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## ABSTRACT

An analytical procedure has been developed for the synthesis of cam link four bar mechanisms. This method has provided the capability to design function generating mechanisms and path generating mechanisms that are accurate over a limited range as compared to a few points by conventional linkage synthesis techniques. This method is used in conjunction with an optimization utilizing a grid search technique to develop a cam-link mechanism with minimum cam pressure angle over the range of operation.

The method has been applied for path generating linkages with the cam link as the input link. For the function generator the cam link has been positioned in all the links to demonstrate the solution technique.

The solutions have been compared with standard kinematic synthesis to demonstrate the accuracy and advantages of the cam link mechanism over the conventional four-bar linkage.
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Chapter 1

## INTRODUCTION

The study of motions in machines may be considered from two different points of view identified as kinematic analysis and kinematic synthesis. Kinematic analysis is the determination of motion inherent in a given mechanism. In the past displacement analysis was of paramount importance, but with increases in rotational speed, velocity and acceleration analysis have become critical to the design of the machine. Kinematic synthesis is the reverse problem: it is the determination of mechanisms that are to fulfill certain motion specifications. Synthesis is the very foundation of design, for it permits the creation of new hardware to meet particular needs in motion as specified by displacements, velocities, or accelerations, one at a time or in combination. The two types of synthesis that are discussed in this paper are the major areas of interest today, function generation and path generation.

The four-bar linkage has been classified according to its design objective as either a function generator or a path generator. The function generator has been characterized as having a specified functional relationship between the input and output links. The ability to predict the location of the output link has enabled the design engineer
to utilize the four-bar linkage as a computer mechanism, a positioning mechanism, and as a transport mechanism in many machines.

Classical kinematic synthesis methods have been developed to generate both types of devices. They generally are exact only at a limited number of precision points. Mathematical difficulties in obtaining a solution have generally limited these methods to a maximum of five points.

This thesis is concerned with the development of a cam-link mechanism that provides a design solution that gives accuracy over a range rather than at a limited number of accuracy points. The path generator developed in this study moves a coupler point along a prescribed path while also correlating an input shaft rotation to a particular position along the coupler curve. The function generator is controlled in a similar manner to produce the functional relationship between two shaft rotations.

The utilization of a cam-link mechanism complicates the simplicity that is inherent in the four-bar linkage, but has provided the capability of controlling the accuracy over a range rather than at specific points. For this case, the equations of constraint are more difficult than those of the four-bar linkage and require additional assumptions in order to provide an optimum solution.

The work done in this thesis develops a path generator and three types of function generators utilizing a cam-link to provide zero error.

## Chapter 2

## LITERATURE SURVEY

The subject of kinematics is not very old in science, although some of its phases are as old as recorded history. The story began with the random growth of machines and mechanisms under the pressure of necessity. This was the period of invention and establishment of basic forms, but there was neither unity nor plan. Hartenberg and Denavit, (1) in the first chapter of their book, cover the history of kinematics from the age of the Ancient Egyptians to the end of the nineteenth century.

With the progress in mathematics and the introduction of high speed computer machines, a considerable amount of work on analysis and synthesis of linkage mechanisms has been done, especially after the Second World War.

Four-bar linkages were the simplest mechanism to fulfill function generation, path generation, or coupler positioning. Hall (2) presented an analysis of this mechanism together with a brief introduction to some methods of synthesis. Freudenstein (3) developed several methods of varying degrees of accuracy and complexity for four-bar linkage synthesis. From these methods the designer could select the one best suited to his requirement. These methods were developed by using either several precision points (up to five
points) or a single point with several precision derivatives. Freudenstein (4) completed tables of linkage types, functions, ranges, and accuracies possible using a conventional fourbar linkage. Freudenstein and Sandor (5) developed a general method for plane-linkage synthesis for path generation. This method applied complex numbers and matrix theory of linear systems on the four-link mechanism synthesis up to five precision points. They found that up to twelve possible solutions exist.

Several methods have been developed for minimizing the error between precision points. Freudenstein (6) developed methods for estimating and obtaining minimum error in the approximate synthesis of plane, function or path-generating mechanisms. He used successive respacing of precision points for minimization of the structural error. The method of successive improvements could be used with any optimization criterion. Lewis and Gyory (7) applied the extension of the method of damped-least-squares to provide a means for successive adjustment of parameters which define a four-bar linkage to result in a convergence toward an optimum approximation to the desired coupler curve. McLarnan (8) modified Freudenstein's method for respacing the precision points by reducing the number of points which had to be shifted to obtain minimum structural error. He was able to reduce the number of these points to half the total number of mechanism
parameters used in the synthesis. Timko (9) established a computer method for synthesizing a four-bar linkage that approximated a desired position relationship between output and input.cranks. The criterion he used is based upon a least-error-squared fit of the curves at a number of checking points, say, twelve along the desired curve. Many mechanical design requirements involve inequality constraints rather than equations. The problem of synthesis of four-bar mechanisms subjected to constraints, such as, limiting the transmission angle or lengths of links, or restriction on the location of the pivot points, etc., was treated by Fox and Willmert (10). The solution was found by using an iterative technique with the aid of digital computer. Using the nonlinear programming approach Tomas (11) reduced the complexity of the mathematical expressions and reduced the computation time required to solve this system of equations.

When the required accuracy can not be attained by using a four-bar linkage, an obvious alternative is to use a camlink mechanism. The cam-link mechanism was discussed by Nickson (12) (13) for uses requiring more accuracy than can be developed by a four-bar linkage.

Huey and Dixon (14) developed a cam-link function generator with the cam being between the input shaft and the coupler. The work presented herein shows that the method may be
extended to other function generators of different configuration and extends the method to the design of a cam-link path generator.

## Chapter 3

## FORMULATION OF THE PRCBLEM

This chapter includes the development of the design equations for the problems defined in the introduction. The complete formulation to be used in this work is subdivided into four distinct subproblems:
A. Cam-link mechamism for function generation with the cam located in the input link,
B. Cam-link function generator with the
cam located in the output link,
C. Cam-link function generator with the cam
located in the base link, and
D. Path generating cam-link mechanism with
the cam located in the input link.

The equations derived in this chapter are based on the results of previous work (1) (5) that has made complex variables a standard kinematic analysis tool.

Huey and Dixon (14) developed a cam link function generator with the cam-link used as the coupler. In this chapter the equations are derived for a cam-link function generator and a cam-link path generator with the cam as part of the input link. Since the equations for the other function
generators are similar, their results only will be included.

## Cam-Link Function Generator <br> With the Cam in the Input Link

A schematic diagram of a cam-link function generator with the cam used on the input link is shown in Fig. 1. An enlargement of the pressure angle section from Fig. 1 is shown in Fig. 2. The design parameters available for optimization for this mechanism are $r_{1}, r_{3}, r_{4}, \theta_{2}$, and $\theta_{4}$, where $\theta_{2_{0}}$, and $\theta_{4_{0}}$, are the initial values of $\theta_{2}$ and $\theta_{4}$, respectively. The inputs required are the desired range of $\theta_{2}$, the maximum pressure angle, $\alpha$, the functional velationship $\theta_{4}=f_{1}\left(\theta_{2}\right)$, and $\frac{d \theta_{4}}{d \theta_{2}}=f_{2}\left(\theta_{2}\right)$. In this problem $r_{1}$ is assigned the value unity and the solution is an optimization of $\frac{r_{2}}{r_{1}}$ and $\frac{r_{3}}{r_{1}}$.

The solution is begun by writing the closure equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{1} e^{i \theta_{1}}+r_{4} e^{i \theta_{4}}-r_{5} e^{i \theta_{5}}=0 \tag{3.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the real part is

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{5} \cos \theta_{5}=r_{1}+r_{4} \cos \theta_{4} \tag{3.1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the imaginary part is

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{5} \sin \theta_{5}=r_{4} \sin \theta_{4} \tag{3.1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (3.1.3)

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{5}=r_{4} \frac{\sin \theta_{4}}{\sin \theta_{5}} \tag{3.1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and using (3.1.2) and (3.1.3)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{5}=\arctan \frac{r_{4} \sin \theta_{4}}{r_{1}+r_{4} \cos \theta_{4}} . \tag{3.1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$



FIGURE 1

SCHEMATIC OF CAM-IINK MECHANISM
FOR FUNCTION GENERATION WITH CAM-IINK USED
IN INPUT LINK


## FIGURE 2

CAM PRESSURE ANGLE, $\alpha$
SCHEMATIC SHOWN FOR FUNCTION GENERATOR
WITH CAM ON INPUT SHAFT,
OTHERS ARE SIMILAR

The angle, $\theta_{5}$, must be placed in the proper quadrant.
Using the law of cosines

$$
r_{3}^{2}=r_{5}^{2}-2 r_{5} r_{2} \cos \left(\theta_{2}-\theta_{5}\right)
$$

and changing the form to

$$
r_{2}^{2}+r_{2}\left[-2 r_{5} \cos \left(\theta_{2}-\theta_{5}\right)\right]+\left(r_{5}^{2}-r_{3}^{2}\right)=0
$$

the quadratic formula can be applied to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& r_{2}=r_{5} \cos \left(\theta_{2}-\theta_{5}\right) \pm\left[r_{5}^{2} \cos ^{2} \cdot\left(\theta_{2}-\theta_{5}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-r_{5}^{2}+r_{3}^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{3.1.6}
\end{align*}
$$

This gives two possible solutions, both will be solved and the solution with the lowest value for maximum cam slope will be selected.

Now to find the pressure angle, $\alpha$, for a given set of design parameters.
The derivative of $\theta_{5}$ with respect to $\theta_{2}$ gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d \theta_{5}}{d \theta_{2}}=\left\{1+\left(\frac{r_{4} \sin \theta_{4}}{r_{1}+r_{4} \cos \theta_{4}}\right)^{2}\right\}^{-1}\left[\left(r_{1}\right.\right. \\
& \left.+r_{4} \cos \theta_{4}\right)\left(r_{4} \cos \theta_{4} \frac{d \theta_{4}}{d \theta_{2}}\right) \\
& \left.+\left(r_{4}^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta_{4} \frac{d \theta_{4}}{d \theta_{2}}\right)\right]\left(r_{1}+r_{4} \cos \theta_{4}\right)^{-2} \tag{3.1.7}
\end{align*}
$$

The derivative of $r_{5}$ with respect to $\theta_{2}$ gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d r_{5}}{d \theta_{2}}=r_{4}\left\{\frac{\sin \theta_{5} \cos \theta_{4} \frac{d \theta_{4}}{d \theta_{2}}}{\sin ^{2} \theta_{5}}\right. \\
& \left.\frac{-\sin \theta_{4} \cos \theta_{5} \frac{d \theta_{5}}{d \theta_{2}}}{\sin ^{2} \theta_{5}}\right\} \tag{3.1.8}
\end{align*}
$$

The derivative of $r_{2}$ with respect to $\theta_{2}$ gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d r_{2}}{d \theta_{2}}=\frac{d r_{5}}{d \theta_{2}} \cos \left(\theta_{2}-\theta_{5}\right)-r_{5} \sin \left(\theta_{2}\right. \\
& \left.-\theta_{5}\right)\left(1-\frac{d \theta_{5}}{d \theta_{2}} \pm\left[r_{5}^{2} \cos ^{2}\left(\theta_{2}-\theta_{5}\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.-r_{5}{ }^{2}+r_{3}^{2}\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left\{r _ { 5 } \frac { d r _ { 5 } } { d \theta _ { 2 } } \operatorname { c o s } ^ { 2 } \left(\theta_{2}\right.\right. \\
& \left.-\theta_{5}\right)+r_{5}{ }^{2} \cos \left(\theta_{2}-\theta_{5}\right)\left[-\sin \left(\theta_{2}-\theta_{5}\right)\left(1-\frac{d \theta_{5}}{d \theta_{2}}\right)\right] \\
& \left.-r_{5} \frac{d r_{5}}{d \theta_{2}}\right\} . \tag{3.1.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Now the cam pressure angle, $\alpha$, can be shown to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\arctan \left[\left(\frac{d r_{2}}{d \theta_{2}}\right)\left(\frac{1}{r_{c}}\right)\right] \tag{3.1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The optimization of the cam slope $\frac{d r_{2}}{d \theta_{2}}$ proceeds until the mechanism with the lowest maximum value is found. The value for ${ }^{\alpha}$ is a specified design parameter. $\alpha$ is chosen as a specific value for the pressure angle and the radius of the cam is calculated to be a minimum for this value. The minimization of the cam is based on the cam slope at each point on the cam surface. Since the optimization is based on the cam slope the chosen value of $\alpha$ may be exceeded. If the value of $\alpha$ is so important that exceeding the chosen value slightly is intolerable, the problem can be recycled with a change of radius at this point until the necessary reduction is obtained.

## Cam-Link Function Generator <br> With the Cam in the Output Link

A schematic diagram of a cam-link function generator with the cam in the output link is shown in Fig. 3. Since this mechanism is very similar to the previous one, only the results will be given.

The length of the output link is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r_{4}=r_{5} \cos \left(\theta_{5}-\theta_{4}\right) \pm\left[r_{5}^{2} \cos ^{2}\left(\theta_{5}-\theta_{4}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-r_{5}^{2}+r_{3}^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proceeding towards the solution,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d \theta_{5}}{d \theta_{4}}=\left[1+\left(\frac{r_{2} \sin \theta_{2}}{r_{2} \cos \theta_{2}-r_{1}}\right)^{2}\right]^{-1}\left(r_{2} \cos \theta_{2}\right. \\
& \left.-r_{1}\right) \frac{\left(r_{2} \cos \theta_{2} d \theta_{2} / d \theta_{4}\right)+\left(r_{2} \sin \theta_{2}\right)^{2} d \theta_{2} / d \theta_{4}}{\left(r_{2} \cos \theta_{2}-r_{1}\right)^{2}}, \\
& \frac{d r_{5}}{d \theta_{4}}=\frac{r_{2} \cos \theta_{2} d \theta_{2} / d \theta_{4}}{\sin \theta_{5}} \\
& \frac{-r_{2} \sin \theta_{2} \cos \theta_{5} d \theta_{5} / d \theta_{4}}{\sin ^{2} \theta_{5}}, \\
& \frac{d r_{4}}{d \theta_{4}}=-r_{5} \sin \left(\theta_{5}-\theta_{4}\right)\left(\frac{d \theta_{5}}{d \theta_{4}}-1\right) \\
& +\frac{d r_{5}}{d \theta_{4}} \cos \left(\theta_{5}-\theta_{4}\right)+\left[r_{5}^{2} \cos ^{2}\left(\theta_{5}-\theta_{4}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+r_{3}^{2}-r_{5}^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}\left[r_{5} \frac{d r_{5}}{d \theta_{4}} \cos ^{2}\left(\theta_{5}-\theta_{4}\right)\right.
\end{aligned}
$$
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SCHEMATIC OF CAM-LINK NECHANISM
FOR FUNCTION GENERATION WITH CAM-LINK USED IN OUTPUT LINK

$$
\left.-r_{5}^{2} \cos \left(\theta_{5}-\theta_{4}\right) \sin \left(\theta_{5}-\theta_{4}\right)\left(\frac{d \theta_{5}}{d \theta_{4}}-1\right)-r_{5} \frac{d r_{5}}{d \theta_{4}}\right]
$$

and

$$
\alpha=\operatorname{arc} \tan \left[\left(\frac{d r_{4}}{d \theta_{4}}\right)\left(\frac{1}{r_{c}}\right)\right]
$$

> Cam-Link Function Generator With the Cam in the Base Link

A schematic of a cam-link function generator with the cam used on the base link is shown in Fig. 4 . Since this mechamism is very similar to the previous two derivations only the results will be given.

The base length is given by

$$
r_{1}=r_{2} \cos \theta_{2}+r_{3} \cos \theta_{3}-r_{4} \cos \theta_{4}
$$

where $\theta_{3}=\arcsin \left(r_{4} \sin \theta_{4}-r_{2} \sin \theta_{2}\right) / r_{3}$.
Note that $\theta_{3}$ has two possible values.
Following through,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d \theta_{3}}{d \theta_{2}}=\left\{1-\left[\left(-r_{2} \sin \theta_{2}+r_{4} \sin \theta_{4}\right) / r_{3}\right]^{2}\right\}^{-\frac{1}{2}}[ \\
& \left.\left(-\frac{r_{2}}{r_{3}}\right) \cos \theta_{2}+\left(\frac{r_{4}}{r_{3}}\right) \cos \theta_{4} \frac{d \theta_{4}}{d \theta_{2}}\right] \\
& \frac{d r_{1}}{d \theta_{2}}=-r_{2} \sin \theta_{2}-r_{3} \sin \theta_{3} \frac{d \theta_{3}}{d \theta_{2}} \\
& +r_{4} \sin \theta_{4} \frac{d \theta_{4}}{d \theta_{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\alpha=\operatorname{arc} \tan \left[\left(\frac{\mathrm{d} r_{1}}{\mathrm{~d} \theta_{2}}\right)\left(\frac{1}{r_{c}}\right)\right]
$$



FIGURE 4

SCHEMATIC OF CAM-LINK MECHANISM FOR FUNCTION GENERATION WITH CAM LINK USED IN BASE LINK

## Cam-Link Path Generator

A schematic diagram of a cam-link path generator with the cam in the input link is shown in Fig. 5 . The design parameters available for optimization for this mechanism have been defined as $r_{1}, \theta_{1}, r_{3}, r_{4}, r_{5}, r_{6}, p t l 2 x$, and ptl2y. Where ptl2x is the $x$ coordinate for the point 12 which is the point where link $r_{1}$ joins link $r_{2}$. The point, ptl2y, is similar and this usage with other points continues throughout this problem. The inputs required are the coupler path $p=f(\beta), \frac{d p}{d x}=f_{3}(\beta)$, and the range of $\beta$. Where $\beta$ is an input angle and if the path generator is optimum, the drift angle, DA, approaches zero.

The drift angle, DA, is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
D A=\left(\theta_{2}-\theta_{2}\right)-\beta \tag{3.3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\theta_{2}$ is the initial value of $\partial_{2}$. In other words the change in $\beta$ should in the optimum case equal the change in $\theta_{2}$.

Although it is discussed later the merit function used by the optimizing scheme will be mentioned now. The merit function places a numerical value upon the quality of the linkage formed with a particular set of design parameters. It is important to note that not all sets of design parameter will work as a mechanism so they must be eliminated from the comparison early by a low merit value. The best mechanism


FIGURE 5
SCHEMATIC OF CAM-LINK NECHANISM FOR PATH GENERATION
will have the largest positive merit value. Once the mechanism is working it is optimized in this manner. The linkage is run through a complete cycle $\beta=0$ to $\beta=\beta_{\mathrm{MAX}}$. The maximum value of the cam pressure, $\alpha$, is found and the largest value of the drift angle is found. The final merit value for the function is equal to the individual inverse of these two multiplied together. This way the lowest maximum cam angle and lowest maximum angle of drift may be found. Merit Value $=\left(\frac{l}{\alpha}\right)\left(\frac{1}{D A}\right)$
A preliminary calculation is first run on the mechanism. As viewed in the schematic $r_{3}, r_{5}$, and $r_{6}$ form a triangle. The angle, ${ }_{56}$, shown in Fig. 7 , will be needed later, so it is used to check if a triangle can exist.

Using the law of cosines

$$
\theta_{56}=\arccos \left(\frac{r_{6}^{2}+r_{5}^{2}-r_{3}^{2}}{2 r_{6} r_{5}}\right)
$$

and

$$
A_{3}=\frac{r_{6}^{2}+r_{5}^{2}-r_{3}^{2}}{2 r_{6} r_{5}}
$$

If the argument of arc cos, $A_{3}$, is not equal or not between +1 and -1 , the triangle cannot exist and the problem aborts giving a merit value of $-1000 \mathrm{~A}_{3}{ }^{2}$.

The solution is started by locating points pti2 and pti4 from the design parameters. The coupler point pt56 is defined to be equal to $p t 56=p=f(\beta)$.


FIGURE 6

## SCHEMATIC OF CAM-LINK MECHANISM FOR PATH GENERATION <br> SHOWING TWO POSSIBIE SOLUTIONS MADE AVAILABLE BY ROTATION ABOUT $r_{7}$



FIGURE 7
SCHEMATIC OF CAM-IINK MECHANISM FOR
PATH GENERATION
SHONING TWO POSSIBLE SOLUTIONS MADE AVAILABLE BY ROTATION ABOUT $r_{5}$

The solution of $r_{7}$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
& r_{7}=\left[(p t 56 x-p t 14 x)^{2}+(p t 56 y-p t 14 y)^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \theta_{\eta}=\arctan \frac{p t 56 y-p t 14 y}{p t 56 x-p t 14 x} \tag{3.3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

${ }_{\theta}{ }_{7}$ must be placed in the proper quadrant.
Now to attach links $r_{4}$ and $r_{5}$. Using the law of cosines

$$
\theta_{74}=\arccos \left(\frac{r_{7}^{2}+r_{4}^{2}-r_{5}^{2}}{2 r_{7} r_{4}}\right)
$$

where

$$
A_{7}=\frac{r_{7}^{2}+r_{4}^{2}-r_{5}^{2}}{2 r_{7} r_{4}}
$$

If $A_{7}$ is not between +1 and -1 or equal to them, the schematic triangle cannot exist. In this case the merit value is set to $-100 \mathrm{~A}_{7}{ }^{2}$ and the problem continues only calculating the value of $A_{7}$ at various locations to find the maximum value of $A_{7}$. This low merit value is then returned to the grid search program.

Once $\theta_{74}$ is found it can take either a positive or negative value as shown in Fig. 6. The angle $\theta_{75}$ is similar to ${ }^{7} 74^{-}$These two possibilities caused by the rotation about $r_{7}$ are not easily taken care of. The location of $r_{4}$ by $\theta_{74}$ can easily be started as either positive or negative by the choice of the initial conditions.

The problem arises when $\theta_{4}=\theta_{7}=\theta_{5}$ and two solutions degenerate into one solution. Later it breaks into two solutions again and there is the possibility that the solution desired could be either one. The proper solution is
picked this way. The value of $r_{2}$ at the last cycle is incremented through the ideal increment of $\theta_{2}$, and then the difference in distance between pt26 or pt36 and the tip of $r_{2}$ test is compared with the distance pt26' and the tip of $r_{2}$ test. The point, pt26 or pt26', whichever is closer to the tip of $r_{2}$ test, is chosen with the linkage containing it. The angle $\theta_{56}$ has already been found. It may be either positive or negative as shown in Fig. . This creates no real problem though. The solution is tried both ways and the solution with the best merit value is chosen.

After the linkage configuration is decided upon by taking one of the four possibilities at a time, the merit value of the linkage is rather straight forward. It has been pointed out earlier how the merit values are arrived upon when the linkage is impossible to construct. The two items involved in the merit function of a good mechanism are the cam pressure angle, $\alpha$, and the drift angle, DA. The maximum of each for the particular set of design parameters, and particular linkage configuration is found. Then each is inversed and the two are multiplied together to obtain the merit value. A final check is made to see that $r_{2}$ has never reversed its rotation. It would by a physical impossibility for the cam to have more than one radius at a particular angle. If the calculated linkage reverses itself, the linkage merit value
is multiplied by a very small number $1 \times 10^{-22}$.

$$
\text { Merit Value }=\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)\left(\frac{I}{D A}\right)(A K)
$$

where $A K$ is a constant that is used as a flag. If the linkage input $\theta_{2}$ is monotonic, increasing or decreasing, $A K$, is equal to one. If $\theta_{2}$ reverses its rotation, $A K$ is then set to $1 \times 10^{-22}$ so that the merit value for this undesirable case will be very low.

The derivation of the cam pressure, $\alpha$, is shown by the following procedure. The path to be generated $p=f(\beta)$ and the coupler path pt56 must be equal. So,

$$
\begin{equation*}
p=p t 56=f(\beta) \tag{3.3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function must be input in parametric form for this particular solution as

$$
\begin{align*}
& p t 56 x=f_{1}(\beta) \\
& p t 56 y=f_{2}(\beta) \tag{3.3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Also required of the input function is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d p t 56 y}{d p t 56 x}=\frac{d y}{d x}=f_{3}(\beta) \tag{3.3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

An auxilliary vector $r_{7}$ is used for this derivation and can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& r_{7}=p t 56-p t 14  \tag{3.3.12}\\
& r_{7 y}=p t 56 y-p t 14 y  \tag{3.3.13}\\
& r_{7 x}=p t 56 x-p t 14 x \tag{3.3.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Taking the derivative of the components

$$
\frac{d r_{7 x}}{d x}=\frac{d p t 56 x}{d x}-\frac{d o t 14}{d x}
$$

Since $p$ tl 4 is a stationary point, $\frac{d p t 14 x}{d x}=0$, and $\frac{d r^{7 x}}{d x}=\frac{d p t 56 x}{d x}=\frac{d x}{d x}=1$.

Again

$$
\begin{align*}
& r_{7 y}=p t 56 y-p t 14 y  \tag{3.3.13}\\
& \frac{d r_{7 y}}{d x}=\frac{d p t 56}{d x}-\frac{d p t 14 y}{d x}
\end{align*}
$$

Since pili is a stationary point, $\frac{\operatorname{dpt} 14 y}{d x}=0$,
making

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d r}{d y}=\frac{d p t 56 y}{d x}=\frac{d y}{d x}=f_{3}(\beta) \tag{3.3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Placing $r_{7}$ in easily obtainable form

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{7}=\left(r_{7 x}^{2}+r_{7 y}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{3.3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and taking its derivative

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d r_{7}}{d x}=\left(r_{7 x}^{2}+r_{7 y}^{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(r_{7 x}+r_{7 y} \frac{d r_{7 y}}{d x}\right) \tag{3.3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The angle $\theta_{\eta}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{\eta}=\arctan \left(\frac{r_{7 y}}{r_{7 x}}\right) \tag{3.3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and taking its derivative

$$
\frac{d \theta}{d x}=\left[1+\left(\frac{r_{7 y}}{r_{7 x}}\right)^{2}\right]^{-1}\left[\frac{r_{7 x} d r_{\eta y} / d x-r_{\eta y} d r_{7 x} / d x}{r_{7 x}^{2}}\right]
$$

Similar to $\theta_{74}, \theta_{75}$ is found using the law of cosines as

$$
\theta_{75}=\arccos \frac{r_{7}^{2}+r_{5}^{2}-r_{4}^{2}}{2 r_{7} r_{5}}
$$

Taking its derivative

$$
\frac{d \theta}{d x}=(-1)\left[1-\left(\frac{r_{7}^{2}+r_{5}^{2}-r_{4}^{2}}{2 r_{7} r_{5}}\right)^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{4 r_{7}^{2} r_{5} d r_{7} / d x-\left(r_{7}{ }^{2}+r_{5}^{2}-r_{4}^{2}\right)\left(2 r_{5} d r_{7} / d x\right)}{\left(2 r_{7} r_{5}\right)^{2}}\right] \tag{3.3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remembering from Fig. 5 and Fig. 6

$$
\theta_{5} \doteq \theta_{7} \pm \theta_{75}
$$

The plus or minus is chosen depending upon the final linkage desired. In practice both are tried and the one with the highest merit value is chosen.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} \theta_{5}}{\mathrm{dx}}=\frac{\mathrm{d} \theta_{7}}{\mathrm{dx}} \pm \frac{\mathrm{d} \theta_{75}}{\mathrm{dx}} \tag{3.3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

With reference to Fig.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \theta_{6}= \pm \theta_{56}+\theta_{5}  \tag{3.3.25}\\
& \frac{d \theta_{6}}{d x}=\frac{d\left( \pm \theta_{56}\right)}{d x}+\frac{d \theta_{5}}{d x}
\end{align*}
$$

and since $\theta_{56}$ is a constant,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} \theta_{6}}{\mathrm{dx}}=\frac{\mathrm{d} \theta_{5}}{\mathrm{dx}} \tag{3.3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

$r_{6}$ is an input design parameter

$$
\begin{align*}
& r_{6 x}=r_{6} \cos \theta_{6}  \tag{3.3.27}\\
& \frac{d r_{6 x}}{d x}=-r_{6} \sin \theta_{6} \frac{d \theta_{6}}{d x} \tag{3.3.28}
\end{align*}
$$

From the geometry it can be seen that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{pt} 26=\mathrm{pt} 56-\mathrm{r}_{6}, \tag{3.3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for the x component

$$
p t 26 x=p t 56 x-r_{6 x}
$$

Taking its derivative

$$
\frac{d p t 26 x}{d x}=\frac{d p t 56 x}{d x}-\frac{d r_{6 x}}{d x}
$$

and remembering $\frac{\operatorname{dot} 56 x}{d x}=1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d p 26 x}{d x}=1-\frac{d r_{6 x}}{d x} \tag{3.3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Continuing,

$$
r_{2}=p t 26=p t 12
$$

and its x components

$$
r_{2 x}=p t 26 x-p t 12 x
$$

Taking its derivative,

$$
\frac{d r_{2 x}}{d x}=\frac{d p t 26 x}{d x}-\frac{d p t 12 x}{d x}
$$

And since ptl2 is a stationary point,

$$
\frac{d p t 12 x}{d x}=0
$$

making

$$
\frac{d r_{2 x}}{d x}=\frac{d p t 26 x}{d x}
$$

Now for the $y$ axis.
Again $r_{6}$ is an input parameter.

$$
r_{6 y}=r_{6} \sin \theta_{6}
$$

Taking its derivative,

$$
\frac{d r_{6 y}}{d x}=r_{6} \cos \theta_{6} \frac{d \vartheta_{6}}{d x}
$$

Taking the y components of $\mathrm{pt26}$

$$
p t 26 y=p t 56 y-r_{6 y}
$$

Taking its derivative

$$
\frac{d p t 26 y}{d x}=\frac{d p t 56 y}{d x}-\frac{d r}{d x}
$$

and since

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d p t 56 y}{d x}=\frac{d y}{d x}  \tag{3.3.11}\\
& \frac{d \operatorname{dot} 26 y}{d x}=\frac{d y}{d x}-\frac{d r 6 y}{d x}
\end{align*}
$$

Continuing through $r_{2 y}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& r_{2 y}=p t 26 y-p t 12 y  \tag{3.3.40}\\
& \frac{d r_{2 y}}{d x}=\frac{d p t 26 y}{d x}-\frac{d p t 12 y}{d x}
\end{align*}
$$

Since ptl2y is a constant,

$$
\frac{d p t 12 y}{d x}=0
$$

And recalling

$$
\frac{d p+26 y}{d x}
$$

making

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d r_{2 y}}{d x}=\frac{d y}{d x}-\frac{d r_{6 y}}{d x} \tag{3.3.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the geometry

$$
\theta_{2}=\operatorname{arc} \tan \left(\frac{r_{2 \mathrm{y}}}{r_{2 \mathrm{x}}}\right)
$$

and taking its derivative

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d \theta_{2}}{d x}=\left[1+\left(\frac{r_{2 y}}{r_{2 x}}\right)^{2}\right]^{-1}( \\
& \left.\frac{r_{2 x} d r_{2 y} / d x-r_{2 y} d r_{2 x} / d x}{r_{2 x}{ }^{2}}\right) \tag{3.3.43}
\end{align*}
$$

The link, $r_{2}$, may easily calculated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{2}=\left(r_{2 x}^{2}+r_{2 y}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{3.3.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

and taking its derivative

$$
\frac{d r_{2}}{d x}=\left(r_{2 x}^{2}+r_{2 y}^{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}
$$

$$
\left.r_{2 x} \frac{d r_{2 x}}{d x}+r_{2 y} \frac{d r_{2 y}}{d x}\right)
$$

Finally

$$
\frac{\mathrm{dr}}{2} \mathrm{~d} \theta_{2}=\left(\frac{\mathrm{dr}}{2} \mathrm{dx}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{~d} \theta_{2} / \mathrm{dx}}\right)
$$

Now the cam pressure angle, $\alpha$, can be shown as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\arctan \left[\left(\frac{d r_{2}}{d \theta_{2}}\right)\left(\frac{1}{r_{2}-r_{F}}\right)\right] . \tag{3.3.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $r_{F}$ is the length of the cam follower and ( $r_{2}-r_{F}$ ) is the radius of the cam.

## Optimization Scheme

To insure that a good design results when rough data is used as input, the optimization technique must do its job properly. The optimization method used in this paper was developed by Mischke and is thoroughly discussed in reference (15). It is a grid type search within regional constraints in a hyperspace. The use of a merit value, or a numerical quality of goodness value informs the search routine of the quality of its choice for a particular set of design parameters and allows it to converge upon the optimum.

The merit value used for the function generators is maximum cam slope incountered during a cycle of the mechanism inversed.

$$
\text { Merit Value }_{1}=\frac{1}{\left(d r_{2} / \mathrm{d} \theta_{2}\right)_{\mathrm{MAX}}}
$$

The two different merit values used with the path generators use drift angle and eam slope or cam pressure angle. The drift angle is a measure of the difference of where the input link $r_{2}$ is and where it is desired.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Merit Value }_{2}=\frac{1}{\left(d r_{2} / d \theta_{2}\right) \operatorname{MAX}}\left(\frac{1}{D A}\right) \\
& \text { Merit Value } 3=\left(\frac{1}{\alpha_{\operatorname{MAX}}}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{DA}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

These are the two merit functions that were used in different problems to show that different merit functions are available.

Using the above merit functions, the computer hunts for the maximum merit value and its design parameters.

This numerical technique is used to size the $n$ variables design parameters in a $n$ dimension grid search. The search technique employed finds the maximum point on the merit hypersurface and uses the values of the variable parameters corresponding to this maximum point in designing the mechanism. The ability of a grid search routine to negotiate surface peculiarities that tend to trap other search schemes made it a logical choice. A simple computer flow diagram is shown in Fig. 8.

While under the control of the grid search routine, the computer program developed a pattern of points at the corners and center of a hypercube in the hyperspace of normalized variable parameters. The merit function is evaluated at each point. The point corresponding to the maximum value of the merit function was used as the center point for a new hypercube of reduced size. This process continued until the hypercube was sufficiently small. In this manner, the program converged to the set of optimum variable parameters.


FIGURE 8
SIMPLIFIED COREPUTER
FLOW DIAGRAM

## Chapter 4

 RESULTSThis section shows some of the possible types of problems that may be worked and the solutions that might be expected. Several problems were picked for demonstrating the function generators of different types.

The path generator is used to work two different coupler curves. The first problem is the upper right quarter of a square where its input angle rotated $90^{\circ}$ as the coupler moved around the $90^{\circ}$ of the coupler curve. This problem was worked several times to show what can be done to a particular problem. The second problem generates a cardioid showing that this method will generate a continuous and somewhat difficult form.

## EXAMPLE PROBLEM NO. 1

This example illustrates the application of this synthesis technique to generate the function,

$$
\begin{gathered}
Y=X^{2} \\
\text { Where } X \text { varies between }-1 \text { and }+1
\end{gathered}
$$

When this problem is worked using a standard four bar linkage, the accuracy point can be shifted to improve the mechanism's overall accuracy. The best fit with a standard non cam-link mechanism has an output error of $4.47 \%$ ( 1 ). These cam-link mechanisms developed here have no mathematical or theoretical error.

## Part 1:

This mechanism uses the cam-link in its base and all dimensions are the same as the theoretical non cam-link four bar mechanism, that is except for the cam link. The mechanism is shown in Figure 9 and its computer output is shown in Figure 10. It may be noticed that the cam used on this mechanism is rather large to obtain the minimum maximum pressure angle of thirty degrees.

Part 2:
This mechanism is shown in Figurell and its computer output is shown in Figure 12. It may be noticed that although this mechanism is fairly similar in appearance, the cam required is much smaller. On this mechanism the computer was given a broad range to optimize the link lengths
and initial starting positions. The maximum radius of the cam was reduced from 1.165 to .290 , a substantial reduction. Part 3:

This mechanism is shown in Figure 13 and its computer output is shown in Figure 14 . The mechanism uses the cam link in the input link. For a base length of one the maximum cam radius would be .284 , which is the smallest cam yet, and this cam has a maximum pressure angle of only 15 degrees.


FIGURE 9
SCHEMATIC OF CAM-LINK MECHANISM TO GENERATE $Y=X^{2}$ WITH CAM USED IN BASE LINK



| \#FDESIGN PARAHETER LIMITS\#\# |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LOHER LIMIT | PARAMFTERS | UPPER LIMIT |
| 0.610 | R2 | 0.610 |
| 0.566 | $R 3$ | 0.566 |
| 0.380 | R4 | 0.380 |
| -68.324 | P20 | -68.824 |
| 233.668 | 940 | 233.668 |
|  |  |  |
|  | **** RESULTS **** |  |


| OPTIMUM OESIGN PARAMETERS |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| R2 $=0.610$ | $R 3=0.566$ |  |
| R4 $=$ | 0.390 | $\rho 20=-68.824$ |
| RF $=$ | -0.176 | $P 40=233.668$ |


| INPUT | ** ONE | RADIUS | CAM | PRESSURE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ANGLE | ANGLE | OF | SLOPE | ANGLE |
| P2 (DEG) | P4(DEG) | CAM | DR2/0P2 | ALPHA(DEG) |
| -68.824 | 233.668 | 2.123 | 0.182. | 9.216 |
| -66.824 | 238.883 | 1.127 | $0.070^{\circ}$ | 3.555 |
| -64.823 | 243.861 | 1.128 | -0.010 | -0.501 |
| -62.823 | 248.602 | 1.127 | -0.064 | -3.236 |
| $-60.823$ | 253.106 | 1.124 | -0.097 | -4.911 |
| -58.823 | 257.372 | 1.120 | -0.113 | -5.747 |
| -56.823 | 261.402 | 1.116 | -0.116 | -5.924 |
| -54.823 | 265.194 | 1.112 | -0.109 | -5.591 |
| -52.823 | 268.750 | 1.108 | -0.094 | -4.870 |
| -50.823 | 272.068 | 1.106 | -0.075 | -3.869 |
| $-48.823$ | 275.150 | 1.103 | -0.052 | -2.678 |
| -46.823 | 277.994 | 1.102 | -0.026 | -1.377 |
| $-44.823$ | 280.602 | 1.101 | -0.001 | -0.035 |
| -42.823 | 282.972 | 1.102 | 0.025 | 1.291 |
| -40.823 | 285.105 | 1.103 | 0.049 | 2.552 |
| -38.823 | 287.001 | 1.105 | 0.072 | 3.709 |
| -36.823 | 288.661 | 1.108 | 0.092 | 4.733 |
| -34.823 | 290.083 | 1.112 | 0.109 | 5.602 |
| -32.823 | 291.268 | 1.116 | 0.123 | 6.302 |
| -30.823 | 292.216 | 1.120 | 0.134 | 6.823 |
| $-28.823$ | 292.927 | 1.125 | 0.141 | 7.162 |
| -26.823 | 293.401 | 1.130 | 0.145 | 7.319 |
| -24.823 | 293.638 | 1.135 | 0.145 | 7.298 |
| -22.823 | 273.638 | 1.140 | 0.142 | 7.104 |
| -20.823 | 293.401 | 1.145 | 0.135 | 6.744 |
| -18.823 | 292.927 | 1.150 | 0.125 | 6.229 |
| $-16.823$ | 292.216 | 1.154 | 0.112 | 5.568 |
| $-14.823$ | 291. 268 | 1.157 | 0.097 | 4.774 |
| $-12.823$ | 290.083 | 1.160 | 0.078 | 3.860 |
| $-10.823$ | 288.660 | 1.163 | 0.058 | 2.842 |
| -8.823 | 287.001 | 1.164 | 0.035 | 1.738 |
| -6.823 | 285.105 | 1.165 | 0.012 | 0.567 |
| -4.823 | 282.971 | 1.165 | -0.013 | -0.645 |
| -2.823 | 280.601 | 1.164 | -0.038 | -1.868 |
| -0.823 | 277.994 | 1.163 | -0.062 | -3.060 |
| 1.177 | 275.149 | 1.160 | -0.085 | -4.168 |
| 3.177 | 272.068 | 1.157 | -0.104 | -5.116 |
| 5.177 | 268.749 | 1.153 | -0.117 | -5.797 |
| 7.177 | 265.194 | 1.149 | -0.122 | -6.054 |
| 9.177 | 261.401 | 1.145 | -c. 113 | -5.848 |
| 11.177 | 257.371 | 1.141 | -0.094 | -4.227 |
| 13.177 | 253.105 | 1.139 | -0.026 | -1.284 |
| 15.177 | 248.601 | 1.140 | 0.075 | 3.785 |
| 17.177 | 243.860 | 1.145 | 0.230 | 11.351 |
| 19.177 | 238.882 | 1.156 | 0.434 | 20.766 |
| 21.177 | 233.668 | 1.176 | 0.679 | 30.000 |

FIGURE 10
COMPUTER CUTPUT FOR
$Y=X^{2}$
WITH CAM USED IN BASE LINK


FIGURE 11

SCHEMATIC OF CAM-LINK NECHANISM
TO GENERATE $Y=X^{2}$ WITH CAM USED IN BASE IINK

| ***** INPUT OATA ***** |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| INPUT,P2,RANCE.................... 90.000 |  |  |
| parameter haige | REDUCTION. | . 0.100 |
| GRIO SILE REDUC | YION IHCREN | MENTS.. 0.300 |
| APPROXIMATE MAXIMUH |  |  |
| CAM Pressijre an | GLE, AL PHA. | 30.000 |
| * ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ ESIGN | paramerer | LIMITS** |
| LOWER LIMIT | parameters | UPPER LIMIT |
| 0.610 | R2 | 0.610 |
| 0.300 | R3 | 0.800 |
| 0.200 | 84 | 0.700 |
| -90.000 | P20 | 0.0 |
| 200.000 | P40 | 260.000 |


| OPTIMUM DESIGN PARAMETERS |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| R2 | 0.610 | R3 $=$ |
| R4 | 0.736 |  |
| RF $=$ | 0.292 | P20 |
| R | -60.940 |  |
|  | P40 | 247.100 |


| INPUT | *** ONE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { E OF MEO } \\ & \text { RADIUS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ISM } * * \\ \text { CAM } \end{gathered}$ | Pressure |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ANGLE | ANGLE | OF | Slope | ANGLE |
| P2(DEG) | P4(0EG) | CAH | OR2/DP2 | ALPHA (DEG) |
| -60.940 | 247.099 | 0.229 | 0.047 | 11.588 |
| -58.940 | 252.314 | 0.230 | 0.004 | 1.104 |
| -56.940 | 257.292 | 0.229 | -0.022 | -5.574 |
| -54.940 | 262.033 | 0.223 | -0.036 | -9.051 |
| -52.940 | 265.536 | 0.227 | -0.040 | -10.017 |
| -50.940 | 270.803 | 0.225 | -0.036 | -9.019 |
| -48.940 | 274.833 | 0.224 | -0.026 | -6.487 |
| -46.940 | 278.625 | 0.224 | -0.011 | -2.804 |
| -44.940 | 232.181 | 0.224 | 0.006 | 1.637 |
| -42.940 | 285.499 | 0.224 | 0.025 | 6.434 |
| -40.940 | 288.581 | 0.225 | 0.045 | 11.206 |
| -38.940 | 291.425 | 0.227 | 0.064 | 15.640 |
| -36.940 | 294.033 | 0.230 | c.081 | 19.521 |
| -34.940 | 296.403 | 0.233 | 0.098 | 22.737 |
| -32.940 | 298.536 | 0.237 | 0.112 | 25.251 |
| -30.940 | 300.433 | 0.241 | 0.123 | 27.076 |
| -28.940 | 302.092 | 0.245 | 0.132 | 28.249 |
| -26.940 | 303.514 | 0.250 | 0.137 | 28.816 |
| -24.940 | 304.699 | 0.255 | 0.140 | 28.819 |
| -22.940 | 305.647 | 0.260 | 0.140 | 28.296 |
| -20.940 | 306.359 | 0.264 | 0.136 | 27.278 |
| -18.940 | 306.833 | 0.269 | 0.130 | 25.787 |
| -16.940 | 307.070 | 0.273 | 0.121 | 23.843 |
| -14.940 | 307.070 | 0.277 | 0.109 | 21.461 |
| -12.940 | 306.833 | 0.281 | 0.095 | 18.660 |
| -10.940 | 306.359 | 0.284 | 0.079 | 15.464 |
| -8.940 | 305.647 | 0.287 | 0.060 | 11.906 |
| -6.940 | 304.699 | 0.288 | 0.041 | 8.032 |
| -4.940 | 303.514 | 0.289 | 0.020 | 3.907 |
| -2.940 | 302.092 | 0.290 | -0.002 | -0.388 |
| -0.940 | 300.433 | 0.289 | -0.024 | -4.755 |
| 1,060 | 298.536 | 0.288 | -c.046 | -9.084 |
| 3.080 | 296.403 | 0.286 | -C.057 | -13.258 |
| 5.060 | 294.033 | 0.283 | -0.087 | -17.161 |
| 7.060 | 291.425 | 0.280 | -0.106 | -20.674 |
| 9.050 | 283.581 | 0.276 | -0.121 | -23.680 |
| 11.060 | 285.499 | 0.272 | -0.133 | -26.050 |
| 13.060 | 282.181 | 0.267 | -0.140 | -27.630 |
| 25.060 | 275.625 | 0.262 | -0.140 | -28.216 |
| 17.060 | 274.833 | 0.257 | -0.134 | -27.511 |
| 19.060 | 270.803 | 0.253 | -0.118 | -25.064 |
| 21.060 | 266.536 | 0.249 | -0.092 | -20.212 |
| 23.060 | 262.033 | 0.246 | -0.053 | -12.097 |
| 25.080 | 257.292 | 0.245 | -0.000 | -0.105 |
| 27.080 | 252.314 | 0.246 | 0.066 | 14.924 |
| 29.060 | 247.099 | 0.250 | 0.144 | 30.000 |

FIGURE 12
COMPUTER OUTPUT FOR
$Y=X^{2}$
WITH CAM USED IN BASE LINK


FIGURE 13
SCHEMATIC OF CAM-LINK NECHANISM
TO GENERATE Y $=X^{2}$ WITH CAM ININK USED
IN INPUT LINK


| JNPUT, D2, | , | 90.100 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DARAMETFR | RANGF RTCLCTICN | 0.100 |
| GRID SILE | 2FGUCTJTH INCRENENIS.. | 0.800 |
| APPROXINA | E MAXIMUM |  |
| CAN PRFSS | RE ANCL | 15.000 |

* $⿻$ DESIG: PAPAMETER LIHITS**


| QPTIMLM DESIGN PARAMETERS |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| RI $=$ | $1 C . C C O$ | $R 3=$ |
| $R 4=$ | $1.0 C 0$ | $P 2 C=-46.722$ |
| $R F=$ | $-C .7 C 3$ | $P 4 C=211.082$ |


| 1 vput | OUTPUT | E CF RADIUS | CAM | PRESSURE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\triangle$ NGLE | ANGLE | CF | SLCPE | ANGLE |
| P2\{0EG) | P4(DEG) | CAM | CR2/CP2 | ALPYA(DEG) |
| -46.722 | 211.082 | 2.486 | -C.435 | -9.925 |
| -44.722 | 216.297 | 2.478 | -C.023 | -0.539 |
| -47.722 | 221.274 | 2.483 | 0.261 | 5.996 |
| -40.722 | 226.015 | 2.496 | C. 452 | 10.270 |
| -38.722 | 230.519 | 2.514 | C. 575 | 12.894 |
| -36.722 | 234.786 | 2.535 | 0.648 | 14.343 |
| -34.722 | 238.815 | 2.559 | C. 684 | 14.963 |
| -32.722 | 242.6C8 | 2.583 | C. 692 | 15.0 C 2 |
| $\rightarrow 3 \mathrm{C} .722$ | 246.163 | 2.607 | C. 681 | 14.638 |
| -28.722 | 249.492 | 2.630 | C. 656 | 14.005 |
| $-26.722$ | 252.563 | 2.652 | C. 622 | 13.2 CO |
| -24.722 | 255.408 | 2.573 | C. 583 | 12.296 |
| -22.722 | 258.C15 | 2.693 | C. 54 C | 11.348 |
| -20.722 | 260.385 | 2.711 | C. 497 | 10.396 |
| $-18.722$ | 262.519 | 2.728 | 0.455 | 9.468 |
| $-16.722$ | 264.415 | 2.743 | C. 414 | 8.585 |
| -14.722 | 266.674 | 2.757 | C. 375 | 7.757 |
| -12.722 | 267.497 | 2.769 | 0.340 | 6.951 |
| -1C.722 | 268.682 | 2.780 | C. 306 | 6.289 |
| -8.722 | 269.630 | 2.791 | C. 276 | 5.847 |
| -6.722 | 270.341 | 2.800 | C. 248 | 5.061 |
| -4.722 | 270.815 | 2.808 | 0.222 | 4.523 |
| -2.722 | 271.C52 | 2.815 | C. 198 | 4.021 |
| -0.722 | 271.052 | 2.822 | C. 175 | 3. 546 |
| 1.278 | 270.815 | 2.827 | 0. 152 | 3.083 |
| 3.278 | 270.341 | 2.932 | C. 129 | 2.618 |
| 5.278 | 269.630 | 2.836 | C. 106 | 2.136 |
| 7.279 | 268.682 | 2.840 | C.08C | 1.620 |
| 9.272 | 267.497 | 2.842 | C. 052 | 1.055 |
| 11.278 | 266.074 | 2.843 | C. 021 | 0.422 |
| 13.278 | 264.415 | 2.843 | -C.015 | -0.295 |
| 15.278 | 262.519 | 2.842 | -6.055 | -1.113 |
| 17.278 | 260.385 | 2.839 | -C. 102 | -2.048 |
| 19.278 | 253.015 | 2.835 | -C. 154 | -3.111 |
| 21.278 | 255.4C8 | 2.829 | -C. 213 | -4.309 |
| 23.278 | 252.563 | 2.820 | -C. 279 | -5.642 |
| 25.278 | 249.482 | 2.869 | -C.35C | -7.059 |
| 27.278 | 246.164 | 2.796 | -0.425 | -8.653 |
| 29.278 | 242.6.68 | 2.779 | -C.5C3 | -10.254 |
| 31.278 | 239.815 | 2.761 | -C.578 | -11.825 |
| 33.279 | 234.786 | 2.739 | -c. 645 | $-13.255$ |
| 35.278 | 236.519 | 2.716 | -C.696 | -14.385 |
| 37.278 | 226.015 | 2.691 | -c. 721 | -15.cco |
| 39.278 | 221.27 \% | 2.666 | -c. 705 | -14.812 |
| 41.278 | 216.297 | 2.642 | -C.631 | -13.433 |
| 43.278 | 211.082 | 2.623 | -C.478 | -10.334 |

FIGURE 14
COMPUTER OUTPUT FOR
$Y=X^{2}$
WITH CAM USED IN INPUT LINK

## EXAMPLE NO. 2

This example illustrates the application of this synthesis technique to generate the function,

$$
Y=X^{2 / 3}
$$

With $X$ varying from zero to one
This mechanism in the optimum four bar linkage has an error of $.162 \%$ ( 1 ). The cam link has reduced the error here to zero. This problem is worked twice using the cam in the output link. The first time the problem is worked using the dimension of the optimum four bar linkage. The mechanism formed is shown in Figure 15, and its computer output is shown in Figure 16. The cam has a maximum radius of $\mathbf{. 2 7 0}$. This same problem is tried again and the linkage is optimized to obtain the smallest maximum cam shape. The results are shown in Figure17, and its computer results are shown in Figure18. For the same maximum pressure angle on the cam the radius was reduced to . 041 .


## FIGURE 15

SCHEMATIC OF CAM-LINK MECHANISM
TO GENERATE $Y=X^{2 / 3}$ WITH CAM USED IN OUTPUT LINK

apHROXINATE MAXIMUM
CAM PRESSURE ANGLE,ALPHA. .................000
**DESIGN PARAMETER LIMITS**

**** 位ESULTS ***


| ** ONE CYCLE OF MECHANISM ** |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { INPUT } \\ & \text { AVGLE } \end{aligned}$ | DuTput A.vGLE | RADIUS | CAM | PRESSURE |
|  |  |  | SLIPE | angle |
| P2(DEG) | P4(DEO) | CAM | QR4/0P4 | ALPHA(OEG) |
| 31.594 | -5.028 | 0.234 | 0.056 | 13.336 |
| 33.694 | 1.756 | 0.237 | C.003 | 0.744 |
| 35.094 | 6.132 | 0.237 | 0.001 | 0.152 |
| 37.594 | 9.030 | 0.237 | 0.032 | 0.428 |
| 39.694 | 12.763 | 0.237 | 0.004 | 0.947 |
| 41.694 | 15.638 | 0.237 | 0.005 | 1.524 |
| 43.694 | 18.326 | 0.238 | 0.009 | 2.084 |
| 45.694 | 20.569 | 0.238 | 0.011 | 2.598 |
| 47.594 | 23. 291 | 0.239 | 0.013 | 3.050 |
| 49.694 | 25.615 | 0.239 | 0.014 | 3.435 |
| 51.694 | 27.855 | 0.240 | 0.016 | 3.752 |
| 53.594 | 30.020 | 0.245 | 0.017 | 4.004 |
| 55.694 | 32.122 | 0.241 | C.013 | 4.192 |
| 57.694 | 34.165 | 0.242 | 0.018 | 4.323 |
| 59.694 | 36.157 | 0.242 | 0.019 | 4.399 |
| 61.694 | 38.102 | 0.243 | 0.019 | 4.427 |
| 63.694 | 40.704 | 0.243 | 0.019 | 4.411 |
| 65.094 | 41.867 | 0.244 | 0.019 | 4.358 |
| 57.694 | 43.694 | 0.245 | 0.018 | 4.272 |
| 69.694 | 45.487 | 0.245 | C. 018 | 4.161 |
| 71.694 | 47.249 | 0.246 | 0.017 | 4.028 |
| 73.694 | $4 \overline{3} .782$ | 0.246 | 0.017 | 3.883 |
| 75.694 | 56.687 | 0.247 | 0.1516 | 3.732 |
| 77.694 | 52.367 | 0.247 | 0.015 | 3.582 |
| 79.694 | 54.0223 | 0.248 | -. 015 | 3.443 |
| 81.694 | 55.655 | 0.249 | 0.014 | 3.322 |
| - 83.694 | 57.267 | 0.248 | 0.014 | 3.229 |
| 85.694 | 59.957 | 0.249 | 0.014 | 3.175 |
| -87.694 | 80.429 | 0.249 | C. 014 | 3.175 |
| 89.694 | 61.981 | 0.250 | C.014 | 3.237 |
| 91.694 | 63.515 | 0.250 | 0.015 | 3.381 |
| 93.694 | 65.034 | 0.250 | 0.010 | 3.624 |
| 95.694 | 66.535 | 0.251 | c. 017 | 3.982 |
| 97.694 | 68.022 | 0.251 | 0.320 | 4.479 |
| . 99.6 .634 | 69.493 | 0.252 | 0.023 | 5.132 |
| 101.094 | 73.349 | 0.252 | 0.026 | 5.977 |
| 103.694 | 72.302 | 0.253 | 0.031 | 7.030 |
| 105.694 | 73.822 | 0.254 | C.037 | 8.330 |
| 107.094 | 75.234 | 0.255 | 0.045 | 9.905 |
| 109.694 | 76.043 | 0.250 | C.053 | 11.775 |
| 111.694 | 79.935 | -0.258 | 0.664 | 13.975 |
| 113.094 | 74.417 | 0.259 | 0.077 | 16.523 |
| 113.694 | 82. 781 | 0.201 | C.092 | 19.422 |
| 117.694 | 82. 146 | 0.204 | 0.110 | 22.658 |
| 113.604 | 83.474 | 0.267 | 0.131 | - 26.204 |
| 121.694 | 24.832 | 0.210 | 0.156 | 30.000 |

FIGURE 16
COMPUTER-OUTPUT FOR
$Y=X^{2 / 3}$
WITH CAM USED IN OUTPUT IINK


## FIGURE 17

SCHEMATIC OF CAM-IINK
MECHANISM TO GENERATE
$Y=X^{2 / 3}$
WITH CAM USED IN OUTPUT IINK


## EXAMPLE NO. 3

This example illustrates the application of this synthesis technique to generate the function, $\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{SIN} \mathrm{X}$
Where the range of X is zero to ninety degrees

This example is worked using a cam link in the input link of the four bar mechanism. The optimum four bar for this function has an error of $.21 \%$ ( 1 ).

The problem is worked the first time with the dimensions of the optimum four bar mechanism. The resultant mechanism is shown in Figure 19 and its computer output is shown in Figure 20. The problem was then worked again allowing the computer to optimize the mechanism. The resulting mechanism is shown in Figure 21 and its computer printout is shown in Figure 22. It can be noted that the maximum cam radius was reduced from .093 to . 071 .



***** INPUT DATA *****

| INPUT,P2,RANGF...................... -90.000 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parameter ranct | RFDUCTION | 0.100 |
| GKID Sile reduction incremenis.. 0.800 approxirate maximum |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| CAM PRESSURE AT | GLE,ALPHA. | ....... 30.000 |
| **OESIGN | Parameter | LIMITS** |
| LUNER LIMIt | PARAMETERS | UPPER LIMIT |
| 1.000 | R1 | 1.000 |
| 2.003 | R 3 | 2.400 |
| 0.400 | 84 | 0.900 |
| 200.000 | P20 | 280.000 |
| -100.000 | P40 | 0.0 |
|  | - RESULTS | **** |


| GPTIMUM DESIGN PARAMETERS |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| RI $=$ | 1.000 | R3 $=2.200$ |
| $R 4=$ | 0.764 | P20 |
| RF $=244.800$ |  |  |
| $R$ | 1.833 | $P 40=-77.334$ |

INPUT
ANGLE
P2(DEG)
2448000 244.800 240.800 238.800 236.800 234.800 232.799
230.799 228.799 226.799 224.799
222.799 220.799 218.799 216.799
214.799 212.799 210.799 208.799
206.799 204.799 202.799 200.799
198.799 196.799 194.799 192.799 170.799 189.799 186.798 184.798 182.798
180.798 178.798 176.798 174.798 172.798 170.798 168.798 166.798 164.798 152.798 160.798
153.798 156.798 154.798

| OUTPUT. | RADIUS | CAM | PRESSURE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ANGLE | OF | SLOPE | ANGLE |
| P4(DEG) | CAM | DR2/DP2 | ALPHA(DEG) |
| -77.334 | 0.062 | 0.021 | 18.547 |
| $-80.475$ | 0.062 | 0.005 | 4.480 |
| -93.612 | 0.062 | -0.008 | -7.333 |
| -86.741 | 0.062 | -0.018 | -15.901 |
| -89.860 | 0.063 | -0.025 | -21.454 |
| -92.962 | 0.064 | -0.029 | -24.608 |
| -96.946 | 0.065 | -0.032 | -25.922 |
| -99.107 | 0.066 | -C.032 | -25.804 |
| -102.142 | 0.067 | -0.031 | -24.539 |
| -105.146 | 0.068 | -0.028 | -22.329 |
| -108.116 | 0.069 | -L.024 | -19.340 |
| -111.049 | 0.670 | -C.020 | -25.719 |
| -113.941 | 0.071 | -0.015 | -11.624 |
| -116.788 | 0.071 | -0.009 | -7.225 |
| $-119.587$ | 0.071 | -0.003 | -2.701 |
| -122.335 | 0.071 | 0.002 | 1.765 |
| -125.027 | 0.071 | 0.007 | 6.010 |
| -127.662 | 0.071 | C.012 | 9.896 |
| -130.235 | 0.070 | 0.017 | 13.321 |
| -132.744 | 0.070 | 0.020 | 16.212 |
| -135.186 | 0.069 | 0.023 | 18.521 |
| -137.557 | 0.068 | 0.025 | 20.221 |
| -139.854 | 0.067 | Ū. 026 | 21.287 |
| $-142.075$ | 0.066 | 0.026 | 21.702 |
| -144.218 | 0.065 | C. 026 | 21.447 |
| -146.279 | 0.065 | 0.024 | 20.502 |
| $-148.256$ | 0.064 | 0.022 | 18.851 |
| -150.146 | 0.063 | 0.019 | 16.492 |
| -151.448 | 0.062 | 0.015 | 13.454 |
| -153.659 | 0.062 | 0.011 | 9.806 |
| -155.277 | 0.062 | 0.006 | 5.680 |
| -156.800 | 0.062 | 0.001 | 1.277 |
| -153.226 | 0.062 | -6.003 | -3.156 |
| -159.554 | 0.062 | -0.008 | -7.346 |
| -160.781 | 0.002 | -C.012 | -11.037 |
| -161.907 | 0.063 | -6.016 | -14.017 |
| -162.93) | 0.063 | -0.018 | -16.113 |
| -163.848 | 0.064 | -0.020 | -17.191 |
| -104.061 | 0.065 | -0.020 | -17.116 |
| -165.368 | 0.065 | -C.018 | -15.734 |
| -165.967 | 0.066 | -0.015 | -12.841 |
| -166.453 | 0.066 | -6.010 | -8.181 |
| $-166.341$ | 0.065 | -0.002 | -1.486 |
| -167.115 | 0.066 | 0.009 | 7.380 |
| -167.279 | 0.066 | C. 022 | 18.163 |
| -167.334 | 0.035 | 0.037 | 30.000 |

FIGURE 22
COMPUTER OUTPUT FOR
$Y=$ SIN $X$
WITH CAM USED IN INPUT IINK

## EXAMPIE NO. 4

This example illustrates the application of this synthesis technique to generate the function,

$$
\begin{gathered}
Y=\text { IOG } X \\
\text { Where } X \text { varies from } 1 \text { to } 2
\end{gathered}
$$

This example uses the dimension of the optimum four bar linkage without a cam. The mechanism is shown in Figure 23 and its computer output is show in Figure 24.


1NPUT,P2, RANGE............................. 60.000
PARAMEIEH_HA:JLE BEDUCTION........._0. 100
GRIU SIEF TEDUCTIOA INEKEMENIS.. 0.800 APPROXIMATL MAXIMUM
CAM PRESSURE ANGLF, ALPHA........... $30-000^{\circ}$



OPTIMUM DESIGN PARAMETERS
$R 2=-3.352 \quad$ R $3=-0.846$
$R 4=-3.456 \quad$ P $20=-32.628$
$\begin{array}{llr}R 4= & 3.446 & P 20=-32.628 \\ R F= & 0.026 & P 4=-19.077\end{array}$


FIGURE 24
CORPUTER OUTPUT FOR
$Y=$ LOG $X$
WITH CAM USED IN BASE LINK

## EXAMPLE NO. 5

Path generator to generate upper right quarter of a square

This mechanism is shown in Figure 25 and its computer output is shown in Figure26. The mechanism was obtained early during the development of this study by optimizing a general set of data. It has a close resemblance to the schematic for the development of the equation and for this reason it makes a very good example problem. This example was optimized to obtain the minimum cam pressure angle and also to obtain the minimum drift angle.


FIGURE 25
SCHEMATIC OF CAM-LINK VECHANISM FOR PATH GENERATION

**CESIGN parameter limits**

| LUWER LIMII | PARANETER | LFPER LIMIT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2 . C 59$ | RI | $2.65 G$ |
| 4.037 | P1 | 4.037 |
| 2.381 | $R 3$ | 2.381 |
| 2.763 | $R 4$ | 2.763 |
| 3.446 | $R 5$ | 3.446 |
| 2.942 | RG | 2.942 |
| 2.169 | PT12X | 2.169 |
| -2.885 | PTI2Y | -2.885 |

**** RESULTS ****
CPTIMLM EESIGN Parareters
RI= $2 . C 59 \quad$ Pl= 4.C37
$R 3=2.381$ R4= 2.7t3 R5 $=3.446 \quad$ RG $=2.942$
T12X $=2.169 \quad$ PT12Y $=-2.885$

| INPLI | ORIFT | \% ${ }_{\text {* }}$ CHANE ${ }^{\text {che }}$ | E CF M RACIUS | ANISM ** | location |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EETA | ANGLE | DRIVE | cf car | ANGLE | CCUPLER | PGINT |
| (CEG) | ca(ceg) | P2(CEG) | RC | ALPHA(CEG) | ${ }^{x}$ | $\stackrel{r}{ }$ |
| C.C | 0.0 | 41.897 | 0.586 | -32.087 | 1.000 | C. 0 |
| 8. 182 | -3.9C8 | 46.171 | C. 564 | -23.136 | 1.000 | 0.144 |
| 15.364 | -7.268 | 50.993 | C. 549 | -11.487 | 1.CCC | 0.294 |
| 24.545 | -9.947 | 56.595 | 0.545 | 3.098 | 1.000 | C. 457 |
| 32.727 | -11.334 | 63.296 | C. 558 | $19.43 C$ | 1.000 | 0.643 |
| 40.909 | -11.313 | 71.493 | C. 602 | 34.268 | 1.CCC | 0.867 |
| 49.691 | -9.250 | 81.733 | 0.618 | -18.215 | 0.867 | $1.06 C$ |
| 57.273 | -7.565 | 91.6 C 5 | 0.599 | -2.654 | 0.643 | 1.000 |
| 65.455 | -6.983 | 100.369 | c.6ce | 13.750 | 0.457 | 1.cco |
| 72.636 | -7.264 | 108.269 | 0.640 | 26.290 | 0.294 | 1.ccc |
| El.ele | -9.179 | 115.536 | 0.691 | 35.531 | 0.144 | 1.000 |
| 9 Cocco | -9.513 | 122.384 | C. 762 | 42.353 | 0.000 | 1.000 |

FIGURE 26
COMPUTER OUTPUT FOR
A CAM-LINK PATH GENERATOR
TO GENERATE UPPER RIGHT QUARTER OF A SQUARE OPTIMIZING THE DRIFT ANGLE AND PRESSURE ANGIE

## EXAMPLE NO. 6

Path generator to generate the right top quarter of a square

The optimization of this mechanism is shown in the computer output of Figure 29. The cam follower was short and the cam had very low pressure angles so the dimensions for the basic mechanism were put into the computer to generate a new mechanism with a longer cam follower. The mechanism is shown in Figure27 and its computer output is shown in Figure 28.

This mechanism was optimized for the cam pressure angle along with the drift angle. Notice that when optimized with a cam follower of length one, it is drawn with a cam follower of four, how the length of the input link grew to a tremendously long link. This will be discussed more later.


## FIGURE 27

SCHEMATIC OF CAM-LINK MECHANISM FOR
PATH GENERATION
*** ${ }^{\text {* }}$ ( PATH GENERATOR

-1Hpúr, BETA RAM: © ........................ 90.000 PARAMETSM_RARFF REDUCTION......... 0.100 GRID SIZE RFDUCTIGN INCREMENTS... 0.800 CAM FDLLDHER LEHKTH,RF................ . 4.000
**DESIGN PARAMETER LIMITS**


## **** RESULTS ****

OPTIMUM DESIGN PARAMETERS

| $R 1=$ | $C .746$ | $P 1=308.065$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $R 3=$ | 4.147 | $R 4=$ |
| $R 5 \equiv$ | 1.697 | $R 6=$ |
|  | 5.000 |  |



## FIGURE -28

COMPUTER OUTPUT FOR A GAM-IINK PATH GENERATOR
GENERATES THE RIGHT TOP QUARTER OF A -SQUARE. THIS MECHANISM WAS PRODUCED BY TAKING THE DATA FROM FIGURE29 AND INCREASING THE LINGTH OF THE CAM FOLLOWER RF.


FIGURE 29
COMPUTER OUTPUT FOR
A CAM-LINK PATH GENERATOR.
GENERATES THE RIGHT TOP QUARTER OF A SQUARE.
THIS NECHANISM WAS PRODUCED BY THE OPTIMIZATION OF DRIFT ANGIE AND THE CAM PRESSURE ANGLE WHILE THE INPUT LINK WAS CONSTRAINED TO HAVE ITS BASE AT $(0,0)$.

## EXAMPLE NO. 7

Path generator to generate the upper right hand quarter of a square

This path generator is much the same as the last path generators with a minor change in optimization technique. This example does not optimize cam pressure angle, it optimizes the cam slope. If a mechanism is taken and only the cam and cam follower are changed, the pressure angle would change, but the cam slope would stay the same.

The path generator schematic is shown in Figure 30, and its computer output is shown in Figure 31.


FIGURE 30
SCHEMATIC OF CAM-LINK MECHANISM FOR
PATH GENERATION


INPUT.GETA RANGE............................ 90.000 PARAMETER RANCE KEDUCTION......... 0.100 GRID SILE REUUCTIGN INCREMENTS... 0.800 CAM FOLLUHER LENGTH,RF............... 0.0
**DESIGN PARAMETER LIMITS\#\#

| LUWER LIMIT | PARAMETER | UPPER LIMIT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.001 | $R L$ | 5.000 |
| 0.001 | P1 | 5.000 |
| 0.001 | $R 3$ | 5.000 |
| 0.001 | $R 4$ | 5.000 |
| 0.001 | $R 5$ | 5.000 |
| 0.001 | $R 6$ | 5.000 |
| -10.000 | PT12X | 10.000 |
| -10.000 | PTI2Y | 10.000 |


OPTIMUM DESIGN PARAMETERS

| $\mathrm{R} 1=$ | 2.098 | $\mathrm{Pl}=$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{R}=$ | 3.531 |  |

$R 3=2.098 \quad R 4=3.082$
R5= $3.541 \quad$ R6 $=2.903$
PT12X= 2.326 PT12Y= -1.610

| INPUT | DRIFT | MECHANISM | RADIUS. | ORESSURE | LOCATIOM | OF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BETA | ANGLE | ORIVE | OF CAM | ANGLE | COUPLER | POINT |
| (DEG) | DA(DEG) | P2(DEG) | RC | ALPHAIDEGI | X | Y |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | -8.326 | 0.997 | -38.846 | 1.000 | 0.0 |
| 8.182 | -3.549 | -3.644 | 0.942 | -31.638 | 1.000 | 0.144 |
| 16.364 | -5.957 | 2.080 | 0.894 | $-23.221$ | 1.000 | 0.294 |
| 24.545 | -6.795 | 9.424 | 0.857 | -13.067 | 1.000 | 0.457 |
| 32.727 | -5.564 | 18.837 | 0.840 | -0.548 | 1.000 | 0.643 |
| 40.909 | -1.936 | 30.646 | 0.862 | 14.843 | 1.000 | 0.867 |
| 49.091 | 2.724 | 43.489 | 0.901 | 4.475 | 0.867 | 1.000 |
| 57.273 | 5.038 | 53.984 | 0.929 | 14.213 | 0.643 | 1.000 |
| 65.455 | 5.369 | 62.498 | 0.976 | 22.290 | 0.457 | 1.000 |
| 73.636 | 4. 204 | 69.514 | 1.035 | 29.060 | 0.294 | 1.000 |
| 81.818 | 1.958 | 75.450 | 1.104 | 34.877 | 0.144 | 1.000 |
| 90.000 | -1.050 | 80.623 | 1.183 | 40.034 | 0.000 | 1. 000 |

## FIGURE 31

COMPUTER OUTPUT FOR
A CAM-IINK PATH GENERATOR.
GENERATES THE RIGHT TOP QUARTER OF A SQUARE. THIS MECHANISM WAS PRODUCED BY OPTIMIZATION OF THE DRIFT ANGIE AND THE CAM SLOPE.

## EXAMPLE NO. 8

Path generator to generate a cardioid

The path generator is shown in Figure 32, and its computer output is shown in Fig.33. The example shows that the cam link path generator has the ability to generate some curves that could not be approached using a standard four bar path generator.

Although this mechanism does show some rather high cam pressure angles these could be eliminated by making the cam larger and with more work the optimization could be improved considerably.


FIGURE 32
SCHEMATIC OF CAM-LINK MECHANISM FOR PATH GENERATION OF A CARDIOID

COMPUTER OUTPUT OF A CAM-LINK PATH GENERATOR TO GENERATE A CARDIOD. THIS NECHANISM WAS GENERATED BY OPTIMIZING THE DRIFT ANGLE AND THE CAM PRESSURE ANGLE. NOTE: A DRIFT ANGLE OF 361 DEGREES IS THE SANE AS 1 DEGREE.

| ***** PATt GENERATCR ***** **** INPUT CATA **** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| INPUT, EFTA RANCE........................ 360.000 <br> PARAMETER RAAGE FECLCTICA.......... 0.100 <br> CRIC SILE RELUCTICN INCREMENTS... C. 8 CO <br> CAN FCLLCIER LEACTV,RF............. C. 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *FCESIGN PARAMETER LIMITS** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | LCWER LIMIT | PARAVETER | UPPER LIMIT |  |  |
|  |  | 0.5 Cc | R1 | 5.coc |  |  |
|  |  | O.C | P1 | 36C.CCC |  |  |
|  |  | 0.500 | P. 3 | 5.COC |  |  |
|  |  | 0.500 | 84 | 5.000 |  |  |
|  |  | 6.5CC | R 5 | 5.00 C |  |  |
|  |  | 0.500 | R6 | 5.CCC |  |  |
|  |  | -4.000 | P! 12 X | $4 . C C C$ |  |  |
|  |  | -4.000 | PT12Y | $4.0 C C$ |  |  |
| **** RESULTS **** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CPTIMUN [ESIGN Parameters |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | RL= 1. | . 872 Pl | 73.532 |  |  |
|  |  | R3 $=2$. | .566 R4 | 2.212 |  |  |
|  |  | RS $=4.0$ | .091 R6 | 2.832 |  |  |
|  |  | PT12X $=-0.4$ | 433 PT12Y | 2.813 |  |  |
| ** CNE CYCLE CF MECHANISP ** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| INPしT | CRIFT | MECHANISM | racius | PRESSLRE | LOCATION |  |
| BETA | angle | CRIVE | CF CAM | ANGLE | COUPLER | POINT |
| (EEG) | caldeg) | P2(EEG) | RC | ALPHA(CEG) | $\times$ | Y |
| C.C | 0.0 | -109.586 | 1.172 | -61.E17 | O.CCS | -1.CCC |
| 8.c60 | -2.817 | $-104.4 \mathrm{C} 3$ | 0.978 | -64.862 | 0.120 | -0.852 |
| 16.0c0 | -5.354 | -98.941 | C. 789 | -66.986 | 0.200 | -0.696 |
| 24.ccc | -7.373 | -92.959 | 0.613 | - 67.880 | 0.241 | -6.542 |
| 32.060 | -8.432 | -86.C19 | 0.456 | -67.229 | 0.249 | -0.399 |
| 40.060 | -7.773 | -77.359 | C. 325 | -64.479 | 0.230 | -0.274 |
| $48 . \mathrm{CCO}$ | -4.227 | -65.813 | 0.223 | -58.829 | 0.191 | -0.172 |
| 56.ccc | 3.335 | -5C.251 | C. 152 | -49.803 | 0.142 | -0.096 |
| $64 . \mathrm{CcO}$ | 13.888 | - 31.698 | C.11c | $-39.613$ | 0.091 | -0.044 |
| 72.ccc | 22.321 | -15.265 | C.ces | - 35.593 | C. 047 | -C.015 |
| 80.cco | 23.326 | -5.761 | C.C78 | -46.384 | 0.015 | -0.cc3 |
| 88.cco | 18.462 | -3.124 | C.C73 | -73.566 | 0.001 | -0.000 |
| 96.060 | 10.736 | -2.850 | 0.075 | 76.192 | 0.605 | C.COI |
| 164.650 | 6.46C | 0.974 | 0.096 | 59.315 | 0.029 | $0 . C 07$ |
| 112.cco | 7.tce | 1C.C2C | C.11C | 55.169 | 0.068 | 0.027 |
| 120.cco | 11.633 | 22.647 | c. 15 C | 57.656 | 0.116 | 0.067 |
| 128.cco | 15.297 | 33.711 | 0.212 | 61.629 | 0.167 | 0.131 |
| 13 E ¢C0 | 17.177 | 43.591 | 0.299 | 64.775 | 0.212 | 0.220 |
| 144.cco | 17.259 | 51.673 | C.409 | 66.t82 | 0.242 | 0.333 |
| 152.cco | 15.922 | 58.336 | 0.540 | 67.591 | 0.249 | 0.468 |
| 16C.ccc | 13.457 | 63.91C | 0.685 | 67.839 | 0.225 | 0.618 |
| 168.0 CO | 10.182 | 68.595 | C. 836 | 67.695 | 0.165 | 0.775 |
| 176.060 | 6.074 | 72.438 | 0.986 | 67.263 | C.C65 | 0.928 |
| 184.CCS | 1.210 | 75.624 | 1.120 | 66.194 | -0.c75 | 1.067 |
| 192.cco | -4.356 | 78.658 | 1.226 | 62.370 | -0.251 | 1.162 |
| 200.cco | -10.462 | 79.752 | 1.289 | 42.549 | -0.459 | 1.261 |
| 2ca.cco | -16.725 | 81.689 | 1.295 | -30.293 | -0.690 | 1.297 |
| 216.000 | -22.446 | 83.967 | 1.239 | -54.c98 | -0.933 | 1.285 |
| 224.650 | -26.551 | 87.882 | 1.128 | -52.503 | -1.177 | 1.219 |
| 232.660 | -27.508 | 94.906 | 0.979 | -44.626 | -1.409 | 1.101 |
| 24C.CCC | -23.259 | 107.154 | C. 829 | -31.c58 | -1.616 | 0.933 |
| $248.6 C 0$ | -12.145 | 126.269 | C. 727 | -11.683 | -1.787 | 0.722 |
| 256.ccc | 3.400 | 149.313 | 0.725 | 10.738 | -1.912 | C.411 |
| 264.CCC | 16.453 | 170.886 | 0.831 | 29.262 | -1.984 | 0.208 |
| 272.cco | -336.246 | - -173.832 | 1.C04 | $4 \mathrm{C.6} 74$ | -1.998 | -0.070 |
| 2ec.cco | -333.484 | -163.c70 | 1.202 | 46.163 | -1.955 | -0.345 |
| 2¢8.CCC | -233.496 | -155.c83 | 1.396 | 47.245 | -1.856 | -0.603 |
| 296.000 | -335.127 | -148.716 | 1.567 | 44.340 | -1.707 | -0.832 |
| 304.600 | -337.099 | -143.236 | 1.702 | 36.405 | -1.516 | -1.023 |
| $312 . \mathrm{CCC}$ | -340.792 | -138.379 | 1.787 | 20.834 | -1.295 | -1.166 |
| $32 \mathrm{c} . \mathrm{cco}$ | -344.118 | -133.765 | 1.912 | -2.866 | -1.056 | -1.258 |
| $32 \mathrm{B.CCO}$ | -347.478 | -129.C64 | 1.773 | -25.552 | -0.811 | -1.297 |
| 336.000 | $-356.7 E 3$ | -124.349 | 1.675 | -41.363 | -0.572 | -1.285 |
| $344 . \mathrm{CCO}$ | -353.944 | -119.531 | 1.533 | -50.241 | -0.352 | -1.226 |
| $352 . \operatorname{cco}$ | -337.c26 | -114.612 | 1.360 | -57.126 | -0.159 | -1.128 |
| 760.600 | -360.000 | -109.586 | 1.172 | -61.617 | -0.000 | $-1.000$ |

These examples clearly indicate that the cam-link mechanism can be successfully used to produce an error free function or path generator. Also shown was that constraints may easily be placed upon the mechanisms as might exist in actual practice and even with these constraints the results can be very good.

## Chapter 5

## CONCLUSION

This work is culminated with an analytical technique that provides error-free, optimized designs for path generation and function generation. The solution technique is briefly discussed for each solution in the following paragraphs.

Function Generator:
It has been shown with selected examples that a perfectly accurate function can be generated analytically utilizing a cam-link mechanism. But with this technique common sense must still be used because the computer did not check everything. Specifically in Figure 17 the transmission angle of the four bar cam link mechanism is too small to transmit any effective force.

The three different types of cam-link function generators developed in this thesis have the problem that the coupler link can never become perpendicular to the camlink of the mechanism being used. When the cam-link is used for the input link and the input link is perpendicular to the coupler, it would take a large displacement in the length of the input cam-link to affect the angular position of the output. This requires a great change in the length of the cam-link in the input iink
with little rotation on the cam which requires a large pressure angle. A large pressure angle gives a low merit value and the computer optimization then selects another optimum. Once this problem is realized, the proper type cam-link mechanism may be chosen. If the problem is of an unknown type, all the solutions may be tried and the best of the three selected.

## Path Generator:

The path generator examples show that there are several acceptable solutions to a particular problem and that constraints may be easily placed upon the mechanism that would disable a normal precision point four bar generator. All of the examples here used the drift angle as a criteria of how good the linkage is along with another factor. The other factor is in most cases the cam pressure angle, but in one case it is the cam slope. This is to show that many parameters may be used to determine the merit value of a linkage and that once the factors determining merit value are determined, reasonable success may be expected by this method.

Interesting sidelights that should be mentioned when using this design scheme are that the design must be closely observed. It is essential that the computer solution be checked by some graphical visualization. Either the operator must use some plotting technique or output on a CRT so decisions
on acceptable transmission angles and other important criterion can be judged. Also, it should be noted that even though analytically we have error-free function generation, this accuracy is now dependent on manufacturing accuracy.

## SUGGESTIONS FOR FURTHER STUDY

The field of kinematics is broad and although this thesis study is narrow, it quickly opens up broad areas for further study. Some of the more pertinent areas are: 1. Inclusion of such items as limitation of transmission angle on the present study;
2. Determination of new and different types of merit function to see if better mechanisms may be produced; 3. A different optimization technique might be developed that would produce results much quicker; 4. Dynamic evaluation of the cam-link mechanism.

## APPENDIX

Scaling of Function $Y=X^{2}$

For better fit the function will really be scaled as $-Y=+X^{2}$. Input Variable $X$, Range: -1 to +1 .

Represented by $\theta_{2}$ whose range is 90 degrees
Ratio $=\frac{\theta_{2 \text { final }}-\theta_{2 n}}{X_{\text {final }}-X_{\text {initial }}}=\frac{90}{2}=45$ degrees
$45=\frac{\theta_{2}-\theta_{2}}{X-X_{\text {initial }}}$
$\theta_{2}=45\left(X-X_{i n i t i a l}\right)+\theta_{2}$
Now $X_{\text {initial }}=-1$
$\theta_{2}=45(\mathrm{X} \pm 1)+\theta_{2}$
and
$x=\frac{\theta_{2}-\theta_{2}}{45}-1$
$Y$ is output, represented by $\theta_{4}$
Range of $Y$ is 1 to 0 to 1 or 1
Range of $\theta_{4}$ is 60 degrees.
Ratio $=\frac{\theta_{4 \mathrm{MAX}}-\theta_{4 \mathrm{MIN}}}{Y_{\mathrm{MAX}}-Y_{\mathrm{MIN}}}=\frac{60}{1}=60$ degrees
$60=\frac{\theta_{4}-\theta_{40}}{Y-Y_{0}}$
$\theta_{4}=60\left(Y-Y_{0}\right)+\theta_{4_{0}}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Now } & Y=-X^{2} \\
& Y_{0}=+1 \\
\theta_{4}= & -60\left[\frac{\left.\left(\theta_{2}-\theta_{20}-1\right)^{2}-1\right]+\theta_{4}}{+45}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking the derivative of $\theta_{4}$

$$
\frac{d \theta_{4}}{d \theta_{2}}=(24 / 405)(180 / 3.1415)\left(\theta_{2}-\theta_{2_{0}}\right)+8 / 3
$$
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