
	11.	Development of ability in student	С	Η	D	N	I	х	(S)
		to persevere.	С	H	D	N	I	х	(J)
	12.	Development of ability to follow	С	н	D	N	Ι	х	(S)
		instructions.	С	Η	D	Ν	Ι	Х	(J)
	13.	Development of ability to use	С	н	D	N	Ι	х	(S)
		reference materials.	С	Η	D	N	I	х	(J)
	14.	Stressing the need for regular	С	H	D	Ν	I	х	(S)
		attendance in the classioon.							

THE TEACHER OF INTRODUCTORY DATA PROCESSING SHOULD UNDERSTAND, DEMONSTRATE, AND EFFECT THE FOLLOWING:

.

Personal Attributes

1.	Knowledge of the ethical procedures of a professional.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	X X	(S) (J)
2.	Establishment of rapport that reflects a positive influence upon pupils.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)

	3.	Counseling of students concerning their individual problems.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
	4.	Sensitivity to students' learning problems.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
	5.	Establishment of rapport with admini- strative and supervisory personnel.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
	6.	Development of students' confidence by never violating their trust.	с с	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
#	7.	Establishing a need for creativity.	C C	н н	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
	8.	Establishment of a positive attitude toward the teaching of data processing.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	X X	(S) (J)
#	9.	Ability to use patience with slow learners.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
	10.	Establishment of proper teacher be- havior as an example to students.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	X X	(S) (J)
#	11.	Provision for continuous learning and updating.	с с	H H	D D	N N	I I	X X	(S) (J)
#	12.	Development of total dedication to teaching.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	X X	(S) (J)
#	13.	Participation in in-service data pro- cessing workshops and conferences.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)

Your name_____

Address

. .

APPENDIX J

Follow-up Letter for Questionnaire II

-

Houston, Texas 77040 December 16, 1974

Dear Respondent:

Questionnaire II was mailed to you on November 27. I am attempting to mail the final questionnaire prior to Christmas. May I please have your response as soon as possible.

Several questionnaires were returned without names. If you have previously mailed your response and think yours might have had the name omitted, please let me know.

Sincerely,

(Mrs.) Nora Jo Sherman

njs

APPENDIX K

.

.

Cover Letter and Questionnaire III

Houston, Texas 77040

January 17, 1975

Dear Respondent:

Your prompt response to Questionnaire II during the holiday season was appreciated. A death in our extended family prevented my handling the data expeditiously.

Questionnaire III concerning competencies needed by the teacher of introductory data processing is enclosed.

The modal consensus, responses which occurred most often, has been indicated with a square (\bigcirc). Two squares (\bigcirc) have been used to indicate a bimodal consensus, responses with the same frequency. Your response has been encircled in red pencil (\bigcirc). This has been done so that you can review your response in relation to the group consensus response and reassess your rating. If you choose to remain outside the modal consensus of your group, will you please give a brief reason for your choice.

Return of your responses to this final questionnaire by February 5 will be appreciated. You will be provided a synopsis of the study as soon as the final report is completed.

Thank you for your time, thought, and helpful comments during the course of this study.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Nora Jo Sherman

Enclosure

QUESTIONNAIRE III

COMPETENCES NEEDED BY THE TEACHER OF INTRODUCTORY DATA PROCESSING

Nora Jo Martin Sherman University of Houston

Please return by February 5, 1975

INTRODUCTORY DATA PROCESSING is defined as a survey course overviewing manual data processing, unit-record data processing, and electronic data processing. The time span covered can vary and equipment may or may not be used.

DIRECTIONS: Within each of the 5 columns at the right, please react to each objective in terms of:

- C = Crucial
- H = Highly desirable
- D = Desirable but not absolutely necessary
- N = Nonimportant
- I = Incorrectly stated; needs revision
- X = Do not use; concept inappropriate

The coding is repeated twice for each item. The first coding is for responses of secondary teachers, and the second coding is for responses of junior college teachers. Authorities, please answer both.

THE TEACHER OF INTRODUCTORY DATA PROCESSING SHOULD UNDERSTAND, DEMONSTRATE, AND EFFECT THE FOLLOWING:

Planning

1.	Relationship of the data processing course to other courses and the total school program.	С С	H H	D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
2.	Relationship of the data processing course to positions in industry and business.	с с	Ш	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)

3.	Relationship of the data processing course to the consumer and society.	C C	田 H	D D	N N	I I	X X	(S) (J)
4.	Preparation of a syllabus for the teacher's use.	с С	H H	D D	N N	I I	X X	(S) (J)
5.	Preparation of supplementary instructional materials.	с с	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
6.	Preparation of a syllabus for the data processing course.	с с	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
7.	Use of a syllabus for the data processing course.	С С	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
8.	Development of data processing concepts to be learned by the students.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
9.	Development of preassessment techniques which lead to pupil place- ment, formal and informal testing, personal interviews and observations, previous grades, etc.	с с	Н Н	D D	N N	I	x x	(S) (J)
10.	Utilization of preassessment techniques which lead to pupil place- ment, formal and informal testing, personal interviews and observations, previous grades, etc.	с с	Ш Н	D D	N N	I	x x	(S) (J)
11.	Preparation of an adequate lesson plan.	Q C	田 H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
12.	Development of instructional strategies appropriate to students' stated objectives and students' learning styles.	C C	Ш Н	D D	N N	I	x x	(S) (J)
13.	Recognition of the need for strategies appropriate to students' stated objectives and students' learning styles.	с с	Ш Н	D D	N N	I	x x	(S) (J)

,

14.	Provision for making available the teaching materials from various manufacturers and data processing associations.	C C	Н	D D	N N	II	x	(S)
15.	Provision for various teaching methods using creativity and imagination.	C C	田 H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
16.	Knowledge of the end results what the student is expected to accomplish by the completion of the course.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I	x x	(S) (J)
17.	Development of behavioral objectives.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
18.	Use of behavioral objectives.	C C	П Н	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
19.	Selection of required course text- books or materials.	C C	H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
20.	Selection of appropriate library reference materials.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
21.	Selection of supplementary materials.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
22.	Establishment of grading standards.	C C	E H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
23.	Establishment of grading standards based on students' competencies and established objectives.	с с	Ы Н	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
24.	Collaboration with students in planning.	C C	H H	D	N N	I I	X X	(S) (J)
25.	Collaboration with businesses employing students for updating course content.	с [с	н Н	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
26.	Collaboration with other data processing teachers, business education teachers, and admini- strators in planning.	C C	П Н	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
-----	--	----------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------	------------
27.	Planning course outline using long- range objectives.	C C	н Н	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
28.	Knowledge of content resulting from practical business experience in positions involving data processing.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	X X	(S) (J)
29.	Preparation of an individualized, self-paced course of study.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
30.	Knowledge of advanced data pro- cessing systems for teaching more effectively.	с с	旧 H	E D	N N	1 1	x x	(S) (J)
31.	Provision for exercises using data processing equipment.	с с	Щ Н	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
32.	Provision for "hands-on" experience, if equipment is available.	C , C	田 H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
33.	Provision for speakers from businesses.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	X X	(S) (J)
34.	Provision for exercises using computer program assignments.	с с	田 H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
35.	Ability to plan a field trip.	C C	Г Н	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
36.	Ability to recommend selection of equipment.	с с	Щ Н	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
37.	Concepts of data processing to be learned by the students.	C C	民 H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
					•			

THE TEACHER OF INTRODUCTORY DATA PROCESSING SHOULD UNDERSTAND, DEMONSTRATE, AND EFFECT THE FOLLOWING:

Administration

1.	The ability to conduct conferences with parents, teachers, and students.	С [] С Н	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
2.	Arrangement of physical equipment conducive to a learning environment.	С [] С Н	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
3.	Organization of teaching equipment, materials, etc.	с Ш с н] D] D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
4.	Establishment and maintenance of classroom procedures and routines.	С <u>Н</u> С Н] D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
5.	Maintenance, storage, and retrieval of student records.	Сн	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
6.	Recording of grades in an efficient manner.	Сн	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
7.	Establishment of smooth classroom routines, including tardiness and absences, paper collection and return.	с Ш с н] D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
8.	Establishment of rapport with community organization to facilitate field trips.	С [] С Н] D D	N N	I I	x X	(S) (J)
9.	Provision for repair and mainte- nance of equipment if needed.	Сн сн	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)

THE TEACHER OF INTRODUCTORY DATA PROCESSING SHOULD UNDERSTAND, DEMONSTRATE, AND EFFECT THE FOLLOWING:

Instruction

Content

.

٠

1.	Knowledge of data processing history.	С С	Ш н	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
2.	Knowledge of data processing terminology.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
3.	Knowledge and application of data processing functions.	C C	н н	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
4.	Development of problem-solving ability.	С С	Ш н	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
5.	Knowledge and function of automated data processing.	C C	н Н	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
6.	Knowledge and function of manual data processing.	C C	Ш Н	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
7.	Knowledge of use of punched cards.	С С	田 H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
8.	Knowledge of record planning and layout for various mediums.	с с	Ш н	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
9.	Ability to keypunch information in cards.	с с	Ш н	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
10.	Ability to construct codes.	с с	Ш Н	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
11.	Ability to sort and classify data in cards by machine.	с с	Н Н	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
12.	Knowledge of process of printing, calculating, and preparing reports.	с с	H H	D D	N N	I I.	x x	(S) (J)
13.	Knowledge of the unit-record control panel.	с с	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)

•

14.	Knowledge of optical scanners.	с с	H H	D D	N N	I I	X X	(S) (J)
15.	Knowledge of data-base concepts.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	X X	(S) (J)
16.	Knowledge of the electronic computer.	C C	Ы Н	D D	N N	I I	X X	(S) (J)
17.	Knowledge of the minicomputer.	C C	Ш н	D D	N N	I I	X X	(S) (J)
18.	Knowledge about information storage and retrieval.	с с	Н Н	D D	N N	I I	X X	(S) (J)
19.	Knowledge of teleprocessing concepts.	C C	Н Н	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
20.	Knowledge of multiprogram concepts.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	X X	(S) (J)
21.	Knowledge of documentation standards.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
22.	Ability to use decision tables.	C C	Ы Н	D D	N N	I I	X X	(S) (J)
23.	Knowledge of interaction of systems and systems analysis.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
24.	Knowledge of recent changes to memory and programming systems such as vertical memory concept and monolithic memory storage.	с с	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
25.	Knowledge of JCL.	C C	Ш н	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
26.	Knowledge of management informa- tion systems.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
27.	Knowledge of algorithmic design.	C C	H H	D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)

.

28.	Knowle a prog	edge of computer execution of ram.	C C	Н Н	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
29.	Knowle device	edge of key tape as an input	C C	ы н	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
30.	Knowle hierar	edge of computer language chy.	C C	Ы Н	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
31.	Knowle relativ	edge of computer languages and e importance of each:							
	31.1	COBOL	C C	Ш Н	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
	31.2	Fortran	C C	ы Н	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
	31.3	Basic	C C	Ш Н	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
	31.4	BAL	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
	31.5	Assembler	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
	31.6	PL/I	C C	H H	D D	N N	I J	x x	(S) (J)
	31.7	RPG	C C	[] H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
	31.8	RPG II	C C	田 H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
	31.9	Prime language of systems	C C	H H	D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
	31.10	GPSS	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
	31.11	PERT/CPM	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)

	31.12	ALGOL	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
	31.13	Machine language	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
32.	Knowl langua	edge of <u>only</u> one computer	с С	H H	D D	N N	I	x x	(S) (J)
33.	Knowl	edge of input/output media.	C C	Ы Н	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
34.	Knowl	edge of report design.	С С	Ш н	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
35.	Ability	v to flow chart.	с с	E H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
36.	Knowle packag	edge of computer statistical es.	С С	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
37.	Knowle technic	edge of computer simulator ques.	с с	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
Meth	odologie	es/Techniques							
1.	Applic of lear	ation of psychological principles ming regarding:							
	1.1	Favorable environment	C C	田 H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
	1.2	Motivation	C C	Е н	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
	1.3	Practice	C C	П н	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
	1.4	Relaxation	C C	Ш Н	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
	1.5	Whole vs. part learning	С С	Ш н	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)

.

	1.6	Transfer of learning	с с	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
2.	Ability	to demonstrate:							
	2.1	Verifier	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
	2.2	Sorter	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
	2.3	Collator	С С	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
	2.4	Keypunch	с с	H H	D D	N N	I I	X X	(S) (J)
	2.5	Reproducer	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	X X	(S) (J)
	2.6	Interpreter	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	X X	(S) (J)
	2.7 ·	Accounting machine	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	X X	(S) (J)
	2.8	Report design	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	X X	(S) (J)
	2.9	Computer	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	X X	(S) (J)
3.	Ability sentati used in	to enrich classroom pre- on with cards, tapes, etc. actual business practice.	С С	Н Н	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
4.	Ability (progra	to teach computer amming) languages:							
	4.1	COBOL	C C	Ш Н	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
	4.2	Fortran	с с	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)

	4.3	Basic	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
	4.4	BAL	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
	4.5	Assembler	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
	4.6	PL/I	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
	4.7	RPG	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
	4.8	RPG II	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
	4.9	Prime language of systems	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
	4.10	GPSS	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
	4.11	PERT/CPM	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
	4.12	ALGOL	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
	4.13	Machine language	с с	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
5.	Ability input/o	to demonstrate various utput media.	С С	田 H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
6.	Ability for data	to present systems design a processing application.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
7.	Ability chartin	to demonstrate flow g.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
8.	Ability plannin	to demonstrate input g.	C C	Н Н	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)

-

9.	Ability to transfer unit-record	С	Π	D	Ν	I	Х	(S)
	concepts to computer concepts.	С	Η	D	Ν	I	х	(J)
10.	Ability to relate personal business							
	experience in data processing to	С	H	D	Ν	I	Х	(S)
	classroom activities.	С	Η	D	Ν	I	х	(J)
11.	Ability to teach JCL.	С	Н	D	N	I	x	(S)
		С	H	D	N	I	Х	(J)
12.	Ability to compare manual data							
	handling to each of the functions of	С	H	\mathbb{D}	Ν	Ι	Х	(S)
	computer data handling.	С	H	D	Ν	I	Х	(J)
13.	Ability to operate the classroom	С	H	D	N	I	x	(S)
	as a data processing office simulation.	С	Η	D	Ν	I	Х	(J)

THE TEACHER OF INTRODUCTORY DATA PROCESSING SHOULD UNDERSTAND, DEMONSTRATE, AND EFFECT THE FOLLOWING:

Communication

1.	Effecti	ve speaking skills.	C C	Н Н	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
2.	Proper	r questioning techniques.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
3.	Famili use in knowle	arity with several media for teaching data processing and dge of relative value of each.						•	
	3.1	Chalkboard	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
	3.2	Overhead projector	C C	田 H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
	3.3	Teaching machines, programmed instruction	с С	Щ Н	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)

	3.4	Videotape recorder	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
	3.5	Tape and/or cassette	C C	日 H	D D	N N	I I	X X	(S) (J)
	3.6	Motion picture projector	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
	3.7	Filmstrip projector	с С	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
	3.8	Auto-Vance Projector (Filmstrip and cassette tape recorder combined)	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
	3.9	Bulletin board	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
4.	Provis: interac	ion for teacher-pupil tion.	C C	田 H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
5.	Use of for imp	feedback from interaction proved teaching.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
6.	Unders nonver	tanding the importance of bal communication.	C C	н Н	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
7.	Ability Commu	to use nonverbal mication.	C C	Ш н	D D	N N	I I.	x x	(S) (J)
8.	Ability	to explain verbally.	C C	EI H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)

THE TEACHER OF INTRODUCTORY DATA PROCESSING SHOULD UNDERSTAND, DEMONSTRATE, AND EFFECT THE FOLLOWING:

Evaluation

•

1.	Selection of valid and reliable measurement techniques.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	X X	(S) (J)
2.	Construction of valid and reliable measurement techniques.	C C	Н Н	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)

3.	Use of pretest and posttest when applicable.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	X X	(S) (J)
4.	Self-evaluation of teacher tech- niques and methods for self- improvement purposes.	C C	Щ Н	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
5.	Student evaluation of teacher techniques and methods for purposes of teacher improvement.	C C	Н Н	D D	N N	I I	X X	(S) (J)
6.	Use of student self-evaluation of his learning whenever feasible.	C C	Н Н	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
7.	Ability to diagnose student difficulties.	C C	Ш н	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
8.	Ability to apply appropriate remedial techniques.	C C	н Н	D D	N N	I I	X X	(S) (J)

THE TEACHER OF INTRODUCTORY DATA PROCESSING SHOULD UNDERSTAND, DEMONSTRATE, AND EFFECT THE FOLLOWING:

Developing Pupil-Self

1.	Assisting student in accepting responsibility of reaching his							
	goals. (e.g., correct homework	С	H	D	Ν	Ι	Х	(S)
	procedures, practice, etc.)	С	Η	D	Ν	Ι	Χ	(J)
2.	Development of ability in student	С	H	D	N	I	Х	(S)
	to accept constructive criticism.	С	Η	D	Ν	I	Χ	(J)
3.	Development of ability in student	С	H	D	N	I	х	(S)
	to work under pressure.	С	н	D	Ν	Ι	Х	(J)
4.	Development in student of a respect	С	Η	D	N	I	х	(S)
	for time, property, and rights of others.	С	н	D	N	Ι	Х	(J)
5.	Development of self-confidence	С	H	D	Ν	I	Х	(S)
	in student's own ability.	С	H	D	Ν	I	Х	(J)

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
6.	Guidance regarding data processing as a vocational possibility, or work with counselor to provide services.	с П с н	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
7.	Guidance regarding scholarship possibilities for advanced study.	С [] С Н	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
8.	Stressing the need for high scholar- ship to compete for scholarships in advanced training.	СН	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
9.	Encouragement of student to work in a part-time office position.	C H C H	D	N N	I I	X X	(S) (J)
10.	Development of ability to work independently through good study habits.	с 田 с н	D D	N N	I I	x	(S) (J)
11.	Development of ability in student to persevere.	с Ш с н	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
12.	Development of ability to follow instructions.	С Н С Н	D D	N N	I I	X X	(S) (J)
13.	Development of ability to use reference materials.	С Н С н	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
14.	Stressing the need for regular attendance in the classroom.	Сн	D	N.	I	X	(S)

THE TEACHER OF INTRODUCTORY DATA PROCESSING SHOULD UNDERSTAND, DEMONSTRATE, AND EFFECT THE FOLLOWING:

Personal Attributes

1.	Knowledge of the ethical procedures of a professional.	C C	Н Н	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
2.	Establishment of rapport that reflects a positive influence upon pupils.	C C	Н Н	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)

3.	Counseling of students concerning their individual problems.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
4.	Sensitivity to students' learning problems.	C C	田 H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
5.	Establishment of rapport with admin- istrative and supervisory personnel.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
6.	Development of students' confidence by never violating their trust.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
7.	Establishing a need for creativity.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
8.	Establishment of a positive attitude toward the teaching of data processing.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
9.	Ability to use patience with slow learners.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
10.	Establishment of proper teacher be- havior as an example to student.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
11.	Provision for continuous learning and updating.	C C	Ш Н	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
12.	Development of total dedication to teaching.	C C	Н Н	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)
13.	Participation in in-service data pro- cessing workshops and conferences.	C C	H H	D D	N N	I I	x x	(S) (J)

Your name_____

Address _____

.

APPENDIX L

•

Follow-up Letter for Questionnaire III

.

February 7, 1975

Houston, Texas 77040

Dear Participant:

Questionnaire III was mailed to you on January 17. If at all possible, may I please have your completed questionnaire by February 17. Your response is needed for final analysis of data.

Your cooperation throughout the study is appreciated.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Nora Jo Sherman

njs

APPENDIX M

Competencies Rated Crucial and Highly Desirable

By Authorities and Junior College Teachers

COMPETENCIES RATED <u>CRUCIAL</u> AND <u>HIGHLY DESIRABLE</u> BY AUTHORITIES AND JUNIOR COLLEGE TEACHERS

The following list contains those competencies, in the eight areas of Dodl's categories, which were rated <u>crucial</u> and <u>highly desirable</u> by modal consensus of responding authorities and junior college teachers. Also included in the listing below are competencies which received a <u>crucial</u> or <u>highly desirable</u> rating by 50 percent or more of the respondents from either group but which were outside the modal consensus. A category omission indicates that respondents listed no competencies in that area.

The junior college teacher of introductory data processing should understand, demonstrate, and effect the following:

Planning

Crucial by Modal Consensus

Knowledge of the end results--what the student is expected to accomplish by the completion of the course.

Highly Desirable by Modal Consensus

Relationship of the data processing course to other courses and the total school program. Relationship of the data processing course to positions in industry and business.

Preparation of a syllabus for the teacher's use.

Preparation of supplementary instructional materials.

Preparation of a syllabus for the data processing course.

Development of instructional strategies appropriate to students' learning styles.

Recognition of the need for strategies appropriate to students' stated objectives and students' learning styles.

Provision for various teaching methods using creativity and imagination.

Development of behavioral objectives.

Use of behavioral objectives.

Selection of supplementary materials.

Establishment of grading standards.

Establishment of grading standards based on students¹ competencies and established objectives.

Collaboration with other data processing teachers, business education teachers, and administrators in planning.

Planning course outline using long-range objectives.

Knowledge of content resulting from practical business experience in positions involving data processing.

Provision for exercises using data processing equipment.

Provision for "hands-on" experience if equipment is available.

Crucial by Authorities and Highly Desirable by Junior College Teachers

Use of a syllabus for the data processing course.

Development of data processing concepts to be learned by the students.

Preparation of an adequate lesson plan.

Selection of required course textbooks or materials.

Concepts of data processing to be learned by the students.

Highly Desirable by Authorities and Desirable by Junior College Teachers

Selection of appropriate library reference materials.

Highly Desirable by Junior College Teachers and Desirable by Authorities

Knowledge of advanced data processing systems for teaching more effectively.

Relationship of the data processing course to the consumer and society.

Collaboration with businesses employing students for updating course content.

Administration

Highly Desirable by Modal Consensus

The ability to conduct conferences with parents, teachers, and students.

Organization of teaching equipment, materials, etc.

Establishment and maintenance of classroom procedures and routines.

Establishment of rapport with community organizations to facilitate field trips.

Highly Desirable by Junior College Teachers and Desirable by Authorities

Recording of grades in an efficient manner.

Instruction--Content

÷

Crucial by Modal Consensus

Knowledge of data processing terminology.

Knowledge and application of data processing functions.

Knowledge of the electronic computer.

Ability to flow chart.

Highly Desirable by Modal Consensus

Knowledge of use of punched cards.

Knowledge of record planning and layout for various mediums.

Ability to keypunch information in cards.

Knowledge of data-base concepts.

Knowledge about information storage and retrieval.

Knowledge of teleprocessing concepts.

Knowledge of multiprogram concepts.

Knowledge of documentation standards.

Knowledge of interaction of systems and systems analysis.

Knowledge of computer execution of a program.

Knowledge of computer languages: Fortran.

Knowledge of report design.

Crucial by Authorities and Highly Desirable by Junior College Teachers

Development of problem-solving ability.

Knowledge and function of automated data processing.

Knowledge of process of printing, calculating, and preparing reports.

Knowledge of computer languages and relative importance of each: COBOL.

Knowledge of input/output media.

Knowledge of computer language hierarchy.

Highly Desirable by Authorities and Desirable by Junior College Teachers

Knowledge of the minicomputer.

Ability to construct codes.

Knowledge of algorithmic design.

Instruction -- Methodologies / Techniques

Crucial by Modal Consensus

Ability to demonstrate the computer.

Ability to demonstrate flow charting.

Highly Desirable by Modal Consensus

Application of psychological principles of learning regarding:

Motivation Whole vs. part learning Practice Transfer of learning

Ability to enrich classroom presentation with cards, tapes, etc., used in actual business practice.

Ability to present systems design for data processing application.

Ability to demonstrate various input/output media.

Ability to transfer unit-record concepts to computer concepts.

Ability to compare manual data handling to each of the functions of computer data handling.

Crucial by Authorities and Highly Desirable by Junior College Teachers

Ability to demonstrate the keypunch.

Ability to demonstrate input planning.

Application of psychological principles of learning regarding favorable environment.

Ability to teach computer (programming) languages:

Cobol

Highly Desirable by Junior College Teachers and Desirable by Authorities

Ability to teach computer (programming) languages:

Fortran

Communication

Crucial by Modal Consensus

Provision for teacher-pupil interaction.

Ability to explain verbally.

Highly Desirable by Modal Consensus

Ability to use nonverbal communication.

Crucial by Authorities and Highly Desirable by Junior College Teachers

Effective speaking skills.

Proper questioning techniques.

Use of feedback from interaction for improved teaching.

Understanding the importance of nonverbal communication.

Familiarity with several media for use in teaching data processing and knowledge of relative value of each:

Chalkboard Overhead projector

Evaluation

Highly Desirable by Modal Consensus

Selection of valid and reliable measurement techniques.

Construction of valid and reliable measurement techniques.

Use of pretest and posttest when applicable.

Self-evaluation of teacher techniques and methods for self-improvement purposes.

Student evaluation of teacher techniques and methods for purposes of teacher improvement.

Use of student self-evaluation of his learning whenever feasible.

Crucial by Authorities and Highly Desirable by Junior College Teachers

Ability to diagnose student difficulties.

Ability to apply appropriate remedial techniques.

Developing Pupil-Self

Crucial by Modal Consensus

Development of ability to follow instructions.

Development in student of ability to work under pressure.

Assisting student in accepting responsibility of reaching his goals (e.g., correct homework procedures, practice, etc.).

Development of ability in student to persevere.

Guidance regarding data processing as a vocational possibility, or work with counselor to provide services.

Crucial by Authorities and Highly Desirable by Junior College Teachers

Development of ability to work independently through good study habits.

Development of ability in student to accept constructive criticism.

Development of self-confidence in student's own ability.

Development of ability to use reference materials.

Stressing the need for regular attendance in the classroom.

Development in student of a respect for time, property, and rights of others.

Personal Attributes

Crucial by Modal Consensus

Knowledge of ethical procedures of a professional.

Establishment of proper teacher behavior as an example to students.

Highly Desirable by Modal Consensus

Establishment of rapport with administrative and supervisory personnel.

Establishing a need for creativity.

Crucial by Authorities and Highly Desirable by Junior College Teachers

Establishment of rapport that reflects a positive influence upon pupils.

Sensitivity to students' learning problems.

Development of students' confidence by never violating their trust.

Establishment of a positive attitude toward the teaching of data processing.

Ability to use patience with slow learners.

Provision for continuous learning and updating.

Development of total dedication to teaching.

Participation in in-service data processing conferences and workshops.

APPENDIX N

ł

Competencies Rated Crucial and Highly Desirable

By Authorities and High School Teachers

.

.

COMPETENCIES RATED <u>CRUCIAL</u> AND <u>HIGHLY DESIRABLE</u> BY AUTHORITIES AND HIGH SCHOOL TEACHERS

The following list contains those competencies, in the eight areas of Dodl's categories, which were rated <u>crucial</u> and <u>highly desirable</u> by modal consensus of responding authorities and high school teachers. Also included in the listing below are competencies which received a <u>crucial</u> or <u>highly desirable</u> rating by 50 percent or more of the respondents from either group but which were outside the modal consensus. A category omission indicates that respondents listed no competencies in that area.

The high school teacher of introductory data processing should understand, demonstrate, and effect the following:

Planning

Crucial by Modal Consensus

Development of data processing concepts to be learned by the students.

Knowledge of the end results--what the student is expected to accomplish by the completion of the course.

Highly Desirable by Modal Consensus

Relationship of the data processing course to positions in industry and business.

Preparation of a syllabus for the teacher's use.

Preparation of supplementary instructional materials.

Preparation of a syllabus for the data processing course.

Development of instructional strategies appropriate to students' stated objectives and students' learning styles.

Provision for various teaching methods using creativity and imagination.

Development of behavioral objectives.

Selection of supplementary materials.

Establishment of grading standards.

Recognition of the need for strategies appropriate to students' stated objectives and students' learning styles.

Use of behavioral objectives.

Establishment of grading standards based on students' competencies and established objectives.

Collaboration with businesses employing students for updating course content.

Collaboration with other data processing teachers, business education teachers, and administrators in planning.

Planning course outline using long-range objectives.

Knowledge of content resulting from practical business experience in positions involving data processing.

Provision for exercises using data processing equipment.

Provision for exercises using computer program assignments.

Crucial by Authorities and Highly Desirable by High School Teachers

Use of a syllabus for the data processing course.

Preparation of an adequate lesson plan.

Selection of required course textbooks or materials.

Concepts of data processing to be learned by the students.

Provision for "hands-on" experience if equipment is available.

Highly Desirable by High School Teachers and Desirable by Authorities

Development of preassessment techniques which lead to pupil placement, formal and informal testing, personal interviews and observations, previous grades, etc. Provision for making available the teaching materials from various manufacturers and data processing associations.

Selection of appropriate library reference materials.

Knowledge of advanced data processing systems for teaching more effectively.

Ability to plan a field trip.

Ability to recommend selection of equipment.

Relationship of the data processing course to consumer and society.

Administration

Crucial by Modal Consensus

Provision for repair and maintenance of equipment if needed.

Highly Desirable by Modal Consensus

The ability to conduct conferences with parents, teachers, and students.

Arrangement of physical equipment conducive to a learning environment.

Establishment and maintenance of classroom procedures and routines.

Establishment of smooth classroom routines, including tardiness and absences, paper collection and return.

Crucial by High School Teachers and Desirable by Authorities

Maintenance, storage, and retrieval of student records.

Recording of grades in an efficient manner.

Highly Desirable by High School Teachers and Desirable by Authorities

Organization of teaching equipment, materials, etc.

Establishment of rapport with community organizations to facilitate field trips.

Instruction--Content

Crucial by Modal Consensus

Knowledge of data processing terminology.

Knowledge and application of data processing functions.

Highly Desirable by Modal Consensus

Knowledge of use of punched cards.

Knowledge of record planning and layout for various mediums.

Ability to construct codes.

Knowledge of the minicomputer.

Knowledge about information storage and retrieval.

Knowledge of documentation standards.

Knowledge of computer languages and relative importance of each:

COBOL Fortran Basic

Knowledge of input/output media.

Knowledge of report design.

Crucial by Authorities and Highly Desirable by High School Teachers

Development of problem-solving ability.

Knowledge and function of automated data processing.

Knowledge of process of printing, calculating, and preparing reports.

Knowledge of the electronic computer.

Ability to flow chart.

Highly Desirable by High School Teachers and Desirable by Authorities

Knowledge of data processing history.

Ability to keypunch information in cards.

Ability to sort and classify data in cards by machine.

Knowledge of key tape as an input device.

Ability to use decision tables.

Knowledge of computer execution of a program.

Knowledge of computer language hierarchy.

Highly Desirable by Authorities and Desirable by High School Teachers

Knowledge of data-base concepts.

Knowledge of multiprogram concepts.

Knowledge of interaction of systems and systems analysis.

Instruction--Methodologies/Techniques

Crucial by Modal Consensus

Ability to demonstrate flow charting.

Highly Desirable by Modal Consensus

Application of psychological principles of learning regarding:

Practice Whole vs. part learning Motivation

Ability to teach computer (programming) languages:

COBOL

Ability to present systems design for data processing application.

Ability to transfer unit-record concepts to computer concepts.

Crucial by Authorities and Highly Desirable by High School Teachers

Ability to demonstrate the keypunch.

Ability to enrich classroom presentation with cards, tapes, etc., used in actual business practice.

Application of psychological principles of learning regarding favorable environment.

Ability to demonstrate the various input/output media.

Ability to demonstrate input planning.

Crucial by High School Teachers and Highly Desirable by Authorities

Ability to demonstrate the computer.

Application of psychological principles of learning regarding transfer of learning.

Highly Desirable by Authorities and Desirable by Teachers

Ability to compare manual data handling to each of the functions of computer data handling.

Highly Desirable by High School Teachers and Desirable by Authorities

Application of psychological principles of learning regarding: relaxation.

Ability to demonstrate the verifier.

Ability to demonstrate the sorter.

Ability to teach computer (programming) languages:

Fortran Basic

Ability to relate personal business experience in data processing to classroom activities.

Ability to operate the classroom as a data processing office simulation.

Communication

Highly Desirable by Modal Consensus

Familiarity with several media for use in teaching data processing and knowledge of relative value of each: bulletin board.

Ability to use nonverbal communication.

Crucial by Authorities and Highly Desirable by High School Teachers

Effective speaking skills.

Proper questioning techniques.

Familiarity with several media for use in teaching data processing and knowledge of relative value of each:

Chalkboard Overhead projector

Provision for teacher-pupil interaction.

Use of feedback from interaction for improved teaching.

Understanding the importance of nonverbal communication.

Ability to explain verbally.
Highly Desirable by High School Teachers and Desirable by Authorities

Familiarity with several media for use in teaching data processing and knowledge of relative value of each:

Teaching machines, programmed instruction Videotape recorder Tape and/or cassette recorder Motion picture projector Filmstrip projector Auto-Vance Projector (filmstrip and cassette tape recorder combined)

Evaluation

Highly Desirable by Modal Consensus

Construction of valid and reliable measurement techniques.

Use of pretest and posttest when applicable.

Self-evaluation of teacher techniques and methods for self-improvement purposes.

Use of student self-evaluation of his learning whenever feasible.

Crucial by Authorities and Highly Desirable by High School Teachers

Selection of valid and reliable measurement techniques.

Ability to diagnose student difficulties.

Ability to apply appropriate remedial techniques.

Developing Pupil-Self

Crucial by Modal Consensus

Stressing the need for regular attendance in the classroom.

Highly Desirable by Modal Consensus

Assisting student in accepting responsibility of reaching his goals (e.g., correct homework procedures, practice, etc.).

Development of ability in student to accept constructive criticism.

Development of ability in student to work under pressure.

Guidance regarding data processing as a vocational possibility, or work with counselor to provide services.

Development of ability in student to persevere.

Development of ability to use reference materials.

Crucial by Authorities and Highly Desirable by High School Teachers

Development in student of a respect for time, property, and rights of others.

Development of self-confidence in student's own ability.

Development of ability to work independently through good study habits.

Development of ability to follow instructions.

Highly Desirable by High School Teachers and Desirable by Authorities

Guidance regarding scholarship possibilities for advanced study.

Stressing the need for high scholarship to compete for scholarships in advanced training.

Personal Attributes

Crucial by Modal Consensus

Development of students' confidence by never violating their trust.

Establishment of proper teacher behavior as an example to students.

Highly Desirable by Modal Consensus

Establishment of rapport with administrative and supervisory personnel.

Establishing a need for creativity.

Crucial by Authorities and Highly Desirable by High School Teachers

Knowledge of the ethical procedures of a professional.

Establishment of rapport that reflects a positive influence upon pupils.

Counseling of students concerning their individual problems.

Establishment of a positive attitude toward the teaching of data processing.

Ability to use patience with slow learners.

Provision for continuous learning and updating.

Development of total dedication to teaching.

Participation in in-service data processing conferences and workshops.

Sensitivity to students' learning problems.

APPENDIX O

.

Competencies Rated Crucial and Highly Desirable

By High School and Junior College Teachers

COMPETENCIES RATED <u>CRUCIAL</u> AND <u>HIGHLY DESIRABLE</u> BY HIGH SCHOOL AND JUNIOR COLLEGE TEACHERS

Contained in the following list are competencies, in the eight areas of Dodl's categories, which were rated <u>crucial</u> and <u>highly</u> <u>desirable</u> by modal consensus of responding high school and junior college teachers. Also included in the listing below are competencies which received a <u>crucial</u> or <u>highly desirable</u> rating by 50 percent or more of the respondents from either group but which were outside the modal consensus. A category omission indicates that respondents listed no competencies in that area.

The high school and junior college teacher of introductory data processing should understand, demonstrate, and effect the following:

Planning

Crucial by Modal Consensus

Knowledge of the end results--what the student is expected to accomplish by the completion of the course.

Crucial by High School Teachers and Highly Desirable by Junior College Teachers

Development of data processing concepts to be learned by the students.

Highly Desirable by Modal Consensus

Relationship of the data processing course to positions in industry and business.

Relationship of the data processing course to the consumer and society.

Preparation of a syllabus for the teacher's use.

Preparation of supplementary instructional materials.

Preparation of a syllabus for the data processing course.

Use of a syllabus for the data processing course.

Preparation of an adequate lesson plan.

Development of instructional strategies appropriate to students' stated objectives and students' learning styles.

Recognition of the need for strategies appropriate to students' stated objectives and students' learning styles.

Provision for various teaching methods using creativity and imagination.

Development of behavioral objectives.

Use of behavioral objectives.

Selection of required course textbooks or materials.

Selection of supplementary materials.

Establishment of grading standards.

Establishment of grading standards based on students' competencies and established objectives.

Collaboration with other data processing teachers, business education teachers, and administrators in planning.

Collaboration with businesses employing students for updating course content.

Planning course outline using long-range objectives.

Knowledge of content resulting from practical business experience in positions involving data processing.

Knowledge of advanced data processing systems for teaching more effectively.

Provision for exercises using data processing equipment.

Provision for "hands-on" experience if equipment is available.

Concepts of data processing to be learned by the students.

Provision for exercises using computer program assignments.

Highly Desirable by High School Teachers and Desirable by Junior College Teachers

Provision for making available the teaching materials from various manufacturers and data processing associations.

Selection of appropriate library reference materials.

Ability to plan a field trip.

Ability to recommend selection of equipment.

Development of preassessment techniques which lead to pupil placement, formal and informal testing, personal interviews and observations, previous grades, etc. Highly Desirable by Junior College Teachers and Desirable by High School Teachers

Relationship of the data processing course to other courses and the total school program.

Administration

Crucial by High School Teachers and Highly Desirable by Junior College Teachers

Maintenance, storage, and retrieval of student records.

Recording of grades in an efficient manner.

Highly Desirable by Modal Consensus

The ability to conduct conferences with parents, teachers, and students.

Organization of teaching equipment, materials, etc.

Establishment and maintenance of classroom procedures and routines.

Establishment of smooth classroom routines, including tardiness and absences, paper collection and return.

Establishment of rapport with community organizations to facilitate field trips.

Highly Desirable by High School Teachers and Desirable by Junior College Teachers

Arrangement of physical equipment conducive to a learning environment.

Instruction--Content

Crucial by Modal Consensus

Knowledge of data processing terminology.

Knowledge and application of data processing functions.

Crucial by Junior College Teachers and Highly Desirable by High School Teachers

Knowledge of the electronic computer.

Ability to flow chart.

Highly Desirable by Modal Consensus

Knowledge of data processing history.

Development of problem-solving ability.

Knowledge and function of automated data processing.

Knowledge of use of punched cards.

Knowledge of record planning and layout for various mediums.

Ability to keypunch information in cards.

Knowledge of process of printing, calculating, and preparing reports.

Knowledge about information storage and retrieval.

Knowledge of documentation standards.

Knowledge of computer execution of a program.

Knowledge of computer language hierarchy.

Knowledge of computer languages and relative importance of each:

COBOL Fortran

Knowledge of input/output media.

Knowledge of report design.

Highly Desirable by High School Teachers and Desirable by Junior College Teachers

Ability to construct codes.

Ability to sort and classify data in cards by machine.

Knowledge of the minicomputer.

Ability to use decision tables.

Knowledge of key tape as an input device.

Highly Desirable by Junior College Teachers and Desirable by High School Teachers

Knowledge of teleprocessing concepts.

Instruction--Methodologies/Techniques

Crucial by Modal Consensus

Ability to demonstrate the computer.

Ability to demonstrate flow charting.

Crucial by High School Teachers and Highly Desirable by Junior College Teachers

Application of psychological principles of learning regarding transfer of learning.

Highly Desirable by Modal Consensus

Application of psychological principles of learning regarding:

Whole vs. part learning Favorable environment Motivation Practice

Ability to demonstrate:

Sorter Keypunch

Ability to enrich classroom presentation with cards, tapes, etc., used in actual business practice.

Ability to teach computer (programming) languages:

COBOL Fortran

Ability to demonstrate various input/output media.

Ability to present systems design for data processing application.

Ability to demonstrate input planning.

Ability to transfer unit-record concepts to computer concepts.

Ability to relate personal business experience in data processing to classroom activities.

Highly Desirable by High School Teachers and Desirable by Junior College Teachers

Application of psychological principles of learning regarding relaxation.

Ability to demonstrate the verifier.

Ability to teach computer (programming) languages: Basic.

Ability to operate the classroom as a data processing office simulation.

Communication

Crucial by Junior College Teachers and Highly Desirable by High School Teachers

Ability to explain verbally.

Provision for teacher-pupil interaction.

Highly Desirable by Modal Consensus

Effective speaking skills.

Proper questioning techniques.

Familiarity with several media for use in teaching data processing and knowledge of relative value of each:

Chalkboard Overhead projector

Use of feedback from interaction for improved teaching.

Understanding the importance of nonverbal communication.

Ability to use nonverbal communication.

Highly Desirable by High School Teachers and Desirable by Junior College Teachers

Familiarity with several media for use in teaching data processing and knowledge of relative value of each:

Teaching machines, programmed instruction

Videotape recorder Tape and/or cassette recorder Motion picture projector Filmstrip projector Auto-Vance Projector (filmstrip and cassette tape recorder combined) Bulletin board

Evaluation

Highly Desirable by Modal Consensus

Selection of valid and reliable measurement techniques.

Construction of valid and reliable measurement techniques.

Use of pretest and posttest when applicable.

Self-evaluation of teacher techniques and methods for self-improvement purposes.

Use of student self-evaluation of his learning whenever feasible.

Ability to diagnose student difficulties.

Ability to apply appropriate remedial techniques.

Highly Desirable by Junior College Teachers and Desirable by High School Teachers

Student evaluation of teacher techniques and methods for purposes of teacher improvement.

Developing Pupil-Self

Crucial by High School Teachers and Highly Desirable by Junior College Teachers

Stressing the need for regular attendance in the classroom.

Crucial by Junior College Teachers and Highly Desirable by High School Teachers

Development of ability to follow instructions.

Highly Desirable by Modal Consensus

Assisting student in accepting responsibility of reaching his goals (e.g., correct homework procedures, practice, etc.).

Development of ability in student to accept constructive criticism.

Development of ability in student to work under pressure.

Development in student of a respect for time, property, and rights of others.

Development of self-confidence in student's own ability.

Guidance regarding data processing as a vocational possibility, or work with counselor to provide services.

Development of ability to work independently through good study habits.

Development of ability in student to persevere.

Development of ability to use reference materials.

Highly Desirable by High School Teachers and Desirable by Junior College Teachers

Guidance regarding scholarship possibilities for advanced study.

Stressing the need for high scholarship to compete for scholarships in advanced training.

Crucial by Modal Consensus

Establishment of proper teacher behavior as an example to students.

Highly Desirable by Modal Consensus

Establishment of rapport that reflects a positive influence upon pupils.

Counseling of students concerning their individual problems.

Sensitivity to students' learning problems.

Establishment of rapport with administrative and supervisory personnel.

Establishing a need for creativity.

Establishment of a positive attitude toward the teaching of data processing.

Ability to use patience with slow learners.

Provision for continuous learning and updating.

Development of total dedication to teaching.

Participation in in-service data processing conferences and workshops.

Highly Desirable by High School Teachers and Crucial by Junior College Teachers

Knowledge of the ethical procedures of a professional.

Highly Desirable by Junior College Teachers and Crucial by High School Teachers

Development of students' confidence by never violating their trust.

APPENDIX P

.

Competencies Found to be Significantly Different

in the Reporting of the Three Groups

COMPETENCIES FOUND TO BE SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT

IN THE REPORTING OF THE THREE GROUPS

Chi-square values were computed to determine significant differences in the reporting of the three groups. The following competencies, in the eight areas of Dodl's categories, were found to be significantly different at the .05 level of confidence:

The teacher of introductory data processing should understand, demonstrate, and effect the following:

Planning

Authorities and Junior College Teachers

Preparation of supplementary instructional materials.

Use of a syllabus for the data processing course.

Development of data processing concepts to be learned by the students.

Preparation of an adequate lesson plan.

Concepts of data processing to be learned by the students.

Authorities and High School Teachers

Relationship of the data processing course to other courses and the total school program.

Relationship of the data processing course to the consumer and society.

Use of a syllabus for the data processing course.

Ability to recommend selection of equipment.

High School and Junior College Teachers

Ability to recommend selection of equipment.

Administration

Authorities and Junior College Teachers

Recording of grades in an efficient manner.

Authorities and High School Teachers

Organization of teaching equipment, materials, etc.

Maintenance, storage, and retrieval of student records.

High School and Junior College Teachers

Arrangement of physical equipment conducive to a learning environment.

Maintenance, storage, and retrieval of student records.

Recording of grades in an efficient manner.

Content

Authorities and Junior College Teachers

Knowledge of data processing terminology.

Knowledge of record planning and layout for various mediums.

Knowledge and application of data processing functions.

Development of problem-solving ability.

Knowledge and function of automated data processing.

Ability to construct codes.

Knowledge of process of printing, calculating, and preparing reports.

Knowledge of the minicomputer.

Knowledge of computer language hierarchy.

Knowledge of computer languages: Basic.

Authorities and High School Teachers

Knowledge of data processing history.

Development of problem-solving ability.

Knowledge of process of printing, calculating, and preparing reports.

Knowledge and function of automated data processing.

Knowledge of the electronic computer.

Knowledge of interaction of systems and systems analysis.

Knowledge of computer execution of a program.

Knowledge of key tape as an input device.

Knowledge of computer language hierarchy.

High School and Junior College Teachers

Knowledge of the unit-record control panel.

Ability to use decision tables.

Knowledge of record planning and layout for various mediums.

Knowledge of the interaction of systems and systems analysis.

Ability to flow chart.

Methodologies/Techniques

Authorities and Junior College Teachers

Application of psychological principles of learning regarding:

Favorable environment Relaxation

Ability to demonstrate the keypunch.

Ability to demonstrate report design.

Ability to teach computer (programming) languages:

Fortran BAL Assembler Prime language of systems Machine language

Ability to demonstrate input planning.

Ability to relate personal business experience in data processing to classroom activities.

Authorities and High School Teachers

Application of psychological principles of learning regarding:

Favorable environment Relaxation Transfer of learning

Ability to demonstrate the verifier.

Ability to demonstrate the sorter.

Ability to demonstrate the keypunch.

Ability to teach computer (programming) languages:

COBOL Assembler Prime language of systems GPSS ALGOL Machine language

Ability to demonstrate input planning.

Ability to relate personal business experience in data processing to classroom activities.

Ability to compare manual data handling to each of the functions of computer data handling.

High School and Junior College Teachers

Application of psychological principles of learning regarding:

Favorable environment Relaxation Transfer of learning

Ability to demonstrate the verifier.

Ability to teach computer (programming) languages: Fortran.

Communication

Authorities and Junior College Teachers

Proper questioning techniques.

Use of feedback from interaction for improved teaching.

Effective speaking skills.

Understanding the importance of nonverbal communication.

Authorities and High School Teachers

Effective speaking skills.

Proper questioning techniques.

Familiarity with several media for use in teaching data processing and knowledge of relative value of each:

Chalkboard Overhead projector Videotape recorder Tape and/or cassette recorder Motion picture projector Filmstrip projector Auto-Vance projector

Provision for teacher-pupil interaction.

Use of feedback from interaction for improved teaching.

Understanding the importance of nonverbal communication.

Ability to explain verbally.

High School and Junior College Teachers

Familiarity with several media for use in teaching data processing and knowledge of relative value of each:

Filmstrip projector Auto-Vance projector Bulletin board Motion picture projector

Ability to explain verbally.

Evaluation

Authorities and Junior College Teachers

Selection of valid and reliable measurement techniques.

Ability to diagnose student difficulties.

Ability to apply appropriate remedial techniques.

Authorities and High School Teachers

Student evaluation of teacher techniques and methods for purposes of teacher improvement.

Selection of valid and reliable measurement techniques.

Ability to diagnose student difficulties.

Ability to apply appropriate remedial techniques.

High School and Junior College Teachers

Student evaluation of teacher techniques and methods for purposes of teacher improvement.

Developing Pupil-Self

Authorities and Junior College Teachers

Development of self-confidence in student's own ability.

Development of ability to work independently through good study habits.

Authorities and High School Teachers

Development in student of a respect for time, property, and rights of others.

Development of self-confidence in student's own ability.

Guidance regarding scholarship possibilities for advanced study.

Development of ability to work independently through good study habits.

Development of ability to follow instructions.

High School and Junior College Teachers

Stressing the need for high scholarship to compete for scholarships in advanced training.

Development of ability to follow instructions.

Personal Attributes

Authorities and Junior College Teachers

Sensitivity to students' learning problems.

Establishment of a positive attitude toward the teaching of data processing.

Ability to use patience with slow learners.

Development of total dedication to teaching.

Participation in in-service data processing conferences and workshops.

Authorities and High School Teachers

Knowledge of the ethical procedures of a professional.

Sensitivity to students' learning problems.

Establishing a need for creativity.

Establishment of a positive attitude toward the teaching of data processing.

Ability to use patience with slow learners.

Provision for continuous learning and updating.

Development of total dedication to teaching.

Participation in in-service data processing workshops and conferences.

Counseling of students concerning their individual problems.

SELECTED

BIBLIOGRAPHY

SELECTED BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Amundson, Donald. "Developing a High School Data Processing Course," Balance Sheet, LI (September, 1969), 8-9.
- Backlund, D. H. "Electronic Data Processing with Specific Reference to the Preparation, Duties, and Qualifications of the Programmer in the City of Portland, Oregon," Dissertation Abstracts, 25/06:3337, December, 1964.
- Bangs, F. Kendrick and Mildred C. Hillestad. <u>Curricular</u> Implications of Automated Data Processing for Educational Institutions. BR5-0144 OE6-85-030. Boulder, Colorado: University of Colorado, 1968. (Mimeographed.)
- Bangs, F. Kendrick. "The Teaching of Data Processing," <u>National Business Education Association Yearbook</u>, No. 9 (1971), 59.
- Baram, Giora, C. Joseph Sass, and S. A. Yarbrough. "Development of an Introductory EDP Course," <u>Business Education Forum</u>, XVII (April, 1973), 43-44.
- Baulch, Janet. "Consider An EDP Course for All High School Students," Business Education Forum, XXIV (February, 1970), 31-32.
- Berryman, Terry E. "Business Data Processing: Hardware and Curriculums," Business Education Forum, XXV (November, 1970), 47-48.
- Best, John W. Research in Education. Englewood Cliffs, 2nd. ed. New Jersey: Prentice-Hall, Inc., 1970.
- Brooks, Lloyd D. "Humanizing Data Processing--Try Career Education," Journal of Data Education, XIV (December, 1973), 16-20.
- Bunch, John M. "Survey Shows Need for Data Processing Instruction," Business Education Forum, XXIII (March, 1969), 26-27.
- Bux, William. "Entry-Level Office Positions Require Education in Data Processing," Business Education Forum, XXIV (March, 1970), 18-20.

- Campbell, William Giles. Form and Style in Thesis Writing. 3rd ed. Boston: Houghton-Mifflin Co., 1969.
- Carpenter, W. G. and R. L. Nickels. "Setting Up a Successful High School Data Processing Program," Business Education World, XLVIII (May, 1968), 9-11.
- Carrithers, W. M. "Software: Trends in Development," <u>National Business Education Association Yearbook</u>, No. 7 (1969) 294.
- Carter, D. M. "A Study of Office Training Programs for Data Processing Personnel in Selected Businesses in Colorado, with Implications for Business Education," Dissertation Abstracts, 26:2521, November, 1965.
- Carter, Deane M. and Harry L. Gibson. "An Analysis of the Introductory Business Data Processing Course," Journal of Business Education, XLVII (May, 1971), 318-21.
- Cerullo, Michael J. "Integration of the Computer into the Basic Cost Accounting Course," Journal of Business Education, XLVIII (November, 1972), 66-67.
- Christensen, G. Jay. "Key Concepts in Automated Data Processing for High School Students," Journal of Business Education, XLVI (January, 1971), 165.
- Cook, Fred S., Charlotte L. Neuhauser, and Rita C. Richey.
 "A Working Model of a Competency-Based Teacher Education System," Department of Vocational and Applied Arts Education, Wayne State University, Detroit, Michigan, p. 2. (Mimeographed.)
- Cooper, James M., and Wilford A. Weber. "A Competency Based Systems Approach to Teacher Education." Houston: University of Houston, 1974. (Mimeographed.)
- Cooper, James M., Wilford A. Weber, and Charles E. Johnson. <u>A Competency-Based Systems Approach to Program Design</u>. (Berkeley, California: McCutchan Publishing Corporation, 1973), p. 14.

- Couger, J. Daniel. "Educating Faculty About Computers," Journal of Business Education, XLIV (March, 1969), 149-50.
- Cyphert, Frederick R. and Walter L. Gant, "The Delphi Technique: A Case Study," Phi Delta Kappan, LII (January, 1971), 272-73.
- Dodl, Norman. The Florida Catalog of Teacher Competencies, (Tallahassee, Florida: Department of Education, 1972), p. 417.
- Dudley, T. J. "Computers and Business Education," Dissertation Abstracts, 26:6418, May, 1966.
- Edwards, M. L. "The Effect of Automation on Accounting Jobs," Dissertation Abstracts, 20:1621, October, 1959.
- Featheringham, Richard D., "Optical Scanning: Some Principles and Application," Journal of Business Education, XLV (November, 1969), 58-20.
- Friesen, Laura and Harold Slocum. "Data Processing Course Meets Community's Needs," <u>Business Education Forum</u>, XXV (March, 1971), 29-31.
- Frisbie, M. Adele. "Emerging Electronic Data Processing and Its Relation to Office Employment and Costs, 1930-1958, and Implications for Business Training," <u>Dissertation</u> Abstracts, 22:1051, October, 1961.
- Getz, Howard, Larry Kennedy, Walter Pierce, Cliff Edwards, and Pat Chesebro. "From Traditional to Competency-Based Teacher Education," Phi Delta Kappan, LV (January, 1973), 300-302.
- Gibson, E. D. "Integrated and Electronic Data Processing in Relation to Schools of Business Administration," Monograph C-6. Cincinnati: South-Western Publishing Co., 1957.
- Gibson, G. M. "A Study of Office Automation in Selected Business Firms of the Greater Boston Area with Implications for Curriculum Planning," <u>Dissertation Abstracts</u>, 29:4164, May, 1969.

- Godby, C. K. "Clerical Employees in Data Processing Occupations," The Balance Sheet, XLVIII (October, 1966), 59-60.
- Goodman, C. J. "Education for Business Data Processing," Office Executive, XXXVI (May, 1961), 18-20.
- Guimont, Dale W. "Optical Scanning--Does It Deserve More Emphasis in the High School or Post-High School Data Processing Curriculum?" Journal of Business Education, XLV (November, 1969), 76.
- Haga, Enoch. "Introductory Automation and Data Processing for All High School Students," <u>Business Education Forum</u>, XXII (May, 1968), 16-18.
- Hall, A. Lee. "What ADP Concepts Can YOU Teach?" Business Education Forum, XXIV (November, 1969), 28-29.
- Hallam, James A. "Using Data Processing Procedures in The High School Accounting Class," Business Education World, L (March-April, 1970), 12-13.
- Hallam, Stephen F. "The Advantages of Teaching More Than One Programming Language," Business Education Forum, XXVII (December, 1972), 30-31.
- Hamed, Charles J. "Data Processing," Business Education Forum, XLVI (May, 1970), 27.
- Hanson, Robert D. "An Integrated Approach to Teaching Data Processing and Bookkeeping/Accounting," Business Education Forum, XXV (March, 1971), 24-26.
- Hebert, Margaret. "An Analysis of Competencies Needed by Typewriting Teachers as Perceived by Business Teachers and by Authorities in Business Education." Unpublished Doctor's dissertation, University of Houston, 1973.
- Hillestad, Mildred D. "Writing a Research Report," Business Education Forum, XXVI (February, 1972), 27-29.
- Hillway, Tyrus. Introduction to Research. 2nd ed. Boston: Houghton-Mifflin Company, 1964.

- Hurst, Delbert B. "Prepare Students for Work in Electronic Data Processing," <u>Balance Sheet</u>, LIII (November, 1971), 114-16.
- Kahn, Gilbert. "The Need for Systems and Procedures in Accounting," <u>Business Teacher</u>, XLV (May-June, 1968), 34-35.
- Kallaus, Norman F. "Hardware: A New Man-Machine Interface," <u>National Business Education Association Yearbook</u>, No. 7 (1969), 279-93.
- Kallaus, Norman F. "Integrating Data Processing Concepts in Business Classes," Business Education Forum, XXII (March, 1968), 22-23.
- Kargilis, George. "Data Processing--a 'Must' for the High School," Business Education Forum, XXII (May, 1968) 14-16.
- Kodet, Ambrose S. "The Evolution of Mechanically Engineered Developments for Processing Financial Accounting Data, 1840-1968," Journal of Business Education, XLV (February, 1970), 208.
- Korn, Bill and Lewis E. Wall. "Profile of a Data Processing Teacher," Business Education Forum, XXII (April, 1968), 16-18.
- Korn, W. M. "An Achievement Test for the Course Introduction to Business Data Processing," <u>Dissertation Abstracts</u>, 29:1045, October, 1968.
- Korn, Willard. "Business Data Processing for the High School," Journal of Business Education, XXXIV (December, 1969), 109-10.
- Majernik, John A. "The Development of Automated Data Processing Unit Materials and the Outcomes of Their Integration with First-Year Bookkeeping and Accounting Principles at the High School Level," Business Education Forum, XXV (October, 1970), 42.

- McCullough, Edith L. "Performance-Based Business Teacher Education," California Business Education Journal, VII (May, 1973), 21-28.
- Miller, Robert and J. S. Walden. "DE Meets DP," <u>Balance</u> Sheet, LV (November, 1973), 115-17.
- Moyer, Bruce. "A Study to Determine Goals Selected, Specific Courses Taught, and Evaluative Measures for High School Data Processing Curricula," Journal of Business Education, XLVII (April, 1972), 296-97.
- Nolan, C. A., Carlos K. Hayden, and Dean R. Malsbary. <u>Principles and Problems of Business Education</u>. <u>Cincinnati: South-Western Publishing Company</u>, 1967.
- Otte, Richard B. "Computer Instruction in Business Education," <u>National Business Education Association Yearbook</u>, No. 9 (1971), 1-7.
- Pactor, Paul. "The Keypunch Simulator--Fact or Fiction," Journal of Business Education, XLVI (December, 1970), 101-02.
- Prather, Helen. "An Analysis of Competencies Needed by Shorthand Teachers as Perceived by Business Teachers and by Authorities in Business Education." Unpublished Doctor's dissertation, University of Houston, 1974.
- President's Science Advisory Committee. Computers in Higher Education, (Washington, D. C.: Government Printing Office), 1967.
- Reese, Don. "Data Processing Courses in High Schools?" Journal of Business Education, XL (January, 1970), 153-54.
- Richman, John D. "The Effect of Automatic Data Processing on Office Occupations in the Greater Eau Claire." Unpublished Master's thesis, University of Eau Claire, 1968.

- Rohrer, Janet R. "Data Processing at the Collegiate Level: Focus on the Business Teacher Trainee," Journal of Business Education, XLVII, 237-39.
- Schalock, H. Del, et al. (ed.) "A Plan for Managing the Development, Implementation and Operation of a Model Elementary Teacher Education Program." Monmouth, Oregon: Oregon College of Education, 1970, p. 6.
- Schalock, H. D. "From Commitment to Practice in Assessing the Outcomes of Teaching: A Case Study." Monmouth, Oregon: Oregon College of Education, 1973. (Mimeographed.)
- Schalock, H. Del. "The Focus of Performance Based Certification: Teaching Behavior or the Products that Derive from a Teacher's Behavior." Monmouth, Oregon: Oregon College of Education, 1970. (Mimeographed.)
- Schlapman, Richard. "A Study of Business Data Processing offerings in Relation to Undergraduate Business Teacher-Education Programs," Journal of Business Education, XLV (December, 1969), 121.
- Schrag, Adele F., "Computer Terminals in the Classroom," Business Education Forum, XXVI (February, 1972), 48-49.
- Sheets, Wendell R. "A Study of the Effect of Electronic Data Processing on Organization and Personnel in Selected Public School Systems of Maryland," <u>Journal of Business Education</u>, XLV (April, 1970), 300.
- Schmieder, Allen A. The State of the Scene, No. 9 Performance-Based Teacher Education Series, (Washington: AACTE, 1973), p. 10.
- Thompson, Van B. "Meaningful Data Processing Training in High Schools," Journal of Business Education, XLVI (January, 1971), 148-50.
- Tonne, Herbert A., and Louis C. Nanassy. Principles of Business Education, 4th ed. New York: McGraw-Hill Book Company, 1970.

- Van Dalen, Deobold B. and William J. Meyer. Understanding Educational Research. New York: McGraw-Hill Book Company, 1966.
- Wanous, E. E., S. J. Wanous, and Arthur Hughes. Introduction to Automated Data Processing. Cincinnati, Ohio: South-Western Publishing Company, 1968.
- Wanous, E. E., S. J. Wanous, and Gerald E. Wagner. Fundamentals of Data Processing. Cincinnati, Ohio: South-Western Publishing Company, 1971.
- Weaver, W. Timothy. "The Delphi Forecasting Method," Phi Delta Kappan, LII (January, 1971), 267-73.
- Weber, James F., "Data Processing Education--Can Community Colleges Do the Job?" Business Education Forum, XXIII (April, 1969), 27-28.
- Werner, Donald and Robert Gryder. "Integrate Accounting and Data Processing," Business Education Forum, XXVIII (December, 1973), 32-33.
- Westley, John W. "Data Processing," National Business Education Association Yearbook, No. 10 (1972), 167-82.
- Wood, Merle W., "A Methods Course for Business Data Processing Teachers." Journal of Business Education, XLVI (April, 1971), 277-78.