INTEGRAL EQUATION TREATMENT OF BOUND STATE ATOMIC SYSTEMS AND COLLINEAR He - H_2^+ PROTON TRANSFER REACTIVE COLLISIONS

A Dissertation

Submitted to the Department of Biophysical Sciences

and the Graduate Division of

The University of Houston

In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements

for the

Doctor of Philosophy Degree

by

W. Grant Cooper

December, 1971

616617

Dedicated to Hildegart

.

.

.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am sincerely grateful to Dr. Donald J. Kouri, my advisor, for his enthusiastic interest in my research and for the attention he has given to both the important and trivial matters while directing my graduate education.

My life has also been enriched by the acquaintance and friendship of W. Neal Sams from whom I have received much assistance and enlightement during our various discussions, and I thus wish to thank him.

In addition, I express my gratitute to Dr. Susie Evers for the skillful help in programming the scattering calculations.

Through the courtesy of Dr. John Oro, the Department of Biophysical Sciences provided me with office space for which I am thankful.

Of course, I gratefully acknowledge the predoctoral financial support of the Robert A. Welch Foundation grant E-395 (1970-71) and the National Institute of Health training grant GM-942 (1966-70).

Finally, but not least of all, I wish to thank my wife, Hildegart, and her friends, Mrs. Elodia Rojas, Mr. Rino Salvo, and Miss Diana Jewasko, for their help in typing, xeroxing, and binding this thesis.

iv

FORWARD

Sophistication in more than one of the traditional disciplines of physics, biology, chemistry, and mathematics is required if research is to be conducted which utilizes the tools of physics, mathematics, and chemistry to explore those biological problems which are susceptible to such analysis. Investigations of this nature first require a relevant biological system which therefore demands biological insight and secondly, capable analytical "tools", i.e., skill in mathematics, chemistry, and physics. At the expense of not focusing on current researches in molecular bioloby, development of this latter aspect has been emphasized in the present graduate training. To this end, the dissertation topic was selected from an active area of theoretical chemistry and physics which involves the theory and computation of the properties of atomic systems and reactive scattering probabilities. The particular research discussed in this thesis involves the development and use of Green functions in order to employ recently developed techniques^{1,2} for noninteratively solving integral equations for atomic systems. In addition, three particle reactive collisions are investigated, using newly developed techniques³ for analyzing such processes.

v

INTEGRAL EQUATION TREATMENT OF BOUND STATE ATOMIC SYSTEMS AND COLLINEAR He - H_2^+ PROTON TRANSFER REACTIVE COLLISIONS

An Abstract of

A Dissertation

Submitted to the Department of Biophysical Sciences

and the Graduate Division of

The University of Houston

In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements

for the

Doctor of Philosophy Degree

by

W. Grant Cooper

December, 1971

ABSTRACT

٠.

A unique prescription is given for obtaining the Green function for N free particles which can have different masses. The approach is systematic and straightforward. A coordinate transformation of the Fourier integral representation of the N-particle noninteracting Green function facilitates the integration over 3N-1 angular variables of wave number space, using the orthogonal properties of Jacobi polynomials. A single radial integral can then be evaluated. The resulting Green function representation may be of use in applying the integral form of Schrödinger's equation to calculate the ground and excited states of atoms.

The Sams-Kouri method for noninteratively solving integral equations is used to solve the integral form of Schrödinger's equation for He such that the Hartree-Fock approximation is avoided and the inclusion of nuclear motion is straightforward. An exact formalism is presented which requires the solution to coupled integral equations, and the method for generalizing to many electron atoms is indicated. Calculations are reported for a single integral equation approximation to He which illustrates the essential features of the method. The energy value obtained is approximately 85% of the total binding energy. Calculations for the H atom are given so comparisons with an exactly soluble atomic problem can be made, and the energy values for the ground and first three excited states are in excellent agreement with

vii.

the exact values. Excited state calculations are found to be no more difficult than those for the ground state.

Reactive collision probabilities are calculated in the collinear approximation for the proton transfer scattering system of

 $He + H_2^+ \longrightarrow HeH^+ + H$

where the cross derivative term in the kinetic energy operator is neglected. The total energy range of 0-3 e.v. includes the first four vibrational states of H_2^+ and the first three energy states of HeH⁺. The coupled channel γ operator equations of Baer and Kouri are employed to execute the calculations and the flux conservation is consistently within the range of .92 - .99. The graphs of total reaction probability versus relative translational energy compare favorably with the experimental cross-section versus energy curves of Chaupka, Berkowitz, and Russell. Fermi's Golden Rule is one of two descriptive models used to interpret and discuss the data.

viii

TABLE OF CONTENTS

.

| Forward. | • • • • | | v |
|----------|---------|--|-----|
| Chapter | I. M | N PARTICLE NONINTERACTING GREEN FUNCTION | 1 |
| Chapter | II. | INTEGRAL EQUATION APPROACH TO BOUND STATE ATOMIC SYSTEMS. | |
| | | PRELIMINARY STUDIES OF HELIUM AND HYDROGEN | 16 |
| | | A. Introduction | 16 |
| | | B. Systematic determination of the noninteracting Green | |
| | | function for two distinguishable particles | 21 |
| | | C. Models for the Helium atom | 31 |
| | | D. Coupled integral equations for Helium | 36 |
| | | E. Single integral equation calculations | 43 |
| | | 1. Hydrogen atoms: calculations of the ground and | |
| | | first three excited s-states | 43 |
| | | 2. Helium models: ground state approximations | 46 |
| | | F. Discussion | 52 |
| Chapter | III | . COLLINEAR MODEL REACTION PROBABILITY CALCULATIONS FOR | |
| | | He-H ₂ ⁺ PROTON TRANSFER REACTIVE COLLISIONS | 54 |
| | | A. Introduction | 54 |
| | | B. Description of the collision system | 56 |
| | | C. Eigenfunctions and potential energy surfaces | 59 |
| | | D. Channel 2 operator equations | 65 |
| | | E. Results and discussion | 81 |
| | | <pre>l. Descriptive models</pre> | 81 |
| | | 2. Data and analysis | 119 |

| F | | Appendix A. | Transition | probabilities | for the | channel 2 | |
|---------------|----------|-------------------------|-------------|----------------|-----------|-------------|-----|
| | | operator eq | uations | ••••• | | - | 128 |
| G | . | Appendix B. | Channel උ | operator forma | alism for | r three bod | y |
| | | collisions v | with smooth | interaction po | otentials | 51 | 132 |
| Bibliography. | • • • | • • • • • • • • • • • • | •••••••• | •••••••• | | | 146 |
| Tables | ••• | • • • • • • • • • • • • | | ••••••• | | | 150 |
| Figures | • • • | •••• | | | | 1 | 154 |
| Research Prop | oosi | al | | | | | 174 |

•

.

LIST OF TABLES

| Table 1. | H atom: calculated and experimental energy values for | |
|----------|---|-----|
| | ground and first three excited s-states | 150 |
| Table 2. | Calculated energy values for H as a function of the | |
| | upper limit of integration | 151 |
| Table 3. | Comparison of ground state He atom calculations with | |
| | experimental observations | 152 |
| Table 4 | Calculated energy values of He using numerical and | |
| | analytic integration techniques | 153 |

LISTS OF FIGURES

| Figure 1. | Symmetric (a) and asymmetric (b) center of mass |
|------------|---|
| | coordinate for the Helium atom154 |
| Figure 2. | Collinear three particle collision system |
| Figure 3. | Relative coordinates for skewed potential surface156 |
| Figure 4. | Full potential energy surface for $He-H_2^+$ collision ¹⁵⁷ |
| Figure 5. | Unperturbed potentials: (a) $V_{i}(xy)$ for configuration |
| | and (b) V_f (xy) for configuration ¹⁵⁸ |
| Figure 6. | Perturbations: (a) $V_{\chi}(xy)$ and (b) $V_{\beta}(xy)$ 159 |
| Figure 7. | Reaction probabilities versus relative translational |
| | energy for initial oscillator (H ₂ ⁺) in third |
| | vibrational state160 |
| Figure 8. | Reaction probabilities versus relative translational |
| | energy for initial oscillator (H2 ⁺) in fourth |
| | vibrational state 161 |
| Figure 9. | Reaction probabilities versus relative translational |
| | energy for initial oscillator (H2 ⁺) in second |
| | vibrational state162 |
| Figure 10. | Reaction probabilities versus relative translational |
| | energy for initial oscillator $({\rm H_2}^+)$ in ground |
| | vibrational state |

-

| Figure 11. | Total probabilities versus relative translational |
|------------|--|
| | energy for the first four vibrational states of |
| | the initial H_2^+ oscillator |
| Figure 12. | Total probabilities versus total energy of system |
| | for the first four vibrational states of the initial |
| | H ₂ ⁺ oscillator165 |
| Figure 13. | Experimental cross-section versus relative translational |
| | energy for ground state H2 ⁺ |
| Figure 14. | Experimental cross-section versus relative translational |
| | energy for the second vibrational state of H_2^+]67 |
| Figure 15. | Experimental cross-section versus relative translational |
| | energy for the third vibrational state of H2 ⁺ |
| Figure 16. | Experimental cross-section versus relative translational |
| | energy for the fourth vibrational state of H_2^+ |
| Figure 17. | Nonreactive scattering probabilities versus relative |
| | translational energy for ground state initial |
| | oscillator (H2 ⁺) |
| Figure 18. | Nonreactive scattering probabilities versus relative |
| | translational energy for initial H2 ⁺ oscillator in |
| | the second vibrational state |
| Figure 19. | Nonreactive scattering probabilities versus relative |
| | translational energy for the third vibrational state]72 |
| Figure 20. | Nonreactive scattering probabilities versus relative |
| | translational energy for the fourth vibrational state.173 |

xiii

CHAPTER I

N PARTICLE NONINTERACTING GREEN FUNCTION

For certain problems in quantum mechanics, it is advantageous to reduce the number of continuous variables, replacing them with sums over discrete variables. Many investigators⁴⁻⁹ have utilized some form of hyperpherical coordinates to express a 3N-dimensional (N=2,3,4, ---) problem in terms of a single continuous radial variable with the remaining 3N-1 coordinates being angles. Functions of the 3N coordinates may then be expanded in terms of a set of "generalized" spherical harmonics labeled by discrete indices. If N is one, ordinary spherical polar coordinates result.

In this chapter, a well known hyperspherical coordinate system is^{10,11} employed to obtain in the noninteracting Green function for N + 1 distinguishable particles. Although other forms of many-particle Green functions are available,^{12,13} the representation developed here permits one to employ the integral solution procedure of Sams and Kouri¹ which is a numerical method for noninteratively solving integral equations. It has been successfully used in carrying out numerous scattering calculations¹⁴ and bound states investigations for model potentials such as the Lennard-Jones (12,6). Since such integral equations are constructed using Green functions, their numerical method can be employed to calculate energy eigenstates of systems composed of several particles if the relevant Green functions are known. The present representation for the N-particle Green function is computationally convenient for solving the integral form of Schrodinger's equation for atoms¹⁵ and it therefore may be of some benefit in analyzing other three or more body problems¹⁶.

In center of mass coordinates, r_1 is the vector between particles 1 and 2 with masses m_1 and m_2 , respectively, r_2 is the vector from their center of mass to a third particle and r_3 is a vector from the center of mass of all three to a fourth particle. The ith vector r_i (i=1,2,---, N) is from the center of mass of all the particles 1,2,3, ---, i to the center of mass particle i+1. The ith reduced mass, μ_i , is

$$\mathcal{M}_{i} = \frac{m_{i+1} \sum_{j=1}^{i} m_{j}}{\sum_{j=1}^{i+i} m_{j}}, \qquad (1-1)$$

and η_i is defined by

$$\eta_i^a \equiv \mu_i$$

The Green function $G(\underline{\mathfrak{G}} \mid \underline{\mathfrak{R}}')$ for N free particles having reduced masses η_{i}^{a} satisfies the 3N-dimensional inhomogeneous differential equation

$$\left[\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\frac{1}{\gamma_{i}}\right)^{z} \nabla_{i}^{z} + K^{2} G(\mathcal{R} | \mathcal{R}') = -\delta(\mathbf{r}_{i} - \mathbf{r}_{i}') \delta(\mathbf{r}_{2} - \mathbf{r}_{i}') \cdots \delta(\mathbf{r}_{n} - \mathbf{r}_{n}')\right]$$

(1-2)

where the vector \mathfrak{R} possesses 3N components and the right side of Eq. (1-3) is a product of N three-dimensional Dirac \mathscr{S} -functions. The Fourier integral representation of G($\mathfrak{R} \mid \mathfrak{R}'$) may be written as

$$\begin{aligned} G(\mathcal{Q}|\mathcal{Q}') &= \\ (\stackrel{\mathtt{A}}{\xrightarrow{\mathtt{A}}})^{N} \int d\underline{u}_{1} \int d\underline{u}_{2} \cdots \int d\underline{u}_{N} \left[\frac{e^{\underline{i} \, \underline{u}_{1} \cdot (\underline{y}_{1} - \underline{y}_{1}')} e^{\underline{i} \, \underline{y}_{2} \cdot (\underline{y}_{2} - \underline{y}_{2}')} \cdots e^{\underline{i} \, \underline{u}_{N} \cdot (\underline{y}_{N} - \underline{y}_{N}')} }{\left(\frac{u_{1}}{\eta_{1}} \right)^{2} + \left(\frac{u_{z}}{\eta_{z}} \right)^{2} + \cdots + \left(\frac{u_{N}}{\eta_{N}} \right)^{2} - K^{2}} \right], \end{aligned}$$

using the Fourier representation of the Dirac δ -functions¹⁷. The method for treating the singularities in Eq. (1-4) follow from the boundary conditions imposed on G(\mathcal{R}) as $\mathcal{R} \rightarrow \infty$. It is convenient to let (1-5)

$$g_i = \frac{u_i}{n_i}$$

and

$$\underline{R}_{i} = \eta_{i} \underline{r}_{i}$$
(1-6)

in order to obtain the equation

(1-7)

$$G(\underline{\mathscr{R}}|\underline{\mathscr{R}'}) = \frac{1}{2\pi\pi} \int \eta_{i} dg_{i} \int \eta_{i} dg_{i} \cdots \int \eta_{N} dg_{N} \left[\frac{e^{i \frac{q}{2} \cdot \left(\underline{\mathscr{R}}_{i} - \underline{\mathscr{R}'}\right)} e^{i \frac{q}{2} \cdot \left(\underline{\mathscr{R}}_{i} - \underline{\mathscr{R}'}\right)} \cdots e^{i \frac{q}{2} \cdot \left(\underline{\mathscr{R}}_{N} - \underline{\mathscr{R}'}\right)}}{g_{i}^{2} + g_{i}^{2} + \cdots + g_{N}^{2} - K^{2}} \right]$$

(1-4)

By employing a partial wave decomposition¹⁸ and integrating Eq. (1-6) over the 2N spherical polar angles $\mathcal{V}_{g_1}, \cdots, \mathcal{V}_{g_N}, \mathcal{G}_{g_1}, \cdots, \mathcal{G}_{g_N}$, the expression for $G(\mathcal{R} \mid \mathcal{R}')$ becomes

$$G(\underline{\mathcal{R}}|\underline{\mathcal{R}}')$$

$$= \left(\underbrace{\frac{3}{11}}_{0} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{1}^{3} g_{1}^{2} d_{g_{1}} \int_{2}^{\infty} \int_{2}^{2} d_{g_{2}} \cdots \int_{1}^{3} g_{1}^{2} d_{g_{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}}^{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}}^{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}}^{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}}^{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}}^{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}}^{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}}^{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}}^{1} (g_{1} R_{1}) j_{g_{2}}(g_{2} R_{2}) j_{g_{2}}(g_{2} R_{2}') \cdots \int_{\mathbb{R}}^{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}}^{1} (g_{1} R_{2}) j_{g_{2}}(g_{2} R_{2}') \int_{\mathbb{R}}^{1} (g_{1} R_{2}) \int_{\mathbb{R}}^{1} (g_{1} R_{2}) \int_{\mathbb{R}}^{1} (g_{1} R_{2}) \int_{\mathbb{R}}^{1} (g_{1} R_{2}) \int_{\mathbb{R}}^{1} (g_{2} R_{2}') \int_{\mathbb{R}}^{1} (g_{2} R_{2}') \cdots \int_{\mathbb{R}}^{1} (g_{1} R_{2}) \int_{\mathbb{R}}^{1} (g_{1} R_{2}) \int_{\mathbb{R}}^{1} (g_{2} R_{2}') \cdots \int_{\mathbb{R}}^{1} (g_{2} R_{2}') \cdots \int_{\mathbb{R}}^{1} (g_{2} R_{2}') \int_{\mathbb{R}}^{1} (g_{2} R_{2}') \int_{\mathbb{R}}^{1} (g_{2} R_{2}') \cdots \int_{\mathbb{R}}^{1} ($$

where the orthonormality condition

$$\prod_{i=1}^{N} \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\psi_{g_{i}} \int_{0}^{\pi} d\psi_{g_{i}} \int_{0}^{\pi} d\psi_{g_{i}} Sin\psi_{g_{i}} Y_{g_{i}}^{m_{i}} \left(\hat{g}_{i}\right) Y_{g_{i}}^{m_{i}} \left(\hat{g}_{i}\right) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} \delta_{g_{i}} g_{i}^{*} \delta_{m_{i}} m_{i}^{*}$$
(1-9)

has been employed in performing the integration.

The orbital angular momentum of the ith particle (i=1,2,---,N) is designated l_i and the z-component is m_i ; so, the spherical Bessel functions written in the form $j_{k_i}(q_i, R_i)$ represent "single particle functions". The l_i th spherical Bessel function, $j_{k_i}(q_i, R_i)$, is

defined in terms of the cylindrical Bessel function, $\int_{\ell_i + \frac{1}{2}} (g_i R_i)$, by the equation

$$j_{\boldsymbol{g}_{i}}(\boldsymbol{g}_{i},\boldsymbol{R}_{i}) = \left(\frac{\tau\tau}{\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{g}_{i},\boldsymbol{R}_{i}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} J_{\boldsymbol{g}_{i}+\frac{1}{2}}(\boldsymbol{g}_{i},\boldsymbol{R}_{i})$$

and the $\sum_{l_i}^{m_i} (\hat{R}_i) (m_i = -l_i, -l_i + 1, \dots, l_i)$ are ordinary spherical harmonics defined by Edmonds¹⁹.

After Eq. (1-8) is expressed in hyperspherical coordinates, the many particle representation of the Green function will be explicitly given by executing the remaining integrals, which are tedious but not difficult. The hyperspherical coordinates include 4 N spherical polar angles Θ_{i} , Θ_{i} , ϕ_{i} , ϕ_{i} and hyperspherical distances ρ and ρ' defined by

$$\rho^{2} = \sum_{k=1}^{N} (r_{k})^{2}$$
(1-11)

and

$$\rho'^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} (Y_{i})^{2}$$
(1-12)

where r_i is the ith radial spherical polar coordinate for the observer; primes denote source coordinates. In addition there are 2N-2 hyperspherical angles \propto_j and \propto'_j ($j = 1, 2, \cdots, N-1$) given by the relations

(1-10)

$$r_{1} = \rho \cos \alpha_{1} \qquad (1-13)$$

$$r_{2} = \rho \sin \alpha_{1} \cos \alpha_{2} \qquad (1-13)$$

$$r_{N-1} = \rho \sin \alpha_{1} \sin \alpha_{2} \cdots \cos \alpha_{N-1} \qquad (0 \le \alpha_{j} \le \frac{\pi}{2})$$

$$r_{N} = \rho \sin \alpha_{1} \sin \alpha_{2} \cdots \sin \alpha_{N-1} \qquad (0 \le \alpha_{j} \le \frac{\pi}{2})$$

and

$$V'_{1} = \rho' \cos \alpha'_{1}$$

$$V'_{2} = \rho' \sin \alpha'_{1} \cos \alpha'_{2}$$

$$V'_{N-1} = \rho' \sin \alpha'_{1} \sin \alpha'_{2} \cdot \cdots \cos \alpha'_{N-1}$$

$$V'_{N} = \rho' \sin \alpha'_{1} \sin \alpha'_{2} \cdot \cdots \sin \alpha'_{N-1}$$

$$(0 \le \alpha'_{1} \le T'_{2})$$

Hyperspherical coordinates of wave number space have radius, Q, given by

$$Q^{2} = \sum_{x=1}^{N} (g_{x})^{2}$$
, (1-15)

and the hyperspherical angles β_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, N-1$) are introduced by the relations

6

$$g_{i} = Q \cos \beta_{i}$$

$$g_{2} = Q \sin \beta_{i} \cos \beta_{2}$$

$$g_{N-1} = Q \sin \beta_{i} \sin \beta_{2} \cdots \cos \beta_{N-1}$$

$$g_{N} = Q \sin \beta_{i} \sin \beta_{2} \cdots \sin \beta_{N-1}$$

$$(O \leq \beta_{i} \leq \frac{\pi}{2}).$$

$$(O \leq \beta_{i} \leq \frac{\pi}{2}).$$

The other 2N coordinates, \mathcal{V}_{g_i} and \mathcal{Y}_{g_i} , are the spherical polar angles over which integration was carried out to obtain Eq. (1-8).

With this choice of coordinates, the products of spherical Bessel functions $\prod_{i=1}^{N} j_{k_i}(q_i R_i)$ and $\prod_{i=1}^{N} j_{k_i}(q_i R_i)$ in Eq. (1-8) can be separately coupled, using the product expansion relation ²⁰

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathcal{U}} Z \sin \Theta \sin \Phi \int_{\mathcal{U}} \int_{\mathcal{U}} Z \cos \Theta \cos \Phi &= \lambda Z^{-1} \sum_{\lambda=0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathcal{U}+\mu+2\lambda+1} (Z) \\
X \cos^{\mu} \Theta \sin^{\nu} \Theta_{2} F(-\lambda, \nu+\mu+\lambda+1; \nu+1; \sin^{2} \Theta) \int_{\mathcal{U}} F(-\lambda, \nu+\mu+\lambda+1; \nu+1; \sin^{2} \Phi) \\
X \cos^{\mu} \Phi \sin^{\nu} \Phi (-I) \int_{\mathcal{U}+\mu+2\lambda+1}^{\lambda} \int_{\mathcal{U}+\mu+2\lambda+1} \frac{\Gamma(\nu+\mu+\lambda+1)\Gamma(\nu+\lambda+1)}{\lambda! [\Gamma(\nu+1)]^{2} \Gamma(\mu+\lambda+1)} \end{bmatrix}$$

The orders v, μ of the Bessel functions can assume any value except negative integers, and λ is zero or a positive integer. Thus, ${}_2F_i$ is the Jacobi polynomial of λ +1 terms, and Γ is the usual gamma function. Equation (1-8) may be represented in hyperspherical coordinates exclusively

7

after 2(N-1) coupling operations are performed; however, a definite pattern is followed to implement this transformation. By using Eq. (1-17), the functions $j_{I_N}(g_N R_N)$ and $j_{I_{N-1}}(g_{N-1} R_{N-1})$ are initially coupled to yield a two particle "cluster function" where the hyperspherical variable ρ is a parameter. Likewise the functions $j_{I_N}(g_N R_N)$ and $j_{I_{N-1}}(g_{N-1} R_{N-1})$ are coupled, producing the two particle "cluster function" with ρ' entering as a parameter, i.e.,

$$\begin{split} \dot{J}_{l_{N}}(q_{N} g_{N}) \ \dot{J}_{l_{N-1}}(q_{N-1} g_{N-1}) &= \left[\left(\frac{\tau \tau}{2 q_{N} g_{N}} \right) \left(\frac{\tau \tau}{2 q_{N-1} g_{N-1}} \right) \right]^{l_{2}} J_{l_{N}+\frac{1}{2}}(q_{N} g_{N}) \ J_{l_{N-1}+\frac{1}{2}}(q_{N-1} g_{N-1}) \\ &= \frac{\tau \tau}{2 \varphi_{P}} \left[\frac{1}{5 i N \beta \cdots 5 i N f_{N-2} 5 i N \alpha_{1} \cdots 5 i N \alpha_{N-2}} \right] \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{\varphi_{P}} \right) J_{j_{N}+l_{N-1}+2 j_{N}+2}(\varphi_{P} 5 i N \beta \cdots 5 i N f_{N-2}) \\ &X S i N \alpha_{1} \cdots 5 i N \alpha_{N-2} \right) Cos^{q_{N-1}} \alpha_{N-1} S i N^{l_{N}} \alpha_{N-1} F(-j_{1}) l_{N}+l_{N-1}+j_{1}+2 j_{1} l_{N}+\frac{3}{2} j_{1} S i N^{2} \alpha_{N-1}) \\ &X Cos^{q_{N-1}} g_{N-1} S i N^{l_{N}} \beta_{N-1} F(-j_{1}) l_{N}+l_{N-1}+j_{1}+2 j_{1} l_{N}+\frac{3}{2} j_{1} S i N^{2} \alpha_{N-1}) \\ \dot{J}_{l_{N}}(q_{N} g_{N}) J_{l_{N-1}}(q_{N-1} g_{N-1}) = \left[\left(\frac{\tau \tau}{q_{1} q_{N}} g_{N} \right) \left(\frac{\tau \tau}{q_{N-1} g_{N-1}} g_{N} \right) \right]^{j_{2}} J_{l_{N}+\frac{1}{2}}(q_{N} g_{N}) J_{l_{N-1}+\frac{1}{2}}(q_{N-1} g_{N-1}) \\ &= \frac{\tau \tau}{2 \varphi_{P}} \left[\frac{1}{5 i N f_{N-1}} S i N^{l_{N}} g_{N-1} S i N \alpha_{N-1} S i N \alpha_{N-1} S i N \alpha_{N-1} + j_{N} + j_{$$

(1-18)

where

$$(1-20)$$

(1-21)

$$\mathcal{N}_{1}^{2} = \frac{\lambda_{1}! \left[\Gamma(l_{N} + \frac{3}{2}) \right]^{2}}{2 \left[l_{N} + l_{N-1} + 2\lambda_{1} + 2 \right] \Gamma(l_{N} + l_{N-1} + \lambda_{1} + 2) \Gamma(l_{N} + \lambda_{1} + \frac{3}{2})}$$

and

$$\mathcal{N}_{i}^{\prime 2} = \frac{\lambda_{i}^{\prime} \left[\left[\Gamma(l_{N} + \frac{3}{2}) \right]^{2} \Gamma(l_{N-1} + \lambda_{i}^{\prime} + \frac{3}{2}) \right]}{2 \left[l_{N} + l_{N-1} + \lambda_{i}^{\prime} + 2 \right] \Gamma(l_{N} + l_{N+1} + \lambda_{i}^{\prime} + 2) \Gamma(l_{N} + \lambda_{i}^{\prime} + \frac{3}{2})}.$$

Thus the two particle "cluster functions" are shown in Eqs. (1-18) and (1-19).

The second step in transforming the integrand of Eq. (1-8) entails coupling the single particle functions, $j_{\ell_{N-2}}(q_{N-2}R_{N-2})$ and $j_{\ell_{N-2}}(q_{N-2}R_{N-2})$ to the 2 particle "cluster functions" of Eqs. (1-18) and (1-19) respectively, using the product expansion relation of Eq. (1-17). To these expanded results, the functions $j_{\ell_{N-3}}(q_{N-3}R_{N-3})$ and $j_{\ell_{N-3}}(q_{N-3}R_{N-3})$ are respectively coupled which completes the third transformation step. Finally, the N-particle "cluster function" is formed by coupling the remaining single particle function, $j_{\ell_{N-3}}$, to the (N-1)-particle "cluster function".

However, the integral representation for the noninteracting N-particle Green function $G(\underline{\mathfrak{G}} | \underline{\mathfrak{G}}')$ can be generated from a generalized expression for the product expansion operation that will couple M particles (M-2,3,4,---, N) within a hypersphere of 3 M-dimensions and will thus yield an M-particle "cluster function". The (M-1)th product expansion operation is now considered where an M_a-particle function is coupled to an M_b -particle product expansion, and it follows that $M=M_a+M_b$. (Note that M_a is equal to one in the present treatment.) Using this coupling prescription with the hyperspherical coordinates introduced, the (M-1)th expansion operation couples the (N-M+1)th particle expression to the (M-2)th M_b -particle expression. By identifying the relations

(1-22)

$$\widetilde{\varphi}\widetilde{\rho}Cos\beta_{N-M+1}Cos\alpha_{N-M+1} = \varphi\rho Sin\beta\cdots Sin\beta_{N-M}Cos\beta_{N-M+1}Sin\alpha_{\cdots}Sin\alpha_{N-M}Cos\alpha_{N-M+1}$$

$$(1-23)$$

$$\widetilde{\varphi}\widetilde{\rho}Sin\alpha_{N-M+1}Sin\beta_{N-M+1} = \varphi\rho Sin\beta\cdots Sin_{N-M}Sin\beta_{N-M+1}Sin\alpha_{\cdots}Sin\alpha_{N-M}Sin\alpha_{N-M}$$
and
$$(1-24)$$

 $\sigma = N - M + 1,$

then the (M-1)th product expansion in the sequence of (N-1) expansions may be expressed as

(1-25)

$$= \left(\frac{\pi}{a}\right)^{\frac{M}{a}} \sum_{\substack{\lambda_{m-1} \\ m-1}} \left[\left(\frac{1}{\tilde{\varphi}}\right)^{\left(\frac{3m}{2}-1\right)} \int_{\mathcal{U}_{m-1}} (\tilde{\varphi}\tilde{\rho}) \cos^{k_{\sigma}} \sin^{k_{\sigma}} \sin^{k_{\sigma}} \left[\nu_{m-2} - \left(\frac{3m_{b}}{a}-1\right) \right] \right]_{\mathcal{U}_{m-1}} (\tilde{\varphi}\tilde{\rho}) \cos^{k_{\sigma}} \sin^{k_{\sigma}} \sin^{k_{$$

$$= \left(\frac{\mathrm{TT}}{2}\right)^{\frac{M}{2}} \left(\frac{1}{\tilde{\varphi}\tilde{\rho}}\right)^{\frac{3M}{2}-1} \sum_{\substack{\lambda=0\\M-1}}^{\infty} \left(\int_{\nu_{m-2}}^{\infty} \int_{\nu_{m-2}}^{\sqrt{2}} \int_{\nu_{m-2}}^{\sqrt{2}} \left(\tilde{\varphi}\tilde{\rho}\right) \cos^{\lambda}\alpha_{\sigma} \sin^{\lambda}\beta_{\sigma} \sin^{\lambda}\beta_{\sigma} \cos^{\beta}\beta_{\sigma} \sin^{\lambda}\beta_{\sigma} \sin^{$$

$$(1-26)$$

$$\eta_{m-1}^{2} = \frac{(\lambda_{m-1})! \left[\Gamma(\nu_{m-2}+1) \right]^{2} \Gamma'(\lambda_{m-1}+\lambda_{2}(\sigma)+\frac{3ma}{2})}{2(\nu_{m-1}) \Gamma(\nu_{m-1}-\lambda_{m-1}) \Gamma(\lambda_{m-1}+\lambda_{2}(m-2)+\frac{3ma}{2})}$$

The M-particle "cluster-functions" constructed in Eq. (1-25) by the (M-1) product expansion operation contains a cylindrical Bessel function order v_{m-1} which is given by

$$\mathcal{V}_{M-1} = \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} l_{N-i} + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} t_i + \frac{3M}{2} - 1$$
(1-27)

where the l_{N-i} are individual particle orbital angular momentum

quantum numbers and the $\dot{\chi}_{i}$ are defined in Eq. (1-17). The order of the cylindrical function belonging to the M_b-particle "cluster function" is obtained from the relation

$$\mathcal{V}_{m-2} = \sum_{i=0}^{M-2} l_{N-i} + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{M-2} t_i + \frac{3M_b}{2} - 1$$

It is also apparent that the powers, $\lambda a(\sigma)$, of the cosine functions produced by the (M-1)th product expansion are numbers related to the number of particles M_a; i.e., the $\lambda a(\sigma)$ are quantum numbers given by (1-29)

$$\lambda_{a(r)} = l_{N-M+1}$$

Similarly the powers, $\lambda (M-\lambda)$, of the sine functions are connected with the number of particles M_b included by the (M-2)th product expansion. The relevant relation here is

$$\lambda_{b}(M-2) = \sum_{i=0}^{M-2} k_{N-i} + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{M-2} \lambda_{i} \qquad (1-30)$$

It also may be seen that

$$\mathcal{V}_{M-2} = \lambda_b (M-2) + \frac{3M_b}{2} - 1$$
 (1-32)

and

$$v_{m-1} = 2 \lambda_{m-1} + \lambda_a(\sigma) + \lambda_b(m-2) + \frac{3m}{2} - 1$$
.

Now hyperspherical coordinates and Eqs. (1-27) and (1-30) are used

(1-28)

in order to write the N-particle Green function integral of Eq. (1-8) as

$$X \bigvee_{\hat{k}_{N}}^{m_{N}} \bigvee_{\hat{k}_{N}}^{m_{N}^{*}} \bigvee_{\hat{k}_{N-1}}^{m_{N-1}} (\hat{k}_{n-1}) \bigvee_{\hat{k}_{N-1}}^{m_{N-1}^{*}} \cdots \bigvee_{\hat{k}_{N}}^{m_{N}^{*}} (\hat{k}_{1}) \bigvee_{\hat{k}_{N}^{*}} (\hat{k}_{1}^{*})$$

The orthogonality condition 21

$$\int \left\{ d\beta_{\sigma} \left(S \, i \, N \, \beta_{\sigma} \right)^{a \, \lambda b \, (M-a) + \, \Im \, M \, b - i} \, a \, \lambda a (\sigma) + \Im \, M \, a - i} \right\}$$

$$\times F(-\lambda_{m-i}) \nu_{m-i} - \lambda_{m-i}; \nu_{m-2} + i; \, S \, i \, N^{2} \beta_{\sigma}) F(-\lambda'_{m-i}) \nu_{m-i} - \lambda'_{m-i}; \nu_{m-2} + 1; \, S \, i \, N^{2} \beta_{\sigma}) \right\}$$

$$= \left[\frac{(\lambda_{m-i})! \left[\left[\Gamma(\nu_{m-2} + i) \right]^{2} \, \Gamma^{i}(\lambda_{m-i} + \lambda_{a}(\sigma) + \frac{\Im \, M \, a}{2}) \right]}{a \, \nu_{m-i} \, \Gamma(\nu_{m-i} - \lambda_{m-i}) \, \Gamma^{i}(\lambda_{m-i} + \lambda_{b}(m-2) + \frac{\Im \, M \, b}{2}} \right] \delta_{\lambda} \delta_{m-i} \delta_{m-i}$$

is utilized to perform the (N-1)-fold integration over the hyperspherical angles β_j (j=1,2,...,N-1) in Eq. (1-33), and the resulting expression is

$$G(\mathfrak{g}_{1}\mathfrak{g}) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} (\eta_{i})^{3} (\frac{1}{\rho'\rho'})^{\frac{(3N-1)}{\lambda_{2}}} \sum_{\mathcal{R}_{N}} \sum_{\mathcal{R}_{N-1}} \cdots \sum_{\mathcal{R}_{1}} \sum_{m_{N}} \sum_{m_{N-1}} \cdots \sum_{m_{i}} \sum_{\lambda_{i}} \sum_{\lambda_{i}} \cdots \sum_{\lambda_{i}} \sum_{\lambda_{i-1}} \sum_{\lambda_{i-1}} \cdots \sum_{\lambda_{i}} \sum_{\lambda_{i-1}} \sum$$

$$X\cos^{l_{N-2}} Sin^{\lambda b(1)} \propto F(-\frac{1}{2})^{\nu_1 - \frac{1}{2}} v_1 + v_1 Sin^2 \alpha_{N-2} \cos^{l_{N-2}} Sin^{\lambda b(1)} (v_{N-2} F(-\frac{1}{2})^{\nu_2 - \frac{1}{2}} v_1 + v_1 Sin^2 \alpha_{N-2}) N_2^{-2}$$

$$\cos^{l_{i}}_{\alpha_{i}}\sin^{\lambda_{b}(n-2)}_{\alpha_{i}}F(-t_{n-1}, v_{n-1}, v_{n-2}+1; \sin^{2}\alpha_{i})\cos^{l_{i}}_{\alpha_{i}}\sin^{\lambda_{b}(n-2)}_{\alpha_{i}}F(-t_{n-1}, v_{n-2}, t_{n-1}; v_{n-2}+1; \sin^{2}\alpha_{i})n_{n-1}^{-2}$$

The sums of Eq. (1-35) are finite for a fixed value of ν_{N-1} . Thus, only a finite number of terms are associated with any one hyper-radial Green function. The hyper-radial integral in Eq. (1-35) can be evaluated to yield¹²

$$\frac{\pi i}{2} \int_{\nu_{N-1}} (\kappa_{P_{k}}) H_{\nu_{N-1}}^{(0)} (\kappa_{P_{k}}),$$

and the outgoing wave solution $G(\mathcal{R} \mid \mathcal{R}')$ of Eq. (1-2) is thus determined where $\rho_{\langle , , , \rho \rangle}$ are the lesser and greater respectively of ρ and ρ' . The cylindrical Hankel function of the first kind is designated $H^{(1)}$, and the $\mathcal{N}_{j}^{-2}(j = 1, 2, \cdots, N-1)$ are obtained from Eq. (1-26).

This representation of the many-particle Green function appears to be useful in obtaining non Hartree-Fock wave functions and energy levels for many electron atoms¹⁵ as will be indicated in chapter II.

CHAPTER II

INTEGRAL EQUATION APPROACH TO BOUND STATE ATOMIC SYSTEMS. PRELIMINARY STUDIES OF HELIUM AND HYDROGEN

A. INTRODUCTION

It is well known that bound state atomic systems are formally described by the appropriate Schrödinger equation. A study of Schrodinger's equation for a many electron atom reveals that extreme numerical difficulties are encountered due to the presence of nonseparable terms in the many electron Hamiltonian. In fact, the exact eigenfunctions and eigenvalues of atomic systems containing two or more interacting electrons are not known 23 . The atomic theory for many electron atoms has been therefore strongly dependent on the skillful use of approximate theories and solutions. In particular, a large number of the quantitative calculations of the states of many electron atoms have involved the Hartree-Fock approximation which employs wave functions constructed from a determinant of single electron wave functions. Hartree-Fock atomic wave functions allow for electron correlation due to the Pauli exclusion principle but do not completely account for the effects of electron-electron repulsion interactions in a many electron atom.

It is evident that for such an atomic system an alternative method for obtaining accurate numerical solutions of the Schrödinger

16

equation, including correlation effects, would be useful in understanding many electron bound states in atoms. One such approach may be based directly on the homogeneous integral solution technique of Sams and Kouri ²⁴ which previously has been applied to the problem of determining vibrational wave functions and energy levels¹⁴. The formalism is employed here for helium, and the method of generalizing to other many electron atoms is indicated.

Different models for the He atom will be considered, and the first will use the symmetric center-of-mass coordinates shown in Fig. 1. The complete nonrelativistic Hamiltonian for this system may be written as

$$H = -\left(\frac{1}{\eta_{1}}\right)^{2} \nabla_{\Gamma_{1}}^{2} - \left(\frac{1}{\eta_{2}}\right)^{2} \nabla_{\Gamma_{2}}^{2} - \frac{2z}{I_{\Gamma_{2}}^{2} - \frac{1}{2}} - \frac{2z}{I_{\Gamma_{2}}^{2} - \frac{1}{2}} - \frac{2z}{I_{\Gamma_{2}}^{2} - \frac{1}{2}} + \frac{2}{\Gamma_{1}} + \frac{2}{\Gamma_{1}}$$

where atomic units are chosen to measure energy in rydbergs. The interelectronic vector is r_1 ; r_2 is the vector from the center of mass of the electrons to the Helium nucleus, and the value of Z is 2. The reduced mass, γ_1^2 , for the electrons is

$$\gamma_{1}^{2} = \frac{m_{e}}{2}$$
(2-2)

and the reduced mass of the helium nucleus and the center of mass of the electrons is

$$\gamma_{12}^{2} = \frac{2M_{\chi}}{2m_{e} + M_{\chi}}$$
(2-3)

where $\texttt{M}_{\boldsymbol{\varkappa}}$ and $\texttt{M}_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{C}}}$ are the alpha-particle and electron masses respectively

Since the present investigation deals with bound states for atomic systems, the energy E is negative, and the wave-number is given by

$$K = \mathcal{L}\mathcal{H} (\mathcal{H} > 0) \tag{2-4}$$

such that

$$E = -\mathcal{H}^{z}.$$
(2-5)

Hence, the Schrodinger equation for the He system is written as (2-6)

$$\left[\left(\frac{1}{\eta_{1}} \right)^{2} \nabla_{r_{1}}^{2} + \left(\frac{1}{\eta_{2}} \right)^{2} \nabla_{r_{2}}^{2} + K^{2} \right] \mathcal{P}(r_{1}, r_{2}) =$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{4}{|r_2-\dot{z}r_1|} & \frac{4}{|r_2+\dot{z}r_1|} - \frac{2}{|r_1|} \end{bmatrix} \Upsilon(r_1, r_2),$$

and the solution
$$\Psi(\underline{r},\underline{r}_2)$$
 can be written in the form
 $\Psi(\underline{r},\underline{r}_2) = (2-7)$

$$\int \left\{ G(\underline{r}, \underline{r}_{2} | \underline{r}'_{1} \underline{r}'_{2}) \left[\frac{4}{|\underline{r}'_{2} - \frac{1}{2}\underline{r}'_{1}|} + \frac{4}{|\underline{r}'_{2} + \frac{1}{2}\underline{r}'_{1}|} - \frac{2}{|\underline{r}'_{1}|} \right] \right\} \\ \times \quad \mathfrak{E}(\underline{r}'_{1} \underline{r}'_{2}) \int d\underline{r}_{1} d\underline{r}_{2} \\ \times \quad \mathfrak{E}(\underline{r}'_{1} \underline{r}'_{2}) \int d\underline{r}_{1} d\underline{r}_{2} \\ \end{array}$$

The function $G(\underline{r},\underline{r}_{2}|\underline{r}',\underline{r}'_{2})$ is a noninteracting Green function which satisfies the inhomogeneous differential equation

(2-8)

$$\left[\left(\frac{1}{n_{1}} \right)^{2} \nabla_{r_{1}}^{2} + \left(\frac{1}{n_{2}} \right)^{2} \nabla_{r_{2}}^{2} + K^{2} \right] G \left(r_{1} r_{2} | r_{1}' r_{2}' \right) = - S \left(r_{1} - r_{1}' \right) S \left(r_{2} - r_{2}' \right)$$

where the right side of Eq. (2-8) is a product of a two 3-dimensional Dirac delta functions.

Integral equations have been used extensively 25,26 to treat bound state systems. Weinberg 26 and Delves 4,5 have considered in detail collisions of three or more particles. In the present chapter, Eq. (2-7) is expressed in terms of hyperspherical coordinates 10 and several of the relations encountered herein have also been discussed by Delves. Many of the studies of bound state systems have been devoted to nuclear problems and problems where the mass of one particle is infinite. The present treatment deals with atomic systems and enables the effects of finite nuclear mass to be studied.

The purpose of this paper is to present a numerical method of noninteratively solving Eq. (2-7) for the total wave function of the atomic system and the energy E of the corresponding atomic state. Thus, using He as an example atom, an approach for calculating bound state atomic wave functions and energy levels is presented which does not involve the Hartree-Fock approximation and which permits nuclear motion to be readily included.

In the next section, the Green function $G(\underbrace{r}, \underbrace{r}, \underbrace{r}$

excellent agreement with the exact results. Next the numerical procedure is illustrated by treating different models of the He system with zero total angular momentum. This analysis is approximate and involves only a single radial integral eigenvalue equation so the calculated energy levels represent qualitative information concerning the model He systems. The models may then be classified into two groups: the fixed nucleus approximation and the He systems which allow nuclear motion. It is finally noted that calculations to yield excited state energies are no more difficult than ground state calculations. The method and its implications are discussed in terms of these example systems in the last section.

B. SYSTEMATIC DETERMINATION OF THE NONINTERACTING GREEN FUNCTION FOR TWO DISTINGUISHABLE PARTICLES

An explicit representation of the Green function is required for application of the integral solution procedure 3,23 . Thus, the noninteracting Green function for two distinguishable particles is considered where a convenient form can be easily obtained from Eq. (2-8) by using a Fourier integral representation in hyperspherical coordinates 10 These coordinates have been used by numerous other authors (see references 4-8 and 27-29, for example) to discuss the wave equation.

21

It is noted that this approach for obtaining the Green function is of greater generality than indicated by the present problem. That is, it is readily generalized to construct the noninteracting Green function for a system of N(N=2,3,4...) distinguishable particles ³⁰ such that the final representation may be used to calculate energy eigenvalues and eigenstates of many electron atoms. As a result, the integral equation formalism herein introduced allows a straighforward generalization to atoms with more than two electrons.

The two particle Green function given in Eq. (2-8) can be written as

$$\begin{split} & \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{$$

using the relation

 $\begin{bmatrix} \nabla r_{j}^{2} + k_{j}^{2} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \int \left(r_{j} - r_{j}^{\prime} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} e^{i u_{j} \cdot (r_{j} - r_{j}^{\prime})} \\ \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \right)^{3} \int du_{j} \begin{bmatrix} e^{i u_{j} \cdot (r_{j} - r_{j}^{\prime})} \\ u_{j}^{2} - k_{j}^{2} \end{bmatrix}$ (2-10)

where j equals 1,2. By introducing into Eq. (2-9) the variables

22

(2-9)

and the variables R_{1}, R_{2}, R_{2} and R_{2} given by

(2-12)

 $\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{R}_1 = \eta_1 \, \mathcal{L}_1 , & \mathcal{R}_1' = \eta_1 \, \mathcal{L}_1' \\ & \mathcal{R}_2 = \eta_2 \, \mathcal{L}_2 , & \mathcal{R}_2' = \eta_2 \, \mathcal{L}_2' , \end{aligned}$

the function $G(\underline{r}, \underline{r}_{2} | \underline{r}', \underline{r}'_{2})$ becomes

$$G_{2\pi}^{(r)}(\underline{r}_{2\pi}^{'}) = \frac{\left[\frac{e^{ig_{1}}(\underline{R}_{1}-\underline{R}_{1}^{'})}{g_{1}^{2}} + \frac{e^{ig_{2}}(\underline{R}_{2}-\underline{R}_{2}^{'})}{g_{1}^{2}}\right]}{\left[\frac{g_{1}^{2}}{g_{1}^{2}} + \frac{g_{2}^{2}}{g_{2}^{2}} - K^{2}\right]}.$$

 for obtaining the relevant Green function and performing the subsequent calculations.

To begin the transformation to hyperspherical coordinates, regular spherical polar coordinates are employed in Eq. (1-13), and the multipole expansion ¹⁸

$$e^{i q_{ij} \cdot (R_{j} - R'_{j})} = (4 \pi)^{2} \sum_{l_{j=0}}^{\infty} \sum_{m_{j=-l_{j}}}^{l_{j}} \sum_{l_{j=0}}^{\infty} \sum_{m_{j=-l_{j}}}^{l_{j}} \sum_{l_{j=0}}^{\infty} \sum_{m_{j=-l_{j}}}^{l_{j}} (i)^{l_{j}} (-i)^{l_{j}} j_{l_{j}} (q_{j}R_{j}) j_{l_{j}} (q_{j}R_{j})$$

$$(2-14)$$

$$\times Y_{\ell_j}^{m_j}(\hat{q}_j) Y_{\ell_j}^{m_j}(\hat{q}_j) Y_{\ell_j}^{m_j}(\hat{R}_j) Y_{\ell_j}^{m_j}(\hat{R}_j)$$

is used where the subscript j equals 1,2. It will be seen that spherical polar angles V_{j} and f_{j} also belong to the hyperspherical coordinate system of interest. Thus ordinary spherical polar coordinates of 6-dimensions are sufficient for performing a 4-fold integration of Eq. (2-13) over the angles V_{ij} and f_{ij} . The resulting expression is

$$G(r, r_{2}|r', r'_{2}) = \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{2} \int n_{i}^{3} q^{2} dq, \int n_{2}^{3} q^{2} dq, \sum_{z_{1}, z_{2}} \sum_{z_{1}, z_{2}} \sum_{m_{1}, m_{2}} \sum_{z_{1}, z_{2}, m_{1}, m_{2}} \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{2} dq, \int n_{2}^{3} q^{2} dq, \sum_{z_{1}, z_{2}, m_{1}, m_{2}} \sum_{z_{1}, z_{2}, m_{1}, m_{2}} \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{2} dq, \int n_{1}^{3} q^{2} dq, \int n_{2}^{3} q^{2} dq, \int n_{2}^{3} q^{2} dq, \int n_{2}^{3} q^{2} dq, \int n_{1}^{3} q^{$$

$$\times \left(\frac{f_{\ell_{1}}(q,R_{1})f_{\ell_{1}}(q,R_{1})f_{\ell_{2}}(q,R_{1})f_{\ell_{2}}(q,R_{1})f_{\ell_{2}}(q,R_{1})f_{\ell_{2}}(q,R_{1})}{p_{1}^{2}+p_{2}^{2}-K^{2}} \right) Y_{\ell_{1}}^{m'}(\hat{R}_{1})Y_{\ell_{1}}^{m'}(\hat{R}_{1}') \\ \times Y_{\ell_{2}}^{m_{2}}(\hat{R}_{2})Y_{\ell_{2}}^{m_{2}^{*}}(\hat{R}_{2}')$$
where the orthonormality condition

$$\frac{2}{1-1}\int_{c}^{2\pi} dq_{4,j} \int_{c}^{\pi} \sin \eta_{4,j} d\eta_{4,j} V_{\ell_{j}}^{m_{j}}(\hat{q}_{j}) Y_{\ell_{j}}^{m_{j}}(\hat{q}_{j}) = \frac{2}{1-1}\int_{c}^{2\pi} \int_{c}^{2\pi} \int_{c}^{\pi} \int_{c}^{$$

has been utilized.

The functions γ_{ℓ}^{m} are ordinary spherical harmonics as defined by 19 If 19 The index ℓ_{j} is the orbital angular momentum quantum number of the jth particle (j=1,2), whereas m_{j} is the z component angular momentum quantum number of ℓ_{j} . The $j_{\ell}(qR)$ are spherical Bessel functions, and the ℓ_{j} th spherical Bessel function is defined in terms of the cylindrical Bessel function $J_{\ell_{j}+\ell_{z}}(q_{j}R_{j})$ by the equation

$$(2-17)$$

$$j_{lj}\left(q_{j}R_{j}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\pi}{2q_{j}R_{j}} \end{bmatrix}^{n} J_{lj+12}\left(q_{j}R_{j}\right)$$

The hyperspherical coordinates of wave number space include the regular spherical polar angles $\sqrt{g_1}$, $\sqrt{g_2}$, \mathcal{G}_{g_1} , and \mathcal{G}_{g_2} over which integration was performed in obtaining Eq. (2-16) In addition, a hyperspherical radius Q is defined by $Q^2 = \frac{q^2}{f_1} + \frac{q^2}{f_2} \qquad (2-18)$

and a hyperspherical angle eta is given by the relations

$$\begin{aligned} q_{1} &= Q \cos \vec{F} \\ q_{2} &= Q \sin \vec{F} \quad \left(c \leq \vec{F} \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$(2-19)$$

The volume element of the spherical polar coordinates is related to the 6 dimensional hyperspherical coordinate volume element by

$$(2-20)$$

$$(n_1 n_2)^3 q_1^2 dq_1 q_2^2 dq_2 \sin vq_1 \sin vq_2 dv_{q_1} dv_{q_2} dv_{q_2} dv_{q_1} dv_{q_2} dv_{q_1} dv_{q_2} dv_{q_2$$

Hyperspherical variables of coordinate space are analogously defined with the hyperspherical distances ${\cal P}$ and ${\cal P}'$ being

$$\int^{2} = R_{1}^{2} + R_{2}^{2}, \quad \int^{2} = R_{1}^{2} + R_{2}^{2}. \quad (2-21)$$

Hyperspherical angles \checkmark and \checkmark' are introduced by

$$R_{1} = P\cos\alpha , R_{2} = P\sin\alpha (o \le \alpha \le \underline{\pi})$$

$$R_{1}' = P'\cos\alpha' , R_{2}' = P'\sin\alpha' (o \le \alpha' \le \underline{\pi})$$

$$R_{1}' = P'\cos\alpha' , R_{2}' = P'\sin\alpha' (o \le \alpha' \le \underline{\pi})$$

The ramaining hyperspherical coordinates are the ordinary spherical polar angles \mathcal{V}_{j} , \mathcal{V}_{j}' , \mathcal{Y}_{j} , and \mathcal{Y}_{j}' (j=1,2).

It is seen from Eqs. (2-22) that the distances γ_1 , γ_1 , and γ_2 can be expressed in terms of hyperspherical coordinates as

$$r_1 = \eta_1^{-1} \rho_{\cos \alpha}, \qquad r_2 = \eta_2^{-1} \rho_{\sin \alpha}$$

$$r_1' = \eta_1' P' \cos \alpha', \qquad r_2' = \eta_2' P' \sin \alpha',$$

and the volume element relation is then

=
$$(\eta_1 \eta_2)^{-3} \rho^5 d \rho \sin^2 \alpha \cos^2 \alpha d \alpha \sin \nu_1 \sin \nu_2^2 d \nu_1 d \nu_2 d \nu_1 d \varphi_2$$

Equation (2-15) can now be written in terms of hyperspherical coordinates when the expression given in Eq. (2-17) is substituted for the spherical Bessel functions. By using the product expansion relation 20

(2-23)

$$\mathbb{J}_{\varphi}$$
 (ESINE COL ϕ) $\mathbb{J}_{\mathcal{A}}$ (X COS G COL ϕ)

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{Z}^{-1} \overline{J}_{v+n+2k+1} \left(\mathcal{Z} \right) \tilde{c} c s^{4} e s n \tilde{c} e_{2} F(-k, v+n+k+1; v+1; s, k^{2} e)$$

$$\times \cos^{4}\phi \sin^{3}\phi_{2}F_{1}(-\lambda,\nu+\mu+\lambda+1;\nu+1;\sin^{2}\phi)(-1)^{2}2(\nu+\mu+2\lambda+1) \\ \times \left[\frac{\Gamma(\nu+\mu+1)}{\lambda!} \frac{\Gamma(\nu+\lambda+1)}{\Gamma(\nu+1)!} \frac{\Gamma(\nu+\lambda+1)}{\Gamma(\nu+1)!} \right];$$

the Green function integral in term of hyperspherical coordinates becomes (2-26)

$$\begin{aligned} & G_{k}(\xi_{1}|\xi_{1}') = (\eta_{1}\eta_{2})^{3} \left(\frac{1}{pp^{1}}\right)^{2} \\ & \times \sum_{l_{1}=0}^{m_{1}} \sum_{l_{2}=0}^{m_{1}} \sum_{m_{1}=-l_{1}}^{m_{1}} \sum_{l_{2}=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l_{2}=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l_{2}=0}^{m_{1}} \sum_{l_{2}=-l_{2}}^{m_{2}} \sum_{l_{2}=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l_{2}=0}^{m_{1}} \chi_{l_{1}}^{m_{1}}(\hat{R}_{1}) \chi_{l_{2}}^{m_{2}}(\hat{R}_{2}) \chi_{l_{2}}^{m_{2}}(\hat{R}_{2}) \\ & \times \int_{0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\Omega_{1}I_{2}}{Q^{2}-K^{2}} + 2\pi_{1}+2 \cdot (\Omega_{1}P) \cdot I_{2}+2\pi_{1}+2 \cdot (\Omega_{1}P)}{Q^{2}-K^{2}} \right) dQ \end{aligned}$$

$$X \int_{0}^{\pi/2} \left[sin Pcrs P_{2}F_{1}(-\lambda_{1}, \ell_{1}+\ell_{2}+\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}, \ell_{2}+\frac{3}{2}; sin^{2}\beta) \right]$$

$$\times {}_{2}F_{i}\left(-\frac{1}{\lambda_{1}}, l_{1}+l_{2}+\frac{1}{\lambda_{1}}+2; l_{2}+\frac{3}{2}; s_{1}N^{2}F^{2}\right) \right] dF\left(\frac{(-i)^{\lambda_{1}}}{\eta_{1}^{2}}\right) \left(\frac{(-i)^{\lambda_{1}}}{\eta_{1}^{2}}\right)$$

$$\times C(s^{l_{1}} \times s_{1}N^{l_{2}} \times z_{F}^{2}\left(-\frac{1}{\lambda_{1}}, \frac{1}{\lambda_{1}}+\frac{1}{\lambda_{2}}+\frac{1}{\lambda_{1}}+2; \frac{1}{\lambda_{2}}+\frac{3}{2}; s_{1}N^{2} \times\right)$$

$$\times \cos^{l_{1}} \times (s_{1}N^{l_{2}} \times z_{F}^{2}\left(-\frac{1}{\lambda_{1}}, \frac{1}{\lambda_{1}}+\frac{1}{\lambda_{2}}+\frac{1}{\lambda_{1}}+2; \frac{1}{\lambda_{2}}+\frac{3}{2}; s_{1}N^{2} \times\right)$$

$$\times \cos^{l_{1}} \times (s_{1}N^{l_{2}} \times z_{F}^{2}\left(-\frac{1}{\lambda_{1}}, \frac{1}{\lambda_{1}}+\frac{1}{\lambda_{2}}+\frac{1}{\lambda_{1}}+2; \frac{1}{\lambda_{2}}+\frac{3}{2}; s_{1}N^{2} \times\right)$$

In Eq. (2-25) the orders \rightarrow and μ can not be negative integers, and \ddagger is zero or a positive integer. The functions ${}_2F_1$ are Jacobi polynomials of \ddagger terms, and Γ is the usual gamma function.

The orthogonality properties of Jacobi polynomials²¹ are used in evaluating the integral over the hyperspherical angle β in Eq. (2-26), i.e.,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{T/2} S_{iN}^{2l_{2}+2} \beta_{cos}^{2l_{i}+2} \beta_{F}(-\lambda_{i}, l_{i}+l_{2}+\lambda_{i}+2; l_{2}+\frac{3}{2}; s_{iN}^{2}\beta)$$

$$\times F(-\lambda_{i}', l_{i}+l_{2}+\lambda_{i}'+2; l_{2}+\frac{3}{2}; s_{iN}^{2}\beta) d\beta = S_{\lambda_{i}} \lambda_{i}' \eta_{i}^{2}$$

where

$$\eta_{1}^{2} = \frac{\underline{t}_{1}! \left[\Gamma\left(\underline{l}_{2} + \frac{3}{2}\right)^{2} \Gamma\left(\underline{l}_{1} + \underline{t}_{1} + \frac{3}{2}\right) - 2\left[\underline{l}_{1} + \underline{l}_{2} + 3\overline{t}_{1} + 2\right] \Gamma\left(\underline{l}_{1} + \underline{l}_{2} + \overline{t}_{1} + 2\right) \Gamma\left(\underline{l}_{2} + \overline{t}_{1} + \frac{3}{2}\right)}{2\left[\underline{l}_{1} + \underline{l}_{2} + 3\overline{t}_{1} + 2\right] \Gamma\left(\underline{l}_{1} + \underline{l}_{2} + \overline{t}_{1} + 2\right) \Gamma\left(\underline{l}_{2} + \overline{t}_{1} + \frac{3}{2}\right)}.$$
(2-28)

The integral over the hyper-radius Q can be evaluated for outgoing wave boundary conditions to yield 22

$$\frac{\pi}{2} J_{l,+l_2+2t,+2} (KP_{c}) H_{l,+l_2+2t,+2}^{(u)} (KP_{c})$$

where $H^{(1)}$ is the cylindrical Hankel function of the first kind and $P_{<}$, $P_{>}$ are the lesser and greater, respectively of P and P'. Thus the Green function $G(\xi | \xi')$ is given explicitly in hyperspherical coordinates as

$$G(\vec{s}|\vec{s}') \qquad (2229)$$

$$= (\frac{i\pi}{2})(\rho\rho')^{-2}(\eta,\eta_2)^3 \sum_{f} \sum_{k_i} \sum_{k_i} \sum_{k_i} \sum_{k_i} J_{k_i+k_2+2}t_{i+2} (\kappa R_i)$$

where ξ represents the coordinates $f_1 \prec \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_1, \gamma_2$ and the normalized Jacobi polynomial \mathcal{L} is defined by the equation

$$= N_{1}^{-1} F(-\lambda_{1}, l_{1} + l_{2} + \lambda_{1} + 2; l_{2} + \lambda_{1} + 2; l_{2} + \frac{3}{2}; \text{Sin}^{2} \alpha)$$

The function $\mathcal{Y}_{\mathcal{L},\mathcal{L}}(\hat{\mathcal{R}},\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathcal{I}})$ is a sum of products of spherical harmonics obtained with aid of the vector addition coefficients, and the triangular inequality

$$|l_{2} - l_{1}| \leq \int \leq l_{1} + l_{2}$$
(2-31)

and the condition

$$(2-32)$$

$$M = m_1 + m_2$$

on the magnetic quantum number M must be satisfied.

C. MODELS FOR THE He ATOM

The interaction terms of the He atom Hamiltonian given by Eq. (2-1) must now be expressed in hyperspherical coordinates. By

(2-30)

substituting the appropriate hyperspherical coordinates of Eq. (2-23) into the interaction potential of Eq. (2-1), the Hamiltonian H_I may be written as

$$H_{I} = -\left(\frac{1}{\eta_{1}}\right)^{2} \nabla_{I_{1}}^{2} - \left(\frac{1}{\eta_{2}}\right)^{2} \nabla_{\mu_{2}}^{2} - \frac{8}{P}$$

$$\times \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \chi_{\ell\ell}(\alpha) F_{2\ell}(\cos \nu_{\ell_{2}}) + \frac{2\gamma_{\ell}}{P\cos \alpha}$$
(2-33)

where the multipole expansions of the attractive terms are given by

$$\frac{4}{|r_2 \pm \frac{1}{2}r_1|} = \frac{4}{\rho} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\mp 1)^k \chi_k(\alpha) P_k(\cos \nu_k)$$
(2-34)

and

$$\chi_{2\ell}(\alpha) = \begin{cases} 2\eta_1 \left[\frac{2\eta_1}{\eta_2} \tan \alpha\right]^{2\ell} & (0 \le \alpha \le \omega) \\ \eta_2 \left[\frac{\eta_2}{2\eta_1} \cot \alpha\right]^{2\ell} & (\omega \le \alpha \le \frac{\pi}{2}) \end{cases}$$

$$W = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{\eta_{z}}{z\eta_{1}}\right), \qquad (2-36)$$

and γ_{12}^{0} is the angle between the vectors r_1, r_2 .

To examine the present approach as applied to the He atom, two other He systems will be considered. Thus, energy calculations of the moving nucleus He, model I, will be compared with results calculated using Model II, the infinitely massive nucleus model and Model III, the fixed nucleus model.

The second model is constructed as a limiting case of the first by allowing $M_{\downarrow} \rightarrow \infty$ in Eq. (2-33). The significant change is that (2-37)

The Hamiltonian, H_{II}, for this system is then constructed by replacing $\mathcal{N}^{2}_{\lambda}$ in Eq. (2-33) with \mathcal{N}^{2}_{3} , i.e.,

$$H_{II} = -(\frac{1}{h_{i}})^{2} \nabla_{\mathcal{L}_{i}}^{2} - (\frac{1}{h_{3}})^{2} \nabla_{\mathcal{L}_{2}}^{2} - \frac{8}{P}$$

$$\times \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \tilde{\chi}_{2l} (\alpha) P_{2l} (\cos \tilde{\nu}_{l_{2}}) + \frac{2n_{i}}{P\cos \alpha}$$
(2-38)

$$\widetilde{\chi}_{\mu}(\alpha) = \begin{pmatrix} 2\eta_{i} \left[\frac{2\eta_{i}}{\eta_{3}} \tan \alpha \right]^{2\ell} \sec \alpha & (c \leq \alpha \leq \widetilde{\omega})^{(2-39)} \\ \eta_{3} \left[\frac{\eta_{3}}{2\eta_{i}} \cot \alpha \right]^{2\ell} \csc \alpha & (\omega \leq \alpha \leq \frac{\pi}{2}), \end{cases}$$

$$(2-40)$$

$$\widetilde{\omega} = tar_1^{-1} \left(\frac{\eta_3}{2\eta_1} \right),$$

and $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathcal{L}}$ is the angle between the vectors $r_{\mathcal{V}_{1}}$, $r_{\mathcal{L}}$. The distance $r_{\mathcal{L}}$ for this system is

$$(2-41)$$

$$V_2 = \eta_3^{-1} Psind$$

The calculated energy differences for the systems given by Eqs. (2-33) and (2-38) of course indicate the manner in which nuclear motion affects the total energy of a He system.

In addition, the fixed nucleus approximation will be considered, and the initial Hamiltonian is given by

$$H = -\frac{1}{m_e} \nabla_{r_1}^2 - \frac{1}{m_e} \nabla_{r_2}^2 - \frac{4}{r_1} - \frac{4}{r_2} + \frac{2}{r_1r_2} - \frac{4}{r_2} + \frac{2}{r_1r_2} + \frac{2}{r_2}$$
(2-42)

where the vectors \mathcal{L}_{i} , \mathcal{L}_{z} locate the electrons relative to the nucleus and the electron mass m_{e} equals one. Thus the relations

$$r_{1} = P_{COSX}, \qquad (2-43)$$

$$r_{2} = P_{SiNX}$$

define the hyperspherical coordinates, and the Hamiltonian $\ensuremath{\mathsf{H}_{\mathrm{III}}}$ is written as

$$H_{III} = -\nabla_{L_1}^2 - \nabla_{L_2}^2 - \frac{4}{\rho_{cos}} - \frac{4}{(\gamma_{s,N})} + \frac{2}{\rho} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \Omega_{l}(\alpha) P_l(\cos \theta_{12}).$$

Here the electron-electron interaction is expressed by the multipole expansion

$$\frac{2}{|\underline{r}_{\mu} - \underline{r}_{\mu}|} = \frac{2}{P} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \Omega_{\ell} (\alpha) P_{\ell} (\cos \Theta_{12})$$
(2-45)

where

$$\Omega_{2}(\alpha) = \begin{pmatrix} \tan^{l} \alpha \ \sec \alpha \ \left(0 \le \alpha \le \frac{T}{4} \right)^{(2-46)} \\ \cot^{l} \alpha \ \csc \alpha \ \left(\frac{T}{4} \le \alpha \le \frac{T}{2} \right)^{(2-46)} \end{pmatrix}$$

(2-44)

and \bigcirc_{12} is the angle between the vectors $\underbrace{\mathcal{Y}}_1$, $\underbrace{\mathcal{Y}}_2$. The calculations performed for these three systems are presented in Sec. E.

D. COUPLED INTEGRAL EQUATIONS FOR He

The coupled integral equations are developed for the He system taking into account the motion of all particles. By substituting into Eq. (2-7) the Green function $G(\xi | \xi')$ given by Eq. (2-29) and the interaction potential expressed in Eq. (2-33), the bound state He system is formally described by the integral form of the Schrödinger equation

$$\begin{split} & \underbrace{\downarrow} (\chi |\xi) = \frac{i\pi}{\lambda \rho^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \rho' \frac{d}{d} \rho' \int_{0}^{\pi} \sin^{2} \alpha' \cos^{2} \alpha' d\alpha' \\ & \times \int_{0}^{2\pi} d \varphi'_{1} \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\varphi'_{2} \int_{0}^{\pi} \sin^{2} \varphi' \partial \varphi'_{1} \int_{0}^{\pi} \sin^{2} \alpha' \cos^{2} \alpha' d\alpha' \\ & \times \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{1}^{2\pi} \int_{1}^{2\pi} \int_{2}^{2\pi} \int_{1}^{2\pi} \int_{1}^{$$

.

We note that the mass factor $(\gamma_{l}, \gamma_{lz})^{3}$ in the Green function was canceled by the inverse factor $(\gamma_{l}, \gamma_{lz})^{-3}$ generated by the volume element given in Eq. (2-24).

It follows from Eq. (2-27) that the Jacobi polynomials satisfy the orthonormality condition

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{T}_{2} \\ & \int_{0}^{\pi} S_{1} N^{2l_{2}+2} \mathcal{A} \cos^{2l_{1}+2} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{F}(-t_{1}', l_{1}+l_{2}+t_{1}'+2; l_{2}+\frac{3}{2}; s_{1}N^{2} \mathcal{A}) \\ & \times \mathcal{F}(-t_{1}, l_{1}+l_{2}+t_{1}+2; l_{2}+\frac{3}{2}; s_{1}N^{2} \mathcal{A}) \mathcal{A} \mathcal{A} = St_{1}', t_{1}, \end{aligned}$$

whereas the functions
$$\mathcal{Y}_{\ell_{1}\ell_{2}}^{M}(\hat{r}_{1}\hat{r}_{2})$$
 satisfy

$$\int d\hat{r}_{1} d\hat{r}_{2} \qquad (2-49)$$

$$\int d\hat{r}_{1} d\hat{r}_{2} \qquad \mathcal{Y}_{\ell_{1}\ell_{2}}^{M}(\hat{r}_{1}\hat{r}_{2}) \qquad \mathcal{Y}_{\ell_{1}\ell_{2}}^{M}(\hat{r}_{1}\hat{r}_{2}) = \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{J}_{1}\ell_{1}}\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{J}_{2}\ell_{1}\ell_{1}}\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{J}_{2}\ell_{2}}\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{J}_{2}}\mathcal{P}_{2}}\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{J}_{2}}\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{J}_{2}}\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{J}_{2}}\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{J}_{2}}\mathcal{P}_{2}\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{J}_{2}}\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{J}_{2}}\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{J}_{2}}\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{J}_{2}}\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{J}_{2}}\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{J}_{2}}\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{J}_{2}}\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{J}_{2}}\mathcal{P}_{2}\mathcal{P}_{2}\mathcal{P}_{2}\mathcal{P}_{2}}\mathcal{P}_{2}\mathcal{P}_{2}\mathcal{P}_{2}\mathcal{P}_{2}\mathcal{P}_{2}\mathcal{P}_{2}\mathcal{P}_{2}\mathcal{P}_{2}}\mathcal{P}_{2}\mathcal{P}_{2}\mathcal{P}_{2}\mathcal{P}_{2}}\mathcal{P}_{2}\mathcal{P}_{2}\mathcal{P}_{2}\mathcal{P}_{2}}\mathcal{P}_{2}\mathcal{P}_{2}\mathcal{P}_{2}}\mathcal{P}_{2}\mathcal{P}_{2}\mathcal{P}_{2}}\mathcal{P}_{2}\mathcal{P}_{2}\mathcal{P}_{2}}\mathcal{P}_{2}\mathcal{P}_{2}\mathcal{P}_{2}\mathcal{P}_{2}}\mathcal{P}_{2}\mathcal{P}_{2}\mathcal{P}_{2}\mathcal{P}_{2}}\mathcal{P}_{2}$$

The wave function Ψ (χ / ξ) in Eq. (2-47) can therefore be expanded in terms of these orthogonal functions as

$$\Psi(\mathcal{X}|\mathcal{E}) = \sum_{\mathcal{F}} \sum_{k_1} \sum_{k_2} \sum_{k_1} \sum_{m} \cos^{\ell_1} s_{1m} \mathcal{L}_2 \alpha \mathcal{F}(-t_{1,1}, \ell_1 + \ell_2 + t_1 + 2; \ell_2 + \frac{3}{2}; s_{1m}^2 \alpha)$$

$$\times \bigcup_{\mathcal{F}}^{M} (\hat{r}_1, \hat{r}_2) \Psi(\mathcal{X}|\mathcal{F}|\ell_1, \ell_2, t_1, m + \ell)$$

$$(2-50)$$

to yield the set of coupled integral equations

$$\begin{split} \vec{\nabla} \left(X \right) (L, L, L, M(P)) &= \frac{2\pi}{2} \int_{P} \int_{Q} \int_{$$

$$x \cos^{i}, \alpha' \sin^{l_{2}} \alpha' \mathcal{F}(-\lambda_{1}, l_{1}+l_{2}+\lambda_{1}+l_{2}, l_{2}+\frac{3}{2}; \sin^{2} \alpha')$$

$$x \left[4 \sum_{k=c}^{c} \chi_{2k}(\alpha') P_{2k}(\cos \nu_{1k}') - \frac{N_{1}}{\cos \alpha'}\right]$$

$$\times \sum_{g'} \sum_{k'} \sum_{k'} \sum_{k'} \sum_{N'} \frac{y''(\hat{r}, \hat{r}_{g}) \cos^{\theta} \sigma' \sin^{-k'} \alpha'}{y''(\hat{r}, \hat{r}_{g}) \cos^{\theta} \sigma' \sin^{-k'} \alpha'}$$

$$\times \mathcal{F}(-k_{i}, l_{i}^{i} + l_{i}^{i} + \lambda_{i}^{i} + 2j, l_{i}^{i} + \frac{3}{2}j \sin^{2}(\ell))$$

$$\times \mathcal{F}(M|l_{i}^{i} l_{i}^{i}, l_{i}^{i} + l_{i}^{i} + \lambda_{i}^{i} + 2j, l_{i}^{i} + \frac{3}{2}j \sin^{2}(\ell))$$

A more convenient form of Eq. (2-51) is obtained by factoring the terms independent of ρ . Thus C and D are defined as $C(f_{1}, c_{1}, k, M) f_{1}' f_{1}' f_{1}' k', M')$ (2-52) $= -\pi \gamma_{i} \int_{C_{1}} \int_{C_{1}} \int_{C_{2}} \int_{C_{2}} \int_{C_{2}} \int_{C_{1}} \int_{C_{2}} \int_{$

$$X = \int_{0}^{2} dx' \sin^{2\ell_{1}+\ell_{1}} dx' \cos^{2\ell_{1}+\ell_{1}} dx'$$

$$X = \int_{0}^{2} (-k_{1}, l_{1}+l_{2}+k_{1}+2; l_{2}+\frac{3}{2}; 5; N^{2}x')$$

$$X = \int_{0}^{2} (-k_{1}, l_{1}'+l_{2}'+k_{1}'+2; l_{2}'+\frac{3}{2}; 5; N^{2}x')$$
and
$$D_{2} = \int_{0}^{2} (l_{1}, l_{2}, k_{1}, M) \int_{0}^{1} l_{1}' l_{2}' k_{1}' M' = 4\pi \int_{2l}^{0} (l_{1}, l_{2} | l_{1}' l_{2}' | l_{1}')$$

$$X = \int_{0}^{2} dx' \sin^{2l_{2}+l_{2}'+2} q' \cos^{l_{1}+l_{1}'+2} q' \chi_{2l} (q')$$

$$X = \int_{0}^{2} (-k_{1}, l_{1}+l_{2}+k_{1}+2; l_{2}+\frac{3}{2}; 5; N^{2}q')$$

$$X = \int_{0}^{2} (-k_{1}, l_{1}+l_{2}+k_{1}+2; l_{2}+\frac{3}{2}; 5; N^{2}q')$$
where $\chi_{2l} (q)$ is defined in Eq. (2-35). The functions
$$\int_{2l}^{2} (l_{1}, l_{2} | l_{1}' l_{2}' | l_{1}') = q \operatorname{re given by } 32$$

$$(2-54)$$

$$f_{2\ell}(l, l_2 | l, l_2 | l) = \int d\hat{r}_1 d\hat{r}_2 Y_{\ell l, \ell_2}^{M*}(\hat{r}, \hat{r}_2)$$

$$X P_{al} (\cos \mathcal{X}_{a}) \int_{\mathcal{E}}^{M'} (\hat{r}, \hat{r}_{a}).$$

In terms of these constants, Eq. (2-51) becomes

$$2I(M[i], i], i] t, M[F] = \frac{1}{p^2} \int_{0}^{\infty} p'^{2} dp'$$
(2-55)

$$\times \overline{J}_{l_1+l_2+2} t_{i+2} (i) l(l_k) H_{l_1+l_2+2}^{(l)} (i) H_{l_1+l_2+2} (i) l(l_k) \sum_{\substack{i \in I_1 \\ i' \in I_2}} \sum_{\substack{i \in I_2 \\ i' \in I_2}} \sum_{\substack{i' \in I_2 \\ i' \in I_2}} \sum_{i' \in I_2} \sum_{\substack{i' \in I_2 \\ i' \in I_2}} \sum_{i' \in I_2} \sum_{i' \in I_2} \sum_{i' \in I_2} \sum_{i' \in I_2}} \sum_{i' \in I_2} \sum_{i' \in I$$

$$\times \left\{ \sum_{\ell=c}^{\infty} D_{\ell}(\{l_{1}, l_{2}, t_{1}, M \mid j' l_{1}', l_{2}', t_{1}', M') + C(\{l_{1}, l_{2}, t_{1}, M \mid j' l_{1}', l_{2}', t_{1}', M') \right\}$$

$$\times \Psi(\mathcal{H}|q'\ell'_{2}t'_{2}t'_{1}m'|P').$$

It is convenient to define a function $U(\mathcal{X}|\mathcal{J}\mathcal{L},\mathcal{L},\mathcal{K},\mathcal{M}|\mathcal{P})$ by the equation

$$\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H}_{j\ell}, \ell_{2}, t, M/P) = P^{2} \mathcal{\Psi}(\mathcal{H}_{j\ell}, \ell_{2}, t, M/P)$$

$$(2-56)$$

and write Eq. (2-55) in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{X}|fl, l_{2}, t, M|P) &= i \int_{C}^{\infty} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Y}|J_{l, + l_{2} + 2t_{1} + 2}(i\mathcal{H}P_{2})^{(2-57)} \\ &\times H_{l_{1} + l_{2} + 3t_{1} + 2}^{(1)}(i\mathcal{H}P_{2}) \sum_{g'} \sum_{k'_{1}} \sum_{k'_{2}} \sum_{k'_{1}} \sum_{m'_{1}} \sum_{l=c}^{\infty} C_{k}(ll, l_{2}, t, m|g'l, l_{2}, t', m') \\ &> \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H}|j'l, l_{1}, t', m'|P') \end{aligned}$$

where the definition of $C_{g}(f_{2}, f_{2}, f_{2}, M)$ is obvious from a comparison of Eqs. (2-57) and (2-55). By letting the single index σ represent the five indices, f_{2}, f_{2}, f_{2}, M , and removing the variables

 $ho_{<}$ and $ho_{>}$, Eq. (2-57) can be written as

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{U}\left(\mathcal{H}|\sigma|P\right) &= i \mathcal{H}_{\ell,r\ell_{L}r,2t_{1}+2}^{(i)}\left(i\mathcal{H}P\right) \int_{\sigma}^{\rho} \mathcal{L}_{e}^{(2-58)} \\ \times \mathcal{J}_{\ell,r\ell_{L}+2t_{1}+2}\left(i\mathcal{H}P'\right) \sum_{\sigma'} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{C}_{\ell}\left(\sigma|\sigma'\right) \mathcal{U}\left(\mathcal{H}|\sigma'|P'\right) \\ &+ i \mathcal{J}_{\ell,r\ell_{L}+2t_{1}+2}\left(i\mathcal{H}P\right) \left\{\mathcal{A}(\sigma) - \int_{\sigma}^{\rho} \mathcal{L}P'\mathcal{H}_{\ell,r\ell_{L}+2t_{1}+2}^{(i)}\left(i\mathcal{H}P'\right) \\ \times \sum_{\sigma'} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{C}_{\ell}\left(\sigma|\sigma'\right) \mathcal{U}\left(\mathcal{H}|\sigma'|P'\right) \right\} \end{split}$$

where

$$A(\sigma) \qquad (2-59)$$

$$= \int_{\sigma} \mathcal{A}(\sigma') H_{\ell_{1}+\ell_{2}+2t_{1}+2}(i\mathcal{H}(\sigma')) \sum_{\sigma'} \sum_{\ell=\sigma}^{\sigma} \mathcal{C}_{\ell}(\sigma/\sigma') \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H}(\sigma')\mathcal{F}')$$

The solution form of Eq. (2-58) is written as

$$\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H}\sigma|\mathcal{C}) = \sum_{\sigma} \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{H}|\sigma; \sigma'|\mathcal{C}) \mathcal{A}(\sigma)$$
⁽²⁻⁶⁰⁾

so that the matrix representation of the above is

$$\underline{\mathcal{V}}(\boldsymbol{\rho}) = \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\boldsymbol{\rho}) \cdot \underline{\boldsymbol{A}}$$
(2-61)

The matrix $\underline{\underline{A}}$ satisfies the eigenvalue equation

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} H^{(\prime)}(P') \cdot \left\{ \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \subseteq_{\ell} \right\} \cdot \underbrace{\mathcal{W}}(P') \cdot \underline{A} d P' = \epsilon \underline{A},$$

$$(2-62)$$

and the matrix function $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{O})$ satisfies the Volterra integral equation given by

$$\underbrace{\underbrace{\underbrace{}}}_{\ell}(P) = i \underbrace{\underbrace{}}_{\ell}(P) \cdot \int_{0}^{P} \underbrace{\underbrace{}}_{\ell}(P') \cdot \underbrace{\underbrace{}}_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \underbrace{\underbrace{}}_{\ell=0}^{Q} \underbrace{\underbrace{}}_{\ell} \underbrace{\underbrace{}}_{\ell}(P') \cdot \underbrace{\underbrace{}}_{\ell=0}^{Q} \underbrace{}}_{\ell}(P') \cdot \underbrace{\underbrace{}}_{\ell}(P') \cdot \underbrace{}}_{\ell}(P') \cdot \underbrace{\underbrace{}}_{\ell}(P') \cdot \underbrace{\underbrace{}}_{\ell}(P') \cdot \underbrace{\underbrace{}}_{\ell}(P')$$

where the elements in the arrays \underline{J} (ρ) and \underline{H} (ρ) are given by

$$\left[J(P) \right]_{\sigma,\sigma'} = \int_{\sigma\sigma'} J_{2}(\ell, \ell_{2} \star, l \ell H P)$$

$$(2-64)$$

and

$$\left[\bigcup_{e \in \mathcal{I}_{e,e'}} (e) \right]_{e,e'} = S_{e,e'} H_{e}(2, \ell_{e}, t_{e}, l \geq 2^{\circ}).$$

$$(2-65)$$

A solution to Eq. (2-61) is obtained for an energy $E = -\chi^2$ if the eigenvalue \in in Eq. (2-62) equals one. Thus, initial and corrected estimates for \mathcal{K} are used in noninteratively solving Eq. (2-63) for $\mathcal{V}(P)$, and the eigenvalues of the matrix $\int \mathcal{V}(P) \cdot \{\mathcal{V}_{2,n}(P), \mathcal{V}(P), \mathcal{V}(P$

$$\int_{a}^{b} \underline{\mathcal{U}}^{\dagger}(P') \cdot \underline{\mathcal{V}}(P') dP' = 1, \qquad (2-66)$$

and the normalized solution is given by Eq. (2-61).

E. SINGLE INTEGRAL EQUATION CALCULATIONS

 H atom: Calculations of the Ground and First Three Excited S-States

In order to develop an understanding of the integral equation procedure, it was deemed desirable to initiate the numerical studies using only a single integral equation. To illustrate this approach with an exact calculation, the s-state H atom is considered where the total angular momentum is zero. Energy values are calculated for the ground and first three excited states, and the results are in excellent agreement with the exact value as shown in Table 1. Since the average electron-nucleus separation is greater in the excited states, the radial integral from the origin to a point R_{max} must also be greater to yield accurate calculations for excited states. Other than the increased R_{max} , the excited and ground state calculations are performed with equal ease.

The noninteracting single particle Green function $G(\underline{r} \mid \underline{r}')$ for an H atom is well known and can be obtained from Eq. (2-6) and expanded as ¹⁹

$$G(\underline{r}|\underline{r}') = \frac{e^{ik|\underline{r}-\underline{r}'|}}{4\pi|\underline{r}-\underline{r}'|}$$
(2-67)

$$= -k \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-k}^{k} j_{k}(kr_{x}) h_{k}^{(i)}(kr_{y}) Y_{k}^{m}(\hat{r}) Y_{k}^{m}(\hat{r}').$$

For the H atom Eq. (2-7) becomes

$$\Psi(\underline{r}) = \int G(\underline{r}|\underline{r}')(\underline{\hat{r}'})\Psi(\underline{r}')d\underline{r}'$$
(2-68)

and the wave function \mathbf{F} (r) is expanded in terms of the $\chi^{m}(\hat{r})$. The radial equation for the \mathcal{L} th partial wave is obtained by multiplying Eq. (2-68) through with a particular $\chi^{m*}_{\mathcal{L}}(\hat{r})$ and integrating over the solid angle \hat{r} to give

$$\Psi_{e}^{m}(r) = k \int_{e}^{\infty} f_{e}(kr_{e}) h_{e}^{(i)}(kr_{s})(\frac{1}{r'}) \Psi_{e}^{m}(r') dr'$$
(2-69)

where $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{L}}^{(j)}$ is the spherical Hankel function of the first kind. The total energy E is measured in rydbergs and

$$k = i \quad j$$

so that

$$E = -\mathcal{H}^{2}$$

where $\mathcal{I}\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{I} > 0)$ is the pure imaginary wave number. Thus, from Eq. (2-69), the bound s-state solutions of Schrödinger's equation for the H atom may be written in integral form as

$$\begin{split} & \stackrel{2}{\mathcal{F}}_{\circ}(\mathcal{H}|o|r) = \frac{2}{\mathcal{R}} \int Sinh(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{R}}) e^{-\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{R}}}(+) \\ & \times \mathcal{F}_{\circ}(\mathcal{H}|o|r') dr', \end{split}$$

(2-71)

and
$$\mathcal{A}_{o}(\mathcal{H}o|r) = \frac{2e^{-\mathcal{H}r}}{\mathcal{H}} \int_{c}^{r} (\frac{1}{r'}) \sin \tilde{h}(\mathcal{H}r') \mathcal{A}_{o}(\mathcal{H}o|r') dr'$$

+ $\frac{2\sin \tilde{h}(\mathcal{H}r)}{\mathcal{H}} - \frac{2\sin \tilde{h}(\mathcal{H}r)}{\mathcal{H}}$ (2-73)
+ $\int_{c}^{r} (\frac{1}{r'})e^{-\mathcal{H}r'} \mathcal{A}_{o}(\mathcal{H}o|r') dr'.$

Here

$$\mathcal{F}_{o}(\mathcal{X}|o|r) = \mathcal{A}_{o}(\mathcal{X}|o|r)\mathcal{B}$$
 (2-74)

and

$$\tilde{S}e^{-\varkappa r'}(\frac{1}{r'})\mathcal{P}_{o}(\mathcal{H}|o|r')dr'B = \epsilon B.$$
⁽²⁻⁷⁵⁾

A solution to Eq. (2-74) is obtained for an energy $E = -\mathcal{H}^{2}$ where \in in Eq. (2-75) is one. The wave function $\mathcal{F}_{o}(\mathcal{H}_{o})r$) is then absolute square integrable.

2. He Models: Ground S-State Approximations

The single integral equation approximation to He requires that, only the term $\mathcal{L}_{i} = \mathcal{L}_{2} = \mathcal{K}_{i} = \bigcirc$ be included in the wave function expansion, Eq. (2-51). This is tantamount to examining the first element in the matrix, and is, of course, a rather crude approximation. However, the wave function may be improved by including higher order terms, i.e., \mathcal{L}_{i} , \mathcal{L}_{i} , \mathcal{I}_{i} , $\mathcal{I}_{$

With the imposition of specialized conditions that $\ell_1 = \ell_2 = \lambda_1 = 0$, Eq. (2-51) becomes a single integral equation of the form

$$\Psi_{c}(\Re|o|P) = \frac{162}{P^{2}} \int dP'P'^{2} \qquad (2-76)$$

$$\times \int_{z} (z \Re P_{z}) H_{z}^{(i)}(z \Re P_{s}) \int sin^{2} x' ccs^{2} x'$$

$$\times \int_{z} (\alpha') d\alpha' \Psi_{c}(\Re|o|P')$$

where $\mathcal{U}(\alpha)$ represents an interaction potential for any one of the particular He systems. Certain intermelations of the model He systems may be made apparent by considering, for each system, the $\mathcal{U}(\alpha)$ integral in Eq. (2-76); so these integrals are now evaluated.

The interaction $\mathcal{U}_{\pm}(\ll)$ associated with Hamiltonian $H_{\rm I}$ of Eq. (2-33) is first analyzed. It is recalled that the Hamiltonian $H_{\rm I}$ describes a He atom which takes into account the motion of both electrons and the nucleus, using the symmetric center of mass coordinates shown in Fig. 1 a. However, if the asymmetric coordinates of Fig. 1b, are employed, the results of the following analysis can be shown to remain unchanged.

From Eqs. (2-76) and (2-33), the expression for the integral over $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{I}}(\mathcal{A})$ is

$$\mathcal{E}_{I} = \int_{0}^{\pi/2} \sin^{2} \alpha' \cos^{2} \alpha' \left\{ 4 \chi_{0}(\alpha') - \frac{\eta_{1}}{\cos \alpha'} \right\} d\alpha',$$

and the evaluation yields

$$\mathcal{E}_{I} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{6} \left\{ 4 \left(\frac{2M\alpha}{M_{\alpha} + m_{e}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - 1 \right\}$$
(2-78)

where use is made of Eq. (2-36). It is of interest to let $M_{\chi} \rightarrow \infty$ in Eq. (2-78), so that one obtains

(2-79)

$$\text{Limit}_{M_{a}\to\infty} \mathcal{E}_{r} = \frac{12}{6} \left\{ 47_{2} - 1 \right\}.$$

The interaction potentials $\mathcal{U}_{II}(\mathcal{A})$ and $\mathcal{U}_{III}(\mathcal{A})$ are associated with the He atom Hamiltonians given by Eqs. (2-38) and $(2-4\mathcal{A})$ respectively. System III is the fixed nucleus approximation, whereas system II is considered a limiting case of system I since

(2-77)

$$L_{imit} \stackrel{(2-80)}{\longrightarrow} H_{I} = H_{II}.$$

Now Eqs. (2-38), (2-44), and (2-78) are used to construct the integrals $\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{E}_{II} \text{ and } \mathcal{E}_{III} & \text{ which are given as} \\
& \mathcal{E}_{II} = \int_{0}^{\pi/2} S_{IN}^{2} \alpha' C_{OS}^{2} \alpha' \left\{ 4 \widetilde{\chi}_{o}(\alpha') - \frac{N_{I}}{C_{CS} \alpha'} \right\} d\alpha' \quad (2-81)
\end{aligned}$

and

$$\mathcal{E}_{III} = \int_{0}^{T/2} S_{IN}^{2} \alpha' C_{OS}^{2} \alpha' \left\{ \frac{2}{\cos \alpha'} + \frac{2}{\sin \alpha'} - \Omega_{0}(\alpha') \right\} d\alpha' (2-82)$$

It can be shown that the result given in Eq. (2-79) is the value for both integrals \mathcal{E}_{II} and \mathcal{E}_{III} . Therefore, when the interaction potentials are integrated over all angular variables, systems II and III manifest identical behavior. The inclusion of higher order terms could alter these conclusions.

Continuing the single equation integral solution treatment of He, we let \mathcal{R} represent $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{I}}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{I}}$, $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{I}\mathcal{I}}$, and use the relations ³³ (2-83)

$$\overline{J_{2}}(iz) = -\overline{J_{2}}(z)$$

50

.

and

$$H_{2}^{(\prime)}(i;z) = \frac{2i}{\pi} K_{2}(z)$$
(2-84)

in order to obtain

$$2P_{o}(\mathcal{H}[o|\mathbf{P}) = \frac{32R}{\pi e^{2}} \int_{\mathbf{P}}^{\infty} P^{\prime 2} I_{2}(\mathcal{H}\mathcal{P}_{2}) \mathcal{K}_{2}(\mathcal{H}\mathcal{P}_{3})$$
(2-85)

$$X = (RIOIP') dP'$$

from Eq. (2-76).

The functions $I_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{Z})$ and $K_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{Z})$ are the modified Bessel and Hankel functions, respectively. Then the function $\widetilde{\mathfrak{P}}_{o}(\mathcal{X}|o|P)$ defined by

$$\Psi_{o}(\chi|_{0}|_{P}) = \rho^{-2} \mathcal{G}\widetilde{\Psi_{o}}(\chi|_{0}|_{P})$$

$$(2-86)$$

may be shown to obey the Volterra integral equation

$$\widetilde{\Psi}_{o}(\mathcal{H}|o|P) = \chi K_{2}(\mathcal{H}P) \int_{\Sigma_{2}}^{P} I_{2}(\mathcal{H}P') dP' \qquad (2-87)$$

$$+ \mathcal{F}_{2}(\mathcal{H}P) - \mathcal{F}I_{2}(\mathcal{H}P) \int_{\Sigma}^{P} K_{2}(\mathcal{H}P') dP' \qquad (2-87)$$

$$\times \widetilde{\Psi}_{o}(\mathcal{H}|o|P') dP'$$

where
$$\chi = \frac{32R}{\pi}$$

and
$$\int K_2(\mathcal{H} \mathcal{P}') \Psi_o(\mathcal{H} \mathcal{O} \mathcal{P}' \mathcal{P}' \mathcal{G}$$
 (2-89)

$$= \epsilon g$$
.

~

Thus when

•

(2-90)

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} K_{z}(\mathcal{H}P') \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{o}(\mathcal{H}|o|P') \mathcal{L}P' = 1,$$

the eigenvalue and associated eigenfunctions are determined, and the resulting wave function is the best angular independent single term representation possible for the ground state He atom. As shown in Table 3, the results of ground state energy calculations for He systems I and II, III are given by

$$-\chi_{I}^{2} = -4.4957862$$
 rydbergs

(2-92)

52

$$-H_{II,III}^2 = -.5.0000450$$
 Mydbergs.

The He system in which nuclear motion is allowed is seen to be less tightly bound (by $\sim 2.59 \times 10^{-4}$ rydberg) than the systems which assume a fixed or infinitely massive nucleus. This energy difference is reasonable in view of the fact that nuclear motion contributes to the kinetic energy of the He atom.

F. DISCUSSION

In spite of the single equation approximation for He, comparative analysis of the different He models yields results which one would expect so far as the effect of nuclear motion. This suggests that the integral equation approach should be useful for studying quantum mechanical states of He and, therefore, other two electron atomic systems. In addition, the formalism allows a straightforward generalization to systems of more than three particles since the relevant Green functions are available 30 . The non Born-Oppehheimer nature of the method is illustrated in the treatment of model I for He, and the present method could be used to study the electron correlation problem 34 . Further, unlike methods which involve

and

expansions of atomic states in a hydrogenic basis, all expansion functions in the present analysis possess purely discrete indices so the present formalism avoids difficulties associated with the continuum contributions in expansions.

To obtain more accurate calculations, a formalism for coupled integral equations has been developed such that higher order terms may be included in the expansion basis. In this case, the accuracy attainable by the integral equation method could be competitive with other procedures. However, the rate of convergence and therefore the number of terms required in the expansions will determine its practicality. Thus far, it is not clear how large a number of particles can be considered in an atomic system where the present "total" wave function approach is employed. Here, "total" wave function is used to distinguish the wave functions constructed for the total system from the Hartree-Fock type of wave function.

Finally, an interesting facet of the method is revealed by the H atom treatment in that accurate results are obtained for excited state calculations without excess effort compared to the ground state calculation. This is a very desirable property for any formalism to possess which is used to calculate energy states of atomic systems. Calculations for coupled integral equations will be reported in a later communication.

53

CHAPTER III

A. INTRODUCTION

Insight into the behavior of three body systems can be gained by employing approximate methods to obtain numerical results from which reliable theoretical models can then be constructed. One such model is the London-Eyring-Polanyi^{35,36} potential energy surface which has been widely used in studying energy transfer reactions resulting from atomdiatom collisions³⁷⁻⁴³. These collisions are considered to be collinear and electronically adiabatic; i.e., the surface is constructed from the electronic energy plus the nuclear repulsion potential so that the motion of the model particles sliding on the frictionless surface simulates that of the reactive system. These approximations render the problem mathematically tractable and seem to be qualitatively reasonable.

Baer and Kouri^{3,44} have recently introduced the channel γ operator formalism which is an attractive technique for studying such atom-diatom reactive collisions^{45,46} This approach differs from the more conventional wave function boundary matching procedures in that it can be generalized to include three dimensional effects in a straight forward manner and it has been successful in avoiding difficulties associated with nonconservation of flux^{46,47}. By treating the collinear atom-diatom collision with the coupled channel γ operators, coupled integral equations are encountered and their solution yields a set of coupled algebraic equations, the solutions of which are used to obtain the reactive probabilities.

The present chapter considers the collinear approximation to the reaction of H_2^+ - He according to

$$H_2^+ + He \longrightarrow \begin{cases} HeH^+ + H & (reactive) \\ \\ He & + H_2^+ & (nonreactive). \end{cases}$$

The reaction probabilities are calculated using matrix elements of the channel $\widetilde{\zeta}$ operators. This treatment neglects the cross terms in the kinetic energy operators in order to employ an L shaped potential energy surface.

The next section contains a description of the collision system and the relationship between the masses of the particles and the potential energy surface. In Section C the Hamiltonian is separated into perturbed and unperturbed parts. The eigenfunctions are obtained from the unperturbed Hamiltonian and the pertubations are defined. The coupled channel \mathcal{X} operator equations are derived in Section D. The relevant algebraic equations are then obtained and the reflection (R_n) and transmission (T_n) coefficients are evaluated for the H_2^+ - He collision. In the final section, qualitative models are employed to discuss the reaction and the numerical results are discussed and compared with other data.

B. DESCRIPTION OF THE COLLISION SYSTEM

The collinear atom-diatom collision system for H_2^+ - He is shown in Fig. 2. Particles 1 and 2 (of mass m_{H_I} and $m_{H_{II}}$) represent the two proton masses; particle 3 is the He atom of mass m_{He} . The distance between particles 1 and 2 is R_{\prec} , whereas R_{β} is the distance from the "interior" proton to the H atom.

The initial stage of the scattering process, H_2^+ is vibrational in and energy eigenstate and is far from the incoming He atom. After the particle interact, the He atom is either reflected from the vibrating H_2^+ molecule or the "distal" H atom (particle 1) becomes freed and leaves the HeH⁺ molecule vibrating in one of its accessible eigenstates. The possibility of a reaction yielding three free particles is not allowed.

The system is taken to be in the \triangleleft configuration when H_2^+ is far from He and in the β configuration whe H is far from HeH⁺. For the \backsim and β configurations, the vibrational coordinates are R \triangleleft and R $_{\beta}$. respectively, and the complementary scattering coordinates are R $_{\beta}$ and R $_{\triangleleft}$. The subscript ρ is employed to indicate a general configuration, i.e., either \triangleleft or β . By following the approach of Eyring and Polanyi³⁶ as modified by Hirschfelder⁴⁸, it can be shown that the shape of the potential energy surface for the collinear H_2^+ - He system is obtained by diagonalizing the relative kinetic energy operators so the relative coordinates can be drawn at an angle which depends on the masses of particles. In Fig. 2, the positions of the masses $m_{H_{I}}$, and $m_{H_{II}}$, and $m_{H_{e}}$ are specified with respect to a reference point, using the vectors $\underline{\mathcal{Y}}_{i}$, $\underline{\mathcal{Y}}_{2}$, and $\underline{\mathcal{Y}}_{3}$, respectively. The relative coordinate, S, is a vector from the center of mass of $m_{H_{I}}$ to the center of mass of the combined system, $m_{H_{II}}$ and m_{He} . The other relative coordinate, P, is a vector from the center of mass of m_{He} to the center of mass of $m_{H_{II}}$. For notational convenience, the masses $m_{H_{I}}$, $m_{H_{II}}$, and m_{He} will be denoted by m_{1} , m_{2} , and m_{3} , respectively. The coordinates which render the internal kinetic energy operators diagonal can now be given by

$$P = \left[\frac{m_{2} m_{3}}{m_{1} + m_{3}}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} (Y_{1} - Y_{3})$$
(3-1)

and

$$S = \left[\frac{m_{1}(m_{2}+m_{3})}{M}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{m_{2}\chi_{2}+m_{3}\chi_{3}}{m_{2}+m_{3}}-\chi_{1}\right)$$
(3-2)

where

(3-3)

$$M = \sum_{i=1}^{3} m_{i}$$

Rearrangement of Eq. (3-2) yields

.

$$S = \left[\frac{m_{1}(m_{2} + m_{3})}{M}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} (\underline{r}_{3} - \underline{r}_{1})$$
(3-4)

+
$$\left[\frac{m_{2}(m_{1}+m_{3})}{M}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}\left[\frac{m_{1}m_{2}}{(m_{2}+m_{3})(m_{1}+m_{3})}\right](Y_{2}-Y_{3})$$

or

(3-5)

$$S = \mathcal{S}(\underline{Y}_3 - \underline{Y}_1) + \mathcal{I}(\underline{Y}_2 - \underline{Y}_3) Cos \Theta$$

where

(3-6)

$$\mathcal{F} = \left[\frac{m_{1}(m_{2} + m_{3})}{M}\right]_{,}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\mathcal{M} = \left[\frac{m_{2}(m_{1} + m_{3})}{M}\right]_{,}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
(3-7)

and

$$\cos \Theta = \left[\frac{m_{1} m_{2}}{(m_{2} + m_{3})(m_{1} + m_{3})} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$
(3-8)

The expression for **P** becomes

(3-9)

(3-10)

$$P = \mu (\underline{r}_a - \underline{r}_3) Sin \theta$$

where

$$Sin \Theta = \left[\frac{M m_3}{(m_1 + m_3)(m_2 + m_3)} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

By displaying the relative coordinates S and P as shown in Fig. 3, the set of skewed coordinates $\mu(\underline{Y}_{\lambda} - \underline{Y}_{3})$ and $\mathcal{C}(\underline{Y}_{\lambda} - \underline{Y}_{1})$ become apparent and the mass dependence of the angle Θ is seen in Eq. (3-8) and (3-10). Note that as m_{He} becomes infinite, Θ becomes $\pi/2$ to give an L shaped energy surface.

C. EIGENFUNCTIONS AND POTENTIAL ENERGY SURFACES

The full potential energy surface is shown in Fig. 4. The width, l_1 , of the entrance channel is taken to be $.37A^0$ with a potential of zero. The exit channel width, l_2 , is $.30A^0$ with an energy barrier of

.846 e.v. The rectangular corner region has a small potential well of -.20 e.v., and V_0 is 8.0 e.v.

In the \propto configuration, the potential energy surface felt by the unperturbed diatom is seen to be the $V_i(x,y)$ shown in Fig. 5a. The potential energy surface for the unperturbed diatom when the system is in the β configuration is $V_F(x,y)$ of Fig. 5b. The $V_i(x,y)$ and $V_f(x,y)$ are considered to be the effective diatom binding potentials for the \propto and β configurations respectively. Wave functions for these bound states are obtained from the Schrödinger equations given by $\left\{-\frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu_a}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + V_a\right\} \mathcal{A}(n_a|y) = E_{n_a} \mathcal{A}(n_a|y)$ (3-11)

and

$$\left\{-\frac{\pi^2}{\partial \mu_{\beta}}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial X^2} + V_{f}\right\} \varphi(\eta_{\beta}|X) = E_{\eta_{\beta}} \varphi(\eta_{\beta}|X)$$
(3-12)

where μ_{α} and μ_{β} are the reduced masses for the two configurations. Thus the bound state wave functions, $f(n_{\alpha}|y)$ and $f(n_{\beta}|x)$, for the α and β configurations are given as

$$f(n_{a}|y) = \begin{cases} A_{n_{a}} S_{iN} \lambda_{n_{a}} y & o \leq y < l, \\ B_{n_{a}} e^{-T_{n_{a}} y} & y > l, \end{cases}$$
(3-13)
$$\varphi(n_{\beta} | \mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases}
A_{n_{\beta}} S_{i_{N}} \lambda_{n_{\beta}} \mathbf{x} & 0 \leq \mathbf{x} < \lambda_{2} \\
B_{n_{\beta}} e^{-\tilde{T}_{n_{\beta}} \mathbf{x}} & \mathbf{x} > \lambda_{2}
\end{cases}$$
(3-14)

where

$$\lambda_{n_{\alpha}} = \left[\frac{2\mu_{\alpha}E_{n_{\alpha}}}{\hbar^{2}}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \qquad (3-15)$$

$$\overline{I}_{n_{\alpha}} = \left[\frac{\lambda \mu_{\alpha} \vee_{o}}{\hbar^{2}} - \lambda_{n_{\alpha}}^{2}\right]_{j}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

(3-16)

(3-17)

$$\lambda_{n_{\beta}} = \left[\frac{2\mu_{\beta}}{\hbar^{2}}\left(E_{n_{\beta}}-V_{a}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

and

(3-18)

$$\mathcal{T}_{n_{\beta}} = \left[\frac{2\mu_{\beta}}{\hbar^{2}}\left(V_{o} - V_{a}\right) - \lambda_{n_{\beta}}^{z}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

with the normalization constants given by

$$A_{n} = \left[\frac{2 \overline{I_{n}}}{\overline{I_{n}} l}\right]$$
(3-19)

and

•

$$B_n = A_n S_{iN}(\lambda_n l) e^{T_n l} .$$
⁽³⁻²⁰⁾

The free particle eigenfunctions for the $\boldsymbol{\varkappa}$ and $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ configurations are taken to be

$$\overline{\xi}(n_{a|X}) = S_{iN} k_{n_{a}} X$$
(3-21)

and

$$\overline{S}(n_{\beta}|y) = Sink_{n_{\beta}}y$$
 (3-22)

with the wave numbers
$$k_n$$
 and k_n dfined by (3-23)

$$k_{n_{\alpha}}^{z} = \frac{2\mu_{\alpha}E}{\hbar^{2}} - \lambda_{n_{\alpha}}^{z}$$

$$k_{n_{\beta}}^{2} = \frac{\lambda_{\mu}}{\hbar^{2}} (E - V_{2}) - \lambda_{n_{\beta}}^{2}$$
 (3-24)

Equations (3-10) and (3-11) are used in defining the unperturbed Hamiltonians, $K_{\pmb{\alpha}}$ and $K_{\pmb{\beta}}$, as

$$K_{\alpha} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu_{\beta}} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \chi^2} - \frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu_{\lambda}} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + V_{\mu}$$

and

$$K_{\beta} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{a_{\mu\beta}} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\hbar^2}{a_{\mu\alpha}} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + V_{\beta}$$
(3-26)

so that the unperturbed eigenfunctions, $\Theta(n_a|X,y)$ and $\Theta(n_\beta|X,y)$, are given by

$$\Theta(n_x|x,y) = \overline{Z}(n_x|x) \mathcal{G}(n_x|y)$$

and

$$\Theta(n_{\beta}|x,y) = \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}(n_{\beta}|y) \,\mathcal{P}(n_{\beta}|x)$$

(3-25)

(3-27)

٠

The K_{α} and K_{β} are related to the total Hamiltonian, H, by the equation

· .

$$H = K_{\alpha} + V_{\alpha} = K_{\beta} + V_{\beta}.$$

The perturbations $V_{\mathbf{x}}$ (x,y) and $V_{\mathbf{\beta}}$ (x,y) are then determined by

$$V_{\alpha} = H - K_{\alpha} = V_{\tau}(x, y) - V_{\tau}(x, y)$$
 (3-30)

and

$$V_{\beta} = H - k_{\beta} = V_{\tau}(x, y) - V_{f}(x, y)$$

and are explicitly given by the relations

$$\bigvee_{x}(x,y) = \begin{cases} \bigvee_{1} & (0 \leq y \in \mathcal{J}_{1}) \\ \bigvee_{2} - \bigvee_{0} & y > \mathcal{J}_{1} \end{cases} \qquad 0 \leq x < \mathcal{J}_{z} \\ O & x > \mathcal{J}_{z} \end{cases}$$

(3-29)

(3-31)

$$V_{\beta}(x,y) = \begin{cases} V_1 - V_2 & (0 \le x < l_2) \\ -V_0 & x > l_2 \end{cases} \qquad \begin{array}{c} (3-33) \\ 0 \le y < l_1 \\ 0 & y > l_1 \end{array}$$

D. CHANNEL $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ OPERATOR EQUATIONS

The channel operators are next obtained by considering the Lippman-Schwinger equation

(3-34)

$$\left| \mathcal{\Psi}^{\dagger} \right\rangle = \left| \Theta_{p} \right\rangle + \left(E - K_{p} + x \epsilon \right)^{-1} V_{p} \left| \mathcal{\Psi}^{\dagger} \right\rangle$$

where E is the total energy and $(E - K_{\rho} i\epsilon)^{-1}$ is the operator form of the noninteracting ρ configuration Green function which assures that the scattering state $|\Psi^{+}\rangle$ will satisfy casual boundary conditions. By letting $\rho = \alpha$, Eq. (3-34) can be written as (3-35)

$$|\mathcal{U}^{\dagger}\rangle = |\Theta_{\alpha}\rangle + \left\{ E - (K_{\alpha} + V_{\alpha}) + i \epsilon \right\}^{-1} V_{\alpha} |\Theta_{\alpha}\rangle$$

which becomes

$$|\Psi^{\dagger}\rangle = |\Theta_{\alpha}\rangle + (E - K_{\rho} + i\epsilon)^{-1} \{V_{\alpha} + V_{\rho} (E - H + i\epsilon)^{-1} V_{\alpha}\} |\Theta_{\alpha}\rangle$$

by applying the identity

$$(E - H + i\epsilon)'' = (E - K_{\rho} + i\epsilon)' \left\{ 1 + V_{\rho} (E - H + i\epsilon)'' \right\}.$$

The channel operator, $\gamma_{p\alpha}$, is now identified in Eq. (3-36) as (3-38)

$$\tilde{V}_{p\alpha} = V_{\alpha} + V_{p} (E - H + i\epsilon)^{-1} V_{\alpha}$$

which, by using Eq. (3-37), can also be written as

$$Z_{p\alpha} = V_{\alpha} + V_{\beta} \left\{ \left(E - K_{\beta'} + i\epsilon \right)^{-1} \left[V_{\alpha} + V_{\beta'} \left(E - H + i\epsilon \right)^{-1} V_{\alpha} \right] \right\}$$

or

$$\mathcal{T}_{p\alpha} = V_{\alpha} + V_{p} \left(E - K_{p'} + i\epsilon \right)^{-1} \mathcal{T}_{p'\alpha} . \qquad (3-40)$$

Since ρ and ρ' are independent, the operators $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha\alpha}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\beta\alpha}$ can be expressed in terms of a set of coupled integral equations given by (3-41)

$$\mathcal{L}_{\alpha\alpha} = V_{\alpha} + V_{\alpha} (E - K_{\beta} + i\epsilon)^{-1} \mathcal{L}_{\beta\alpha}$$

(3-36)

$$C_{\beta\alpha} = V_{\alpha} + V_{\beta} \left(E - k_{\alpha} + i\epsilon \right)^{-1} C_{\alpha\alpha}$$
(3-42)

The channel operator matrix is given in the unperturbed representation as

$$\mathcal{L}_{px}(\Theta_{p}|\Theta_{x}) = \langle \Theta_{p}|\mathcal{L}_{px}|\Theta_{x} \rangle$$

$$(3-43)$$

and is related to the probability amplitude of the system going into a final configuration ρ and state Θ' from an initial configuration α° and state Θ .

By operating on the initial state, $\Theta(n_x^\circ|X,y)$, with the channel operators, the amplitude density functions, $\mathcal{X}(n_x|X)$ and $\mathcal{X}(n_\beta|y)$, are introduced and expanded in terms of the bound state functions as

$$\mathcal{T}_{a} \Theta(n_a^{\circ}|x,y) = \sum_{n_a} \mathcal{X}(n_a|x) \mathcal{P}(n_a|y)$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}_{\beta\alpha} \Theta(n_{z}|x,y) = \sum_{n_{\beta}} \mathcal{X}(n_{\beta}|y) \mathcal{Q}(n_{\beta}|x)$$

The functions to be determined are the amplitude densities; so Eqs. (3-41) and (3-42) are used to express Eqs. (3-44) and (3-45) as

$$\sum_{n_{\alpha}} \chi(n_{\alpha}|x) \mathcal{Q}(n_{\alpha}|y) = V_{\alpha} \Theta(n_{\alpha}^{\circ}|x,y)$$

$$+ \bigvee_{\alpha} (E - k_{\beta} + i\epsilon)^{-i} \sum_{n_{\beta}} \chi(n_{\beta}|y) \mathcal{Q}(n_{\beta}|x)$$

and

$$\sum_{n_{\beta}} \chi_{(n_{\beta}|y)} \varphi_{(n_{\beta}|x)} = V_{\alpha} \Theta_{(n_{\alpha}|x,y)}$$

$$+ V_{\beta} \left(E - K_{\alpha} + i\epsilon \right)^{-1} \sum_{n_{\alpha}} \chi_{(n_{\alpha}|x)} \varphi_{(n_{\alpha}|y)}.$$
(3-47)

Before integrals can be performed in Eq. (3-47), the integral operator must be obtained which will satisfy the equation

$$(E - K_{x} + \lambda \epsilon)G_{x}(xy_{1}x'y') = \delta(x - x')\delta(y - y').$$

By using the closure relation

(3-49)

$$\sum_{n_{x}} f(n_{x}|y) f(n_{x}|y') = f(y-y')$$

(3-46)

and the fact that

.

$$\frac{2}{\pi}\int dk \sin kx \sin kx' = f(x-x'), \qquad (3-50)$$

one may show that Eq. (3-48) is equivalent to

$$G_{a}(xy|x'y') = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n_{a}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk_{n_{a}} \frac{S_{in}(k_{n_{a}}x) S_{in}(k_{n_{a}}x') \mathcal{A}(n_{a}|y) \mathcal{A}(n_{a}|y')}{E - k_{n_{a}}^{2} - E_{n_{a}} + i\epsilon}.$$

This integral is evaluated in the complex plane to yield

(3-52)

$$G_{a}(Xy|X'y') = -\sum_{n_{a}} \frac{1}{k_{n_{a}}} e^{i k_{n_{a}} X_{s}} S_{iN}(k_{n_{a}}X_{s}) \varphi(n_{a}|y) \varphi(n_{a}|y')$$

where X_{7} , X_{2} are the greater and lesser of X and X'. Since the \propto and β configuration Green functions are symmetric in x and y, $G_{\rho}(xy|x'y')$ is given by

$$(3-53)$$

$$G_{p}(xy)x'y') = -\sum_{n_{p}} \frac{1}{k_{n_{p}}} e^{i k_{n_{p}}y} Sin(k_{n_{p}}y_{c}) \mathcal{P}(n_{p})x) \mathcal{P}(n_{p})x').$$

By multiplying Eqs. (3-46) and (3-47) and by $\mathcal{G}(m_{\mu}|y)$ and $\mathcal{G}(m_{\mu}|y)$ and $\mathcal{G}(m_{\mu}|y)$ and integrating over the appropriate variables, these equations can now be expressed as

$$\chi_{(m_{\alpha}|x)} = Sin(k_{n_{\alpha}}x) \int dy \, \varphi(m_{\alpha}|y) \, V_{\alpha}(x,y) \, \varphi(n_{\alpha} \cdot |y)$$

$$- \sum_{n_{\beta}} \frac{\varphi(n_{\beta}|x)}{k_{n_{\beta}}} \int dy \, \varphi(m_{\alpha}|y) \, V_{\alpha}(x\,y) \int dy \, e^{i \, k_{n_{\beta}}y} \, Sin(k_{n_{\beta}}x) \, \chi_{(n_{\beta}|y')}$$

and

$$\chi_{(m_{p}|y)} = \mathcal{A}(n_{a}|y) \int dx \,\mathcal{A}(m_{p}|x) \,V_{a}(xy) \,Sin(k_{n_{o}}x) \\ -\sum_{n_{a}} \frac{\mathcal{A}(n_{a}|y)}{k_{n_{a}}} \int dx \,\mathcal{A}(m_{p}|x) \,V(xy) \int dx \,e^{i \,k_{n_{a}}x} \,Sin(k_{n_{a}}x_{a}) \,\chi(n_{a}|x)$$

To assist in evaluating the resulting integrals, the following definitons are convenient:

$$V_{mn_o}(x) = \int_{0}^{\infty} dy \ \mathcal{P}(m_{\alpha}|y) V_{\alpha}(x,y) \ \mathcal{P}(n_{\alpha}^{\circ}|y) , \qquad (3-56)$$

$$\mathcal{W}_{mn_o}(y) = \int_{0}^{\infty} dx \ \mathcal{P}(m_{\beta}|x) V_{\alpha}(xy) \ Sin(k_{n_{\alpha}^{\circ}}) , \qquad (3-57)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (3-58) \\ &= \frac{1}{k_{n_{\beta}}} \int dy \, \mathcal{A}(m_{\alpha}|y) V_{\alpha}(xy) \int dy' e^{i k_{n_{\beta}} y} \, Sin(k_{n_{\beta}} y_{\alpha}) \, \mathcal{X}(n_{\beta}|y') \\ & \text{ad} \end{aligned}$$

71

and

$$\beta_{mn_{\alpha}}(y) = \frac{1}{k_{n_{\alpha}}} \int dx \, \hat{\mathcal{P}}(m_{\beta}|x) \, V_{\beta}(xy) \int dx' e^{i \, k_{n_{\alpha}} x_{\gamma}} \, S_{inv}(k_{n_{\alpha}} x_{\gamma}) \, \mathcal{V}(n_{\alpha}|x').$$
(3-59)

Thus Eqs. (3-54) and (3-55) become

$$\chi(m_{a}|x) = \bigvee_{mn_{o}}(x) Sin(k_{n_{a}o}x) - \sum_{n_{\beta}} \alpha_{mn_{\beta}}(x) \varphi(n_{\beta}|x)$$
(3-60)

and

$$\mathcal{X}(m_{ply}) = \mathcal{W}_{mn_{o}}(y)\mathcal{A}(n_{a}|y) - \sum_{n_{a}} \beta_{mn_{a}}(y) \mathcal{A}(n_{a}|y).$$

The integrals given by Eqs. (3-56) through (3-59) are now evaluated by taking advantage of the piecewise constant nature of the $V_{mn_o}(x)$ and $\mathcal{U}_{mn_o}(y)$. Thus,

$$V_{mn_{o}}(x) = \begin{cases} U_{mn_{o}} & o \leq x < l_{z} \\ 0 & x > l_{z} \end{cases}$$
(3-62)

where

(3-63)

(3-66)

$$\mathcal{U}_{mn_o} = \bigvee_{I} \oint_{mn_o} (l_{I,o}) + (\bigvee_{2} - \bigvee_{o}) \oint_{mn_o} (\omega, l_{I})$$

and

$$\Phi_{mn_{o}}(a,b) = \int_{b}^{a} dy \, \mathcal{A}(m_{a}|y) \, \mathcal{A}(n_{a} \cdot |y).$$
(3-64)

Equation (3-57) is similarly evaluated to obtain

$$\mathcal{W}_{mn_{o}}(y) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{W}_{mn_{o}}^{(1)} & (0 \le y \le l_{i}) \\ \mathcal{W}_{mn_{o}}^{(2)} & (l_{i} \le y \le \omega) \end{cases}$$

where

$$\mathcal{W}_{mn_o}^{(1)} = \bigvee_{i} \omega_{mn_o}(l_{z,o})$$

and

$$\mathcal{W}_{mn_{o}}^{(a)} = \left(\bigvee_{2} - \bigvee_{o} \right) \omega_{mn_{o}}(l_{2}, o)$$
(3-67)

with

$$W_{mn_{o}}(a,b) = \int_{b}^{a} dx \, \mathcal{O}(m_{p}|x) \, Sin(k_{n_{a}}x).$$

The quantity
$$\alpha_{mn_{\beta}}^{(x)}$$
 is expressed as
$$(3-69)$$

$$\alpha_{mn_{\beta}}^{(x)}(x) = \begin{cases} \alpha_{mn_{\beta}} & (0 \le x < \lambda_z) \\ 0 & (x > \lambda_z) \end{cases}$$

where

.

$$\alpha_{mn_{\beta}} = \frac{V_{I}}{k_{n_{\beta}}} \mathcal{A}_{mn_{\beta}}(l_{i,o}) + \frac{(V_{2} - V_{o})}{k_{n_{\beta}}} \mathcal{A}_{mn_{\beta}}(\omega, l_{i})$$
(3-70)

and

$$\mathcal{L}_{mnp}(a,b) = \int_{b}^{a} dy \, \mathcal{P}(m_{a}|y) \int_{a}^{\infty} dy' e^{ik_{n_{p}}y_{y}} Sin(k_{n_{p}}y_{s}) \, \chi(n_{p}|y').$$

The quantity $\beta_{mn_a}(y)$ is similarly expressed as

$$\beta_{mn_{a}}(y) = \begin{cases} \beta_{mn_{a}} & (0 \le y \le l_{i}) \\ 0 & (y > l_{i}) \end{cases}$$
(3-72)

where

(3-73)

.

$$\beta_{mn_{d}} = \frac{(V_{i} - V_{2})}{k_{n_{d}}} \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{mn_{d}}(l_{2,0}) - \frac{V_{o}}{k_{n_{d}}} \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{mn_{d}}(\infty, l_{2})$$

$$\widetilde{J}_{mn_{a}}(a,b) = \int_{b}^{a} dx \ \varphi(m_{\beta}|x) \int_{a}^{\infty} dx' e^{i k_{n_{a}} x_{\beta}} S_{iN}(k_{n_{a}} x_{\beta}) \chi(n_{a}|x').$$
Equations (3-71) and (3-74) may be rewritten as
(3-75)

$$\begin{aligned} & \int mn_{\beta} (2, b) \\ &= \int_{b}^{a} dy \, d(m_{a} | y) \Big\{ e^{i \, k_{n_{\beta}} y} \int dy' \, Sim(k_{n_{\beta}} y') \, \chi(n_{\beta} | y') \\ &+ \, Sim(k_{n_{\beta}} y) \int dy' \, e^{i \, k_{n_{\beta}} y'} \, \chi(n_{\beta} | y') \Big\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{J}_{mn_{u}}(a,b) &= \int_{0}^{2} dx \, \mathcal{A}(m_{\beta} | x) \left\{ e^{i k_{n_{u}} x} \int_{0}^{x} dx' \, S_{iN}(k_{n_{u}} x') \, \chi(n_{u} | x') + S_{iN}(k_{n_{u}} x) \int_{x}^{\infty} dx' \, e^{i k_{n_{u}} x'} \, \chi(n_{u} | x') \right\} \end{split}$$

which, upon rearrangement, become

$$\mathcal{A}_{mn}(a,b) = \mathcal{Q}_{mn}(a,b,y)T_n \qquad (3-77)$$

$$+ \int_{b}^{a} dy \,\mathcal{Q}(m_a | y) \int_{y}^{\infty} dy' \, Sim[k_n(y-y')] \,\chi(n|y')$$

$$\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{mn}(a,b) = \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_{mn}(a,b,x) R_n \qquad (3-78)$$

$$+ \int_{b}^{a} dx \widehat{\mathcal{A}}(m_p | x) \int_{x}^{\infty} dx' \operatorname{Sim}[k_n(x-x')] \widehat{\mathcal{X}}(n | x').$$

Here,

$$T_n = \int_{0}^{\infty} dy \chi(n|y) Sin(k_n y), \qquad (3-00)$$

and

$$R_n = \int dx \chi(n|x) Sin(k_n x). \qquad (3-81)$$

In order to further evaluate \varkappa_{mn} and β_{mn} , the functions $\chi(n|y)$ and $\chi(n|x)$ are required. These are given by

$$\chi(n|x) = \begin{cases} S_{iN}(k_{n_0}x) U_{nn_0} - \sum_{n'} \alpha_{nn'} f(n'x) & (0 \le x \le x_2) \\ 0 & (x > x_2) \end{cases}$$

$$\mathcal{X}(n|y) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{W}_{nn_o}^{(i)} \mathcal{P}(n_{\alpha^{\circ}}|y) - \sum_{n'} \beta_{nn'} \mathcal{P}(n'_{1}y) & (0 \le y < k_i) \\ \mathcal{W}_{nn_o}^{(3)} \mathcal{P}(n_{\alpha^{\circ}}|y) & (y > k_i) \end{cases}$$

Equations (3-70) and (3-73) are thus written as

$$\begin{aligned} \propto_{mn} &= \frac{V_{i}}{k_{n}} \left\{ \mathcal{Q}_{mn}(l_{i}, o, y) T_{n} \right\} \\ &+ \frac{V_{i}}{k_{n}} \left\{ \int_{0}^{l} dy \,\mathcal{Q}(m|y) \int_{y}^{\infty} dy' \, Sin[k_{n}(y-y')] \, \chi(n|y') \right\} \\ &+ \frac{(V_{2} - V_{0})}{k_{n}} \left\{ \mathcal{Q}_{mn}(\infty, l_{i}, y) T_{n} \right\} \\ &+ \frac{(V_{2} - V_{0})}{k_{n}} \left\{ \int_{l_{i}}^{\infty} dy \,\mathcal{Q}(m|y) \int_{y}^{\infty} dy' \, Sin[k_{n}(y-y')] \, \chi(n|y') \right\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\beta_{mn} = \frac{(V_{i} - V_{2})}{k_{n}} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} Q_{mn}(l_{i}, o, x) R_{n} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$+ \frac{(V_{i} - V_{2})}{k_{n}} \left\{ \int_{0}^{l} dx \ \varphi(m|x) \int_{X}^{\infty} dx' \ Sin[k_{n}(x-x')] \lambda(n|x') \right\}$$

$$- \frac{V_{o}}{k_{n}} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} Q_{mn}(\infty, \lambda_{z}, x) R_{n} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$- \frac{V_{o}}{k_{n}} \left\{ \int_{l_{z}}^{\infty} dx \ \varphi(m|x) \int_{X}^{\infty} dx' \ Sin[k_{n}(x-x')] \lambda(n|x') \right\},$$

and can now be evaluated to yield

$$\begin{aligned} \propto_{mn} &= \frac{1}{k_{n}} \left\{ V_{i} \, \varphi_{mn}(l_{i}, o, y) + (V_{z} - V_{o}) \, \varphi_{mn}(\omega, l_{i}, y) \right\} T_{n} \\ &+ \frac{V_{i}}{k_{n}} \left\{ \mathcal{W}_{mn_{o}}^{(1)} \, Z_{mnn_{o}}(l_{i}, o; l_{i}, y) + \mathcal{W}_{mn_{o}}^{(2)} \, Z_{mnn_{o}}(l_{i}, o; \omega, l_{i}) \right\} \\ &- \frac{V_{i}}{k_{n}} \left\{ \sum_{n'_{a}} \beta_{n} \, n'_{a} \, Z_{mnn'_{a}}(l_{i}, o; l_{i}, y) \right\} \\ &- \frac{(V_{2} - V_{o})}{k_{n}} \, \mathcal{W}_{mn_{o}}^{(2)} \, Z_{mnn_{o}}(\omega, l_{i}; \omega, l_{i}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\beta_{mn} = \frac{(V_{i} - V_{2})}{k_{n}} \left\{ Q_{mn}(l_{2}, o, x) R_{n} + U_{mn} \int_{mnm_{0}} (l_{2}, o; l_{2}, x) \right\}$$

$$- \frac{(V_{i} - V_{2})}{k_{n}} \sum_{n'_{\ell}} \alpha_{nn'_{\beta}} Z_{mnn'_{\beta}}(l_{2}, o; l_{2}, x)$$

$$- \frac{V_{0}}{k_{n}} Q_{mn}(\omega, l_{2}; l_{2}, x) R_{n}$$
(3-87)

.

where

(3-88)

$$Z_{mnn_{o}}(a,b;c,d) = \int_{b}^{a} dq \, \mathcal{P}(m|q) \int_{d} dq' S_{in}[k_{n}(q-q')] \mathcal{P}(n_{o}|q')$$

and

(3-89)

$$\int_{mnn}^{a} (a,b;c,d) = \int_{b}^{a} dg \mathcal{P}(m1g) \int_{a}^{b} dg' Sin[k_{n}(g-g')] Sin(k_{n}g')$$

with q being x or y.

Expressions for the values of the reaction or transmission coefficient, T_n , and the reflection coefficient, R_n , given in Eqs. (3-80) and (3-81) are now obtained by multiplying Eqs. (3-59) and (3-60) by the appropriate sine function and integrating; i.e., the equations

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} dx \chi(m_{x} Ix) S_{iN}(k_{m} x) = \int_{0}^{\infty} dx V_{mn_{o}}(x) S_{iN}(k_{n} x) S_{iN}(k_{m} x) - \sum_{n_{\beta}} \int_{0}^{\infty} dx \propto_{mn_{\beta}} d(n_{\beta} Ix) S_{iN}(k_{m} x)$$
(3-90)

and

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} dy \chi(m_{\beta}(y)) Sin(k_{m}(y)) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathcal{W}_{mn_{o}}(y) \mathcal{Q}(n_{o}(y)) Sin(k_{m}(y)) dy$$
$$-\sum_{n_{o}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \beta_{mn_{o}}(y) \mathcal{Q}(n_{o}(y)) Sin(k_{m}(y)) dy$$

become

(3-92)

$$R_{m_{\alpha}} = \mathcal{V}_{mn_{o}} S_{n_{o}m}(l_{2}, o) - \sum_{n_{\beta}} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}_{mn_{\beta}} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{W}}_{n_{\beta}m}(l_{2}, o)$$

and

$$T_{m_{\beta}} = \mathcal{W}_{mn_{o}}^{(1)} w_{n_{o}m}(l_{i,0}) + \mathcal{W}_{mn_{o}}^{(2)} w_{n_{o}m}(l_{i,0}) - \sum_{n_{a}} \beta_{nn_{a}} w_{n_{a}m}(l_{i,0})$$
(3-93)

.

respectively, where

$$S_{n_{o}m}(k_{2,0}) = \int_{0}^{k_{2}} dX S_{i\lambda e}(k_{n_{o}}X) S_{i\lambda}(k_{m}X).$$
(3-94)

The probability amplitudes for reaction and reflection, T_m and R_m respectively, can now be calculated by solving simultaneously the algebraic Eqs. (3-86), (3-87), (3-92) and (3-93) for \ll_{mn} , β_{mn} , R_n , and T_m .

In appendix A the probability amplitudes ${\rm T}_{\rm n}$ and ${\rm R}_{\rm n}$ are shown to be related to the transition probability, P, by

$$P_{n_{o} \to n_{o}}(R) = |1 - 2iR_{n_{o}}/k_{n_{o}}|^{2}$$
(3-95)

and

$$P_{n_{o} \to n}^{(R)} = 4 |R_{n}|^{2} |k_{n_{o}}k_{n}|^{(n \neq n_{o})}$$

for the nonreactive probabilities and by

$$P_{n_o \rightarrow n} = 4 |T_n|^2 k_{n_o} k_n$$
(3-97)

for the reactive probabilities.

E. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

1. Descriptive Models

The reactive collision indicated by

(3-98) Не + Н₂⁺ ---> НеН⁺ + Н

will be qualitatively discussed from two different view points in order to seek additional insight into the scattering process and possibly construct a "physical picture". The first treatment considers the possibility of viewing the reaction probability as a product of the relevant reflection and transmission coefficients.

To illustrate this approach it is convenient to recall that the full potential energy surface (Fig. 4) is represented by



where, in the \propto configuration, the X motion on the energy surface is associated with the He atom and the y motion with the oscillating H The motion of the reactant particles in Eq. (3-98) can therefore atom. be represented on the energy surface by defining the position of the incoming He atom as X and the H atom position as y. The product particle motion is similarly given where x now defines the position of the oscillating atom associated with HeH^+ and y is the position of the free H atom. Since this problem is mathematically equivalent (except for non identical masses) to the motion of a single two dimensional particle moving in the x-y plane, the positions, x and y, of the two one dimensional particles can be viewed as the x and y components of a single two dimensional particle which moves in configuration space. The motion of the single point in configuration space which corresponds to the reaction given in Eq. (3-98) is a superposition of the motion of the two one dimensional particles displayed on the two dimensional plane. Thus the incoming point particle would be translated along the x coordinate in the direction of the origin and would oscillate in the y direction approximately within the region defined by $(0 \le q \le l + \epsilon)$ where ϵ is the usual small number greater than zero. For some reactions, the point particle passes directly into the -0.2 e.v. "interaction region" defined by $\begin{cases} 0 \le y \le R_1 \\ 0 \le x \le R_2 \end{cases}$ and exits along the y coordinate with oscillatory motion now in the x direction. This path is refered to as the classical route. In other reactions, the point particle follows a nonclassical path in configura-

82

tion space which "cuts the corner" from the entrance channel into the exit channel such that the "interaction region" is never entered. For such "corner cutting" reactions, the point particle exhibits two dimensional tunneling. If the exchange reaction did not occur, the point particle could be reflected from the "interaction region" or a nonclassical region such that the direction of translational motion along the x coordinate would be reversed, i.e., away from the origin.

Thus, when the incoming He atom is not in the asymptotic region but is approaching the position l_2 on the x coordinate, the potential energy surface felt by the He atom is a function of the position y of the H atom. The converse is also true; i.e., the energy surface for the oscillating H atom depends on the position x of He. One can see from the full potential energy surface that when the position y of the H atom is such that ($O \leq y < l_1$), the potential energy surface, V_{\leq} (x) [the symbol < indicates the condition y < l_1], seen by the He atom is given by



83

However, when the H atom penetrates into classically forbidden regions defined by

$$y = \lambda_1 + \epsilon \quad (\epsilon > 0),$$

the He atom feels a potential energy surface V> (x) (i.e., $y > x^{(1)}$) as indicated by



The potential energy surface $V_{>}(y)$ for the oscillating H_2^+ system is given by

(3-99)



where the position x of He satisfies the condition $X > l_z$. When the position x of He is within the region ($0 \le X < l_z$), the H_2^+ system feels a potential energy surface V < (y) given by



The reaction given by Eq. (3-98) is now analyzed where the relative position of the oscillating H atom is considered to be, for case A, in a nonclassical $(y > \frac{y}{h})$ region of the potential energy surface, or for case B, in the classical (y $< \lambda_i$) region of the surface. In both cases, A and B, the incoming He atoms are classified according to their relative transmission and reflection probabilities. Recall, however, that for H atom case A($y > l_1$), the potential energy surface for He is $V_{>}(x)$, whereas it is $V_{<}(x)$ for case B H atoms. The impinging He atoms are classified for each surface as (I) those which are intially reflected at x= l_2 by the discontinuity in the potential, (II) those which are not initially reflected at $x = k_2$ but maintain a localization within the region ($O \leq X \leq l_z + \epsilon'$); this phenonmen could be considered as a type of standing wave or resonance, and (III) those which penetrate the initial discontinuity at $x = \lambda_{p}$, but compared to class II, the class III atoms remains only briefly within the region defined by ($O \leq X \leq l_z + \epsilon'$); i.e., class III atoms could rebound several times between the positions x=o and x= $\mathcal{X}_2^{}$ before being transmitted back into the $x > \beta$ region.

In case A, the H atom position is given by Eq. (3-99) and the potential energy surface seen by the incoming He atom is V > (x). The class I He gives rise to purely nonreactive scattering. Helium atoms of class II would enter the potential well over the region defined by $(O \leq x \leq l_2 + \epsilon')$ and exhibit standing wave or resonance properties. Therefore, the energy surface most likely to be seen by the nonclassical

H atom is $V_{<}(y)$, and it follows that impinging class II He atoms render the system reactive. Helium atoms classified as III can also lead to reaction since their effective position is that of II until the atoms are transmitted back into the $x > Q_2$ region. After this event, H atoms see the $V_{>}(y)$ surface and reaction is no longer possible.

In case A, collision the incident He atom is required to travel through classically forbidden regions in order to reach the well on the $V_>(x)$ surface. Since this same well binds the product oscillator, HeH⁺, and the wave function for such system would extend beyond the classical turning point (x= \Re_2), it is suggested that wave functions of the class II He atoms "join on smoothly" to the HeH⁺ wave functions in the nonclassical regions and that the class I and class III wave functions do not.

This case A H atom example is convenient for illustrating the "corner cutting" effect, using the point particle in configuration space picture. The H atom [Eq. (3-99)] position is $y = l_1 + \epsilon$. If the incoming He atom were located by $x = l_2 + \epsilon'$ and $\epsilon \gg \epsilon'$, it follows that the two dimensional point particle could pass into the exit channel (parallel to the y axis) from the entrance channel (parallel to the x axis) without ever entering the interaction region. This is possible since the particle motion in configuration space follows a path defined by the positions x and y of the two one dimensional particles.

87

In case B for the H atom, the position y is in the classical region and is given by

$$y = l_i - f \tag{3-100}$$

where

$$E \leq S \leq l_1 \quad (E > 0).$$
 (3-101)

The energy surface seen by the incoming He atoms (of classifications I, II, and III) is $V_{\zeta}(x)$. Although the discontinnuity at $x = l_2$ is smaller on the energy surface $V_{\zeta}(x)$ than that on $V_{>}(x)$, incoming He atoms of class I are still nonreactive due to reflection at the initial discontinuity, $x = l_2$. Helium atoms classified as II are required to exhibit some type of "localization" behavior within the region defined by ($O \leq x \leq l_2 + \epsilon'$) on the $V_{\zeta}(x)$ surface. When the He atom is in this region, the H atom potential energy is such that reaction readily occurs. Atoms of class III can also be reactive provided the H atoms are not easily reflected by the $V_{\zeta}(y)$ potential which they see when the class III He atoms are in the interaction region.

It is noted that the well depth for this problem is 0.2 e.v., whereas the energy difference between the top and bottom of the well of $V_{>}(x)$ for the same range of x is 7.154 e.v. At the kinetic energies considered for the incoming He atoms (i.e., 0-2.5 e.v.), it would appear that the probability of localizing an incoming atom would be greater on the V_> (x) surface than on the V_< (x) energy surface. This, of course, implies that if the probability is increased for H atoms to penetrate into the classically forbidden regions, then the probability of yielding exchanged products per incident He atom is enhanced if such He atoms can penetrate into the $x < l_2$ region.

Since the energy surfaces are functions of particle positions, the model surfaces actually felt by the two atoms change frequently, particularly for the He atom. It can be argued that for the lower energy states, the oscillating H atoms are of case B most of the time; so the incoming He sees the $V_{\leq}(x)$ surface the same percentage of the time. On this basis it seems reasonable to believe that the majority of exchange reactions occur with class III He - case B H atoms since relatively few class II He atoms would be expected due to the shallowness of the well and the kinetic energy range of He.

We can summarize He-H⁺₂ collisions as follows: (a) for reaction, the incident He atom must be able to reach into region i ($O \leq X < A_Z$) from region ii ($X > A_Z$) on either the surface $V_{\leq}(x)$ or $V_{>}(x)$, (b) for reaction, the energy of the reacting H atom must be sufficient to allow transmission from region i ($O \leq Y \leq A_1$), on the $V_{\leq}(y)$ surface, into region ii ($y > A_Z$), (c) the He atom in region i($O \leq X < A_Z$) of $V_{\leq}(x)$ can be transmitted into region ii ($x > A_Z$), or reflected back into region i; He atoms in region i ($O \leq X < A_Z$) of $V_{>}(x)$ are bound, and (d) H atoms are bound by $V_{>}(y)$. Statements (a), (b), and (c) can be used to express the probability of exchanged products per collision as

$$P = \left(\begin{array}{c} T_{\mu e} \\ I_{\mu e} \\ i i \rightarrow i \end{array} \right) \left[\begin{array}{c} T_{\mu} \\ T_{\mu} \\ i \rightarrow i i \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{c} R_{\mu e} \\ i \rightarrow i \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{c} R_{\mu e} \\ i \rightarrow i \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{c} R_{\mu e} \\ i \rightarrow i \end{array} \right]$$
(3-102)

where $T_{\text{He}_{i,i \to i}}(E)$ is the transmission coefficient associated with the $x = l_{z}$ discontinuity for the incident He atom on the surface $V_{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}(x)$ or $V_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}(x)$, $T_{\text{H}}(E)$ is the transmission coefficient for the reacting H atom at the discontinuity $y = l_{1}$ on the $V_{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}(y)$ surface, and $R_{\text{He}_{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}}(E)$ is the transmission coefficient at $x = l_{z}$ for the He atom within region i of $V_{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}(x)$.

It is noted, however, that a H atom transition from region $i(o \le y \le l_i)$ into region $ii(y > l_i)$ does not necessarily require a reflection of the incident He atom at x = o nor does it require a reflection at $x = l_1$ of the He atom which has been reflected at x = o; i.e., the H atom transition could occur before the incident He atom is able to encounter the discontinuity at x = o or before the rebounded atom can reach the discontinuity at $x = l_2$. In either case, the barrier at $x = l_2$ would become 7.154 e.v., and the HeH⁺ system would become bound without employing the reflection term in Eq. (3-102). If such initial conditions existed (e.g., high energy He atoms), the contribution to the reaction probability from the term $R_{\mu_c}^{(c)}$ in Eq. (3-102) would be

small compared to the transmission coefficients.

We now develop expressions for the coefficients in the product of Eq. (3-102) for class III-case B. The transmission coefficient, T_{H} for the H atom is obtained first where, for convenience, the V_{ζ}(y) surface is now represented as





$$\Psi_i = A e^{iky} + B e^{-iky}$$

where

(3-104)

$$k^{2} = \frac{2\mu_{2}(E_{v}+.2e_{v})}{\hbar^{2}}$$

and E_v is the internal energy of the oscillator before entering the 0.2 e.v. well. At $y = -l_1$, the boundary conditions is generally

(3-105)
$$\Psi_{i} = A e^{-i k l_{i}} + B e^{+i k l_{i}} = 0,$$

but for the present problem, this condition is not employed. Since the emitted H atoms travel only in the positive y direction, the solution to Schrödinger's equation in region ii is given by

(3-106)

where

.

$$K^{2} = \frac{2\mu_{2}(E - 1.044 \text{ e.v.})}{\hbar^{2}}$$
 (3-107)

The boundary conditions at y = o require that

(3-108)

A + B = C

and

$$ik(A-B) = iRC$$
. (3-709)

The relative amplitude of the transmitted wave, C of Eq. (3-106), is expressed in terms of the amplitude of the incident wave, A, by the equation

$$C = \frac{2kk}{\mathcal{K}+k} . \tag{3-110}$$

The formula

$$J = \frac{e\pi}{2mi} \left[\mathcal{Y}^* \nabla \mathcal{Y} - \mathcal{Y} \nabla \mathcal{Y}^* \right] \qquad (3-111)$$

is then used to obtain the relative current densities, J_T for the transmitted waves and J_I for the incident waves, and the transmission coefficient is given by

$$T_{\text{H}} = \frac{J_{\text{T}}}{J_{\text{T}}} = \frac{4 k \mathcal{R}}{(\mathcal{R} + k)^2}$$
(3-112)

where the kinetic energy, E, of the incident H atom must be such that is $E \ge 1.046$ e.v. Since the energy of the ground and first vibrational state of H_2^+ is less than 1.046 e.v., the product H atoms from these initial sates must acquire sufficient energy for transmission by an energy transfer collision. The kinetic energy E of the H atom in the second excited state is given by (in region i)

$$(3-113) = E_{v} + \cdot \lambda = 1.22 + \cdot \lambda$$

= 1.42 e.v.,

and the corresponding transmission coefficient is obtained from Eq. (3-112) as

E

$$T_{H} = \frac{4 \sqrt{1.42} \sqrt{1.42 - 1.046}}{(\sqrt{1.42} + \sqrt{1.42 - 1.046})^{2}}$$

= 0.897

which suggests that the initial H_2^+ states of higher vibrational energies are more likely to react unless there is a very high probability of energy transfer between He and H atoms in the collision so that nonreactive inelastic collisions dominate.

The energy surface $V_{\leq}(x)$ for obtaining the transmission coefficients for the He atom can be represented by





95

coefficient, given as

$$\Psi_{i} = c e^{-i \partial \ell x} \qquad (3-115)$$

for region i and as

(3-116)
$$\Psi_{ii} = a e^{-8x} + b e^{+8x}$$

in region ii. The wave numbers are defined by

(3-117)

$$\mathcal{H}^2 = \frac{2\mu_1(E + .2e.v.)}{\hbar^2}$$

and

$$g^{2} = \frac{2\mu, E}{\hbar^{2}}$$
 (3-118)

where \mathbf{E} is the kinetic energy of the He atom in region ii. From the boundary conditions at x = o given by

(3-119)a + b = c

and

$$-ig(a-b) = -iHc, \qquad (3-120)$$

the relationship

(3-121)

$$b = \left[\frac{g - \mathcal{H}}{g + \mathcal{H}}\right] a$$

can be obtained. By using Eq. (3-111), the reflection coefficient, " R, can be given as $i_{j \rightarrow j j j}$ (3-122)

$$R_{\mu_{e}} = \frac{J_{R}}{J_{r}} = \left[\frac{g-H}{g+H}\right]^{2},$$

and the transmission coefficient is expressed as

(3-123)

$$T_{He} = 1 - R$$

However, the reflection coefficient, R $_{H_{e}}$, is obtained by representing the V $_{\zeta}(x)$ surface as


where the wave functions are given by

$$\Psi_i = a' e^{+i \theta x} + b' e^{-i \pi x}$$
(3-124)

and

$$\Psi_{ii} = c' e^{i \mathbf{i} \mathbf{i} \mathbf{X}} . \qquad (3-125)$$

Since this form is the same as that employed to obtain the transmission coefficient of H [Eq. (3-112)], the reflection coefficient is given by (3-126)

$$R_{He} = 1 - \frac{4 \partial f g}{(\partial f + g)^2} .$$

The graphs of the calculated reaction probabilities show considerable structure. In terms of this model, the reaction conditions for the lower energy states of H_2^+ are H atom case B-class III He. Although the energy exchange required for reaction of the ground and first vibrational state is not explicitly included by this model, the reaction probability curves can be viewed as products of the transmission coefficients, $T_{H,i\rightarrow i,i}$ for H and T_{He} for He, and the reflection coefficient R_{He} of He. At the higher kinetic energy required of He to react with the ground and first excited state of H_2^+ , the coefficient, T_{He} , is $r_{i\rightarrow i,i}$ effectively equal to one; however, the low kinetic energy He reactions

10 3051

involving the second and third excited states of H_2^+ indicate that $T_{He_{j,j \to i}}$ is less than one. The plot (Fig. 1]) of total reaction probabilities versus kinetic energy of He can be used to illustrate the $T_{He_{j,i \to i}} < 1$ behavior. The curve for the second excited state of H_2^+ peaks quickly, turns downward to a minimum, and rises sharply to a maximum. The initial peak which drops to a minimum can be explained by the case B H-class III He mechanism which assumes that $T_{H_{j \to ii}}$ remains constant. The transmission and reflection coefficients, T_{He} and R_{He} , as a function of kinetic energy can be graphically represented as



The product $R_{He} \propto T_{He}$ is then given by the solid line as



which is the form of the initial total reaction probability peak for the second excited state of H_2^{+} . The dashed line represents the sharp increase observed in reaction probability and can be explained as case A H-class II He effects. Such behavior (i.e., the dip) was not observed for the third excited state of H_2^{+} due to contributions from the class II and III He-case A H atoms. The increase in probabilities which occurs immediately after the opening of a new reactive channel can be attributed to a "smooth joining" of the He atom scattering wave function to the wave functions of the product oscillator. This corresponds to "resonant" type reaction associated with class II He-case A H atom collisions.

We now discuss an alternative approach for treating the reactive system [(Eq. (3-38)]. This discussion employs a noninteracting state $|\dot{x}\rangle$ to describe the initial system as that of free He-bound H atom (as H₂⁺), whereas $\langle f \rangle$ is the noninteracting final state for the bound He atom (as HeH⁺)- free H atom system. The full potential energy surface V(xy) is decomposed into a form given by

$$V(xy) = V(x) + V(y) + \Delta V(xy) = V(x) + V(y) + \Delta V(xy)$$
(3-127)

which enables one to write the full Hamiltonian H as

$$H = H_{o}^{i} + \Delta V(xy) = H_{o}^{f} + \Delta V(xy).$$
(3-128)

The states $|\downarrow\rangle$ and $|f\rangle$ are constructed to satisfy the equations

$$H_{o}^{i}|i\rangle = \epsilon_{i}|i\rangle$$

and

10 202)

$$H_{t}^{f}|f\rangle = \epsilon_{f}|f\rangle$$

and the first order transition rate, $\mathcal{H}_{j\neq}^{m}$, is then expressed by Fermi's Golden Rule.⁴⁹ as

$$\mathcal{W}_{if}^{(0)} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} \left| \langle f | \Delta V | i \rangle \right|^2 \delta(\epsilon_f - \epsilon_i)$$
(3-131)

where $\Delta V(xy)$ is a perturbation and the \mathcal{J} -function ensures energy conservation. The perturbation, $\Delta V(xy)$, is the portion of the full potential energy surface which is responsible for reaction. Thus, in the state $|\mathcal{L}\rangle$, the system is nonreactive, translational motion of the free He atom is measured by the x coordinate, and the displacement from the origin of the oscillating H atom is measured by y. In the state $|\mathcal{L}\rangle$, the free nonreactive H atom is allowed translational motion along the y coordinate, whereas the bound He atom oscillates in the x direction without reacting. The full potential energy surface may be decomposed as

•

 $= \begin{cases} (0 \le y < l_1) \\ (0 \le y < l_2) \end{cases}$

The H_2^+ oscillator potential can be represented as



In order to obtain the initial state $|i\rangle$ of Eq. (3-131), it is necessary to solve the Schrödinger equation

$$H_{o}^{*} \Psi_{i}(x_{y}) = E_{i} \Psi_{i}(x_{y})$$
 (3-135)

The Hamiltonian Hoⁱ is related to the full Hamiltonian by Eq. (3-128) and H_o^i is given by (3-136)

$$H_{o}^{\star} = T_{H_{2}^{+}} T_{H_{e}} + V(x) + V(y)$$

and $T_{H_2}^{+}$ and $T_{H_e}^{-}$ are the kinetic energy operators of the H and He atoms respectively. By letting

$$(3-137)$$

 $\Psi_{i}(xy) = Z(x) \varphi(y),$

Eq. (3-135) is separable and can be expressed as

$$-\frac{1}{\zeta_{i}^{2}\mathcal{Z}_{n_{i}}} \xrightarrow{2}{\zeta_{n_{i}}} + V(x) = -\left\{-\frac{1}{q_{n_{i}}}\frac{\partial^{2}q_{n_{i}}}{\partial \mu_{2}\partial y^{2}} \quad V(y) - E_{n_{i}}\right\} = \mathcal{H}_{n_{i}}^{2}$$
(3-138)

where units are chosen such that \hbar equals one. The solutions φ (y) are given by

(3-139)

$$\mathcal{A}_{n_i}(y) = \alpha Sin(K_{n_i}y) \quad (0 \leq y \leq l_i)$$

and

$$q_{ni}(y) = b e^{-\lambda_{ni} y} \quad (y > l_i)$$

where

$$\mathcal{K}_{n_i}^2 = \lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2} \left[\mathbb{E}_{n_i} - \mathcal{H}_{n_i}^2 \right]$$
(3-141)

and

$$\lambda_{n_i}^2 = \mathcal{K}_{n_i}^2 - 2\mu_z \, V_{(y)}^i \, . \tag{3-142}$$

However, in order to simplify this calculation, we make the approximation that the wave function φ vanishes at $y = \lambda_1$; therefore,

$$\mathcal{K}_{n_{i}} = \left\{ 2 \mathcal{H}_{z} \left[E_{n_{i}} - \mathcal{H}_{n_{i}}^{z} \right] \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{\eta_{i} \pi}{\mathcal{R}_{i}} \quad (\eta_{i} = 1, 2, 3, \cdots)$$
(3-143)

and

$$\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{ni}(y) = \alpha Sin\left(\frac{n_i \pi}{\lambda_1} y\right) \quad (0 \le y < \lambda_1).$$

The x dependent solutions of Eq. (3-138) are given as

(3-145)

$$\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{n_i}^{(x)} = A_{n_i} e^{i \phi_{n_i} X} + B_{n_i} e^{-i \phi_{n_i} X} (x > l_z)$$

and

(3-146)

(3-147)

(3-148)

$$\overline{Z}_{ni}(x) = C_{ni} Sin(\mathcal{U}_{ni}x) \quad (0 \le x < l_2)$$

where

 $\mathcal{U}_{ni}^{2} = 2\mu_{i} \left[\mathcal{U}_{ni}^{2} - \sqrt{(x)} \right]$

and

$$\phi_{ni}^{2} = 2\mu_{i} \mathcal{H}_{ni}^{2}.$$

The conditions on Eqs. (3-145) and (3-146) at $x = \chi_2^2$ are that (3-149)

$$A_{ni}e^{i\phi_{ni}l_{2}}+B_{ni}e^{-i\phi_{ni}l_{2}}=C_{ni}S_{iN}(2l_{ni}l_{2})$$

and

$$i \phi_{ni} \left[A_{ni} e^{i \phi_{ni} l_{z}} - B_{ni} e^{-i \phi_{ni} l_{z}} \right] = \left\{ C_{ni} C_{os}(2l_{ni} l_{z}) \right\} l_{ni}$$

The constant $C_{\pi i}$ can be determined in terms of $B_{\pi i}$, and the He atom wave function in the region ($o \leq x < l_z$) is expressed as (3-151)

$$\overline{\mathcal{J}}_{mi}^{(x)} = \left\{ \frac{2 \, \phi_{ni}}{\phi_{ni} \, SiN(2l_{ni} \, l_z) + i \, 2l_{ni} \, Cos(2l_{ni} \, l_z)} e^{-i \phi_{ni} l_z} SiN(2l_{ni} \chi) \right\}$$

where the constant \mathcal{B}_{ni} in Eq. (3-145) has been assigned the value of one. The normalization constant, q, of Eq. (3-144) is given by

$$\alpha = \left[\frac{2}{R_1}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \qquad (3-152)$$

and the initial state $|\lambda\rangle$ can now be written as

$$(3-153)$$

$$|\lambda\rangle = \sqrt{\frac{2}{l_1}} \left[\frac{2\phi_{ni}}{\phi_{ni}\operatorname{Sin}(2l_{ni}l_2) + \lambda 2l_{nL}\operatorname{Cos}(2l_{ni}l_2)} \right] e^{-\lambda\phi_{ni}l_2} \operatorname{Sin}(\frac{n_1\pi y}{q_1}) \operatorname{Sin}(2l_{ni}\chi).$$

The state $\langle f|$ is constructed such that y denotes the displacement of the free H atom (of mass \mathcal{M}_2) and the position of the oscillating He atom (of mass \mathcal{M}_1) is designated by x. The complete potential energy surface of Fig. 4 is decomposed into the components V(x), V(y), and $\Delta V(xy)$ as



where

$$(3-154)$$

$$V_{(X)}^{f} = \begin{cases} \infty & (X < 0) \\ \cdot 846 e.V. & (0 \le X < l_z) \\ 8.0 e.V. & (X > l_z) \end{cases}$$

and the energy surface containing the well for the HeH^+ oscillator can be represented by





(3-155)

$$V_{(y)}^{f} \begin{cases} \infty & (y < 0) \\ -8.0 \ e, V. & (0 \le y < \lambda_{1}) \\ 0 & (y > \lambda_{1}) \end{cases}$$

107

and the energy surface seen by the free H atom appears as

V_(y)



 $H_o^f = T_H + T_{H_eH^+} + V_{(x)}^f + V_{(y)}^f$

where T_{H} and T_{HeH}^{+} are the kinetic energy operators for the free H atom and the HeH⁺ oscillator. The solutions $\Psi_{f}(xy)$ of the Schrödinger equation

(3-157)

$$H_{o}^{f} \Psi_{f}(xy) = E_{n_{f}} \Psi_{f}(xy)$$

are now required in order to obtain the state $\langle f \rangle$. Equation (3-157) can be written in separable form as

$$-\frac{1}{\overline{s}_{n_{f}}}\frac{\partial^{z}\overline{\xi}_{n_{f}}}{\partial\mu_{2}\partial y^{z}} + V_{(y)}^{f} = -\left\{-\frac{1}{\overline{f}_{n_{f}}}\frac{\partial^{z}\overline{q}_{n_{f}}}{\partial\mu_{2}} V_{(x)}^{f} - E_{n_{f}}\right\} = \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{n_{f}}^{z}$$

$$(3-158)$$

and the solutions to the oscillator problem are given, as before, by

$$\varphi_{nf}(x) = \mathbb{D} Sin\left(\frac{n_f \pi}{l_z} X\right) \quad (0 \le x < l_z)$$
(3-159)

where

$$\mathcal{K}_{nf} = \left\{ 2\mu_{i} \left(E_{nf} - \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{nf}^{2} - .844 e.V. \right) \right\}_{iz}^{iz} = \frac{n_{f}\pi}{l_{z}}$$

$$(\mathcal{M}_{f} = l_{i} z_{i} z_{i}, ...)$$

and

-

$$\mathbb{D} = \left(\frac{2}{k_z}\right)^{k_2}.$$
(3-161)

The free H atom solutions from Eq. (3-157) are given by

(3-162)

$$\tilde{\Xi}_{nf}(y) = A_{nf} e^{\lambda \tilde{\phi}_{nf}y} + B_{nf} e^{-\lambda \tilde{\phi}_{nf}y} \quad (y > \lambda)$$

and

•

(3-163)

$$\tilde{Z}_{nf}(y) = C_{nf} Sin(\Theta_{nf}y) \qquad (0 \le y < l_i)$$

,

where

$$\tilde{\phi}_{n_{\rm f}}^{2} = 2\mu_{z} \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{n_{\rm f}}^{2}$$
(3-164)

and

$$\Theta_{n_{s}}^{2} \equiv 2\mu_{2} \left[\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{n_{s}}^{2} + 8.0 \ e.V. \right]$$
 (3-165)

By requiring the continuity conditions on $\vec{\xi}_{nf}(y)$ at $y = \ell_1$, the constant C_{nf} in Eq. (3-163) can be given in terms of B_{nf} , of Eq. (3-162), as

$$C_{nf} = \left[\frac{2\vec{\phi}_{nf}}{\hat{\phi}_{nf}} \int_{in} (\Theta_{nf}l_i) + i \Theta_{nf} C_{os}(\Theta_{nf}l_i)\right] e^{-i\vec{\phi}_{nf}l_i}, \qquad (3-166)$$

and for the region ($0 \le y < l_i$), the wave function $\exists_{n_f}(y)$ is given by

$$\vec{z}_{n_{f}}(y) = \left[\frac{2 \vec{\phi}_{n_{f}}}{\hat{\phi}_{n_{f}} S_{iN}(\theta_{n_{f}} \lambda_{i}) + i \theta_{n_{f}} C_{os}(\theta_{n_{f}} \lambda_{i})}\right] e^{-i \vec{\phi}_{n_{f}} \lambda_{i}} S_{iN}(\theta_{n_{f}} y)$$
(3-167)

The final state < fl is thus given as

$$\begin{aligned} \left< f \right| = \\ \left\{ \frac{2}{\left| \frac{2}{R_{z}} \left[\frac{2}{\tilde{\phi}_{nt}} \frac{2}{S_{in}(\Theta_{nf},\tilde{k}, l) + \lambda} \frac{2}{\Theta_{nf}} \frac{2}{G_{os}(\Theta_{nf},\tilde{k}_{l})} \right] e^{-\lambda} \tilde{\phi}_{nf}^{-1} \frac{1}{S_{in}(\frac{n_{tT}}{R_{z}})} \frac{1}{S_{in}(\Theta_{nf},\tilde{k}_{l})} \frac{\lambda}{S_{in}(\Theta_{nf},\tilde{k}_{l})} \right\}^{*} \\ Equation (3-131), now given by \\ \left| \frac{2}{\beta_{1}} \frac{2}{\beta_{2}} \frac{1}{\left| \frac{1}{\beta_{1}} \frac{1}{\beta_{z}} \left(\frac{2}{\tilde{\phi}_{nf}} \frac{\lambda}{S_{in}(\Theta_{nf},\tilde{k}_{l}) - \lambda} \frac{2}{\Theta_{nf}} \frac{1}{G_{os}(\Theta_{nf},\tilde{k}_{l})} \right)} \right| e^{\lambda} \left(\frac{1}{\tilde{\phi}_{nf}} \frac{1}{\beta_{1}} - \frac{1}{\tilde{\phi}_{nf}} \frac{1}{\beta_{in}(\Theta_{nf},\tilde{k}_{l}) - \lambda} \frac{1}{\Theta_{nf}} \frac{1}{G_{os}(\Theta_{nf},\tilde{k}_{l})} \right)} \\ \left| \frac{1}{\beta_{1}} \frac{2}{\beta_{2}} \left(\frac{1}{\tilde{\phi}_{nf}} \frac{1}{S_{in}(\Theta_{nf},\tilde{k}_{l}) - \lambda} \frac{1}{\Theta_{nf}} \frac{1}{G_{os}(\Theta_{nf},\tilde{k}_{l})} \right) \right| \frac{1}{\delta_{2}} \left| \frac{1}{\delta_{2}} \frac{$$

can be employed to obtain first order perturbation theoretic calculations of the transition rates from the various vibrational states of $| \downarrow \rangle$ into the vibrational states of $\langle f | .$

Note that in both states, $|i\rangle$ and $\langle f_1 \rangle \Delta V(xy)$ can be associated with the He- H interaction and that the attractive potentials of H-H⁺ and He - H⁺ are represented respectively by V(y) and V(x). The state $\langle f |$ is thus obtained from the state $|i\rangle$ by allowing the free He atom to interact with the bound H atom through the perturbation $\Delta V(xy)$. The bound H atom must acquire sufficient energy to become free at the expense of an energy loss for He, which is then rendered bound as HeH⁺.



where the wave functions for the oscillators correspond to the ground and third excited states. Note that the wave functions for bound particles approach the form of that given for the free particle as the energy state of the oscillator is increased, i.e., shorter wavelengths. Thus smaller amounts of energy exchange are required to produce a reaction if the initial oscillator is in a higher energy state. In such cases, the state of the final oscillator is more likely to be one of higher energy than if the initial oscillator were in the ground state. This is consistent with the T operator calculations for He-H₂⁺ (Fig. 11) in that the reaction probabilities increase as the energy of the initial oscillator is increased and the higher energy states of the final oscillator (HeH⁺) occured most frequently when the initial oscillator was in an excited state.

These conclusions are also embedded in the transition rate equation, (3-169) which is rewritten here as

$$\mathcal{W}_{i\,i}^{0'} = \frac{8\pi(\Delta V)^2}{\mathcal{A}_i \mathcal{A}_2} \left\{ \left| C_{ni} \quad C_{nf} \quad F(\mathcal{A}_2) \quad F(\mathcal{A}_1) \right|^2 \right\}$$
(3-170)

where the terms outside the brackets, $\{ \}$, are constants. The probability amplitudes, C_{ni} and C_{nf} , are given respectively, in Eqs. (3-151) and (3-166), and the definitions for F(l_1) and F(l_2) are given as (3-171)

$$F(l) = \int_{0}^{l} dy \, S_{iN}(\frac{ni\pi y}{l}) \, S_{iN}(\Theta_{nf} y)$$

and

$$F(R_2) = \int_{0}^{l_2} dx \, S_{iN}(\frac{n_f \pi x}{R_z}) \, S_{iN}(\mathcal{V}_{ni} X)$$
(3-172)

In Eq. (3-169b), the integrated form of $F(\ell_2)$ is given as

$$F(l_{2}) = \left\{ \frac{S_{iN}\left[\left(\frac{n_{f}\pi}{l_{2}} - \mathcal{U}_{ni}\right)l_{2}\right]}{2\left(\frac{n_{f}\pi}{l_{2}} - \mathcal{U}_{ni}\right)} - \frac{S_{iN}\left[\left(\frac{n_{f}\pi}{l_{2}} + \mathcal{U}_{ni}\right)l_{2}\right]}{2\left(\frac{n_{f}\pi}{l_{2}} + \mathcal{U}_{ni}\right)} \right\}$$
(3-173)

and can be expressed as

$$F(\mathfrak{X}_{2}) = \frac{S_{iN}(\mathcal{Y}_{n_{i}}\mathfrak{X}_{2})}{2} \left[\frac{1}{\mathcal{Y}_{n_{i}} - \frac{n_{f}\pi}{\mathcal{R}_{2}}} - \frac{1}{\mathcal{Y}_{n_{i}} + \frac{n_{f}\pi}{\mathcal{R}_{2}}} \right]$$

$$= S_{iN}(\mathcal{Y}_{n_{i}}\mathfrak{X}_{2}) \left[\frac{\mathcal{X}_{n_{f}}^{2}}{\mathcal{Y}_{n_{f}}^{2}} - \mathcal{X}_{n_{f}}^{2} \right], \qquad (3-174)$$

using the idenity

$$Sin(a\pm b) = Sinacosb \pm Sinbcosa.$$
 (3-175)

Since \mathcal{V}_{ni} is the wave number of free He (state 12>) over the region $X < \mathcal{I}_{2}$ [Eq. (3-145)] and \mathcal{K}_{nf} is the wave number of bound He [state <fl; given in Eq.(3-160) that $\frac{n_{f}\pi}{\mathcal{I}_{2}} = \mathcal{K}_{nf}$], Eq. (3-174) indicates that F(\mathcal{I}_{2}) makes larger contributions to $\mathcal{K}_{if}^{(i)}$ for smaller differences, \mathcal{S}_{He} , in the initial and final wave numbers of He where \mathcal{S}_{He} is given by

$$S_{He} = 2P_{ni}^{z} - Z_{nf}^{z}$$
 (3-176)

$$= 2 \mu_{i} (\mathcal{H}_{ni}^{2} 7.15 + e.v.) - 2 \mu_{i} (E_{nf} - \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{nf}^{2} - .844 e.v.)$$

Recall that $\mathcal{H}_{y_i}^2$ is the initial kinetic energy for regions $x > l_2$, whereas \mathcal{H}_{nf}^2 is the kinetic energy of H over the regions $y > l_1$, E_{nf} is the total energy, and .846 is the endogercity requirement. The analogous equation for F(l_1) is given by

$$F(l_{i}) = \frac{S_{iN}(\Theta_{nf} l_{i})}{2} \left[\frac{1}{\Theta_{nf} - \frac{n_{A} \pi}{R_{I}}} - \frac{1}{\Theta_{nf} + \frac{n_{A} \pi}{R_{I}}} \right]$$
(3-177)
$$= S_{iN} \left(\Theta_{nf} l_{i} \right) \left\{ \frac{\chi_{ni}}{\Theta_{nf}^{2} - \chi_{ni}^{2}} \right\}$$

and the same argument follows for smaller differences, \mathcal{S}_{μ} , in the initial and final wave number of H given by

$$\delta_{\mathrm{H}} = \Theta_{n_{\mathrm{F}}}^{z} - \left(\frac{\eta_{i}\pi_{i}}{\mathcal{R}_{i}}\right)^{2} = \Theta_{n_{\mathrm{F}}}^{z} - \mathcal{K}_{n_{i}}^{z}$$

$$= 2 \mathcal{\mu}_{2} \left(\mathcal{H}_{n_{\mathrm{F}}}^{z} + 8.0 \text{ eV.}\right) - 2 \mathcal{\mu}_{2} \left(E_{n_{i}} - \mathcal{H}_{n_{i}}^{z}\right). \tag{3-178}$$

The kinetic energies are expressed in terms of the total energy, E_{T} , and the oscillator energies, E_{H_2} + and E_{HeH} +, as

$$\mathcal{H}_{n_i}^2 = E_{\tau} - E_{\mu_2^+} \tag{3-179}$$

and

in order to write \mathcal{S}_{μ} and $\mathcal{S}_{\mu e}$ as

$$\frac{d_{H}}{\partial \mu_{2}} = \delta_{H}^{2} = \left(E_{T} - E_{HeH^{+}} + 7.154 e.v. \right) - E_{H_{2}^{+}}$$
(3-181)

and

$$\frac{\delta_{H_e}}{2\kappa_i} = \frac{1}{\delta_{H_e}} = \left(E_T - E_{H_e^+} + \frac{1}{2} +$$

Consistent with higher probabilities corresponding to smaller $\overline{\delta}_{\mu}$ and $\overline{\delta}_{\mu e}$, Eqs. (3-181) and (3-182) indicate that the probability of yielding an excited state final oscillator is greater if the initial oscillator were in an excited state. For example, in Eq. (3-181) if the energy, $E_{H_2}^+$, of the initial oscillator were large, a smaller $\overline{\delta}_{\mu}$ would result if higher energy states, E_{HeH}^+ , were allowed for the final HeH⁺ oscillator. If the energy state, $E_{H_2}^+$, is low for the initial H₂⁺ oscillator, excited state final HeH⁺ oscillators could be obtained when sufficient total energy is available. However, the corresponding $\overline{\delta}_{\mu}$ is larger (due to the smaller $E_{H_2}^+$) and the $\mathcal{N}_{i_f}^{(0)}$ is comparatively smaller.

From Eqs. (3-146) and (3-151), C_{ni} can be interpreted as the amplitude for the state $|i\rangle$ He atom being in the x $\langle l_2$ region. The absolute square of the amplitude C_{ni} , given by

$$|C_{ni}|^{2} = \frac{4 \phi_{ni}^{2}}{\phi_{ni}^{2} S_{iN}^{2}(\mathcal{U}_{ni}, l_{2}) + \mathcal{U}_{ni}^{2} C_{os}^{2}(\mathcal{U}_{ni}, l_{2})}, \qquad (3-183)$$

is not the probability per se of finding the He atom of $|\downarrow\rangle$ in the $x < l_2$ region, but $|C_{\pi i}|^2$ is proportional to this probability. The wave number $\phi_{\pi i}$ is related to the kinetic energy of the He atom in the $x < l_2$ region according to Eq. (3-143) given here as

(3-184)

$$\phi_{n_i} \equiv \lambda \mu_i \mathcal{H}_{n_i}^z$$

Since the interaction region of the first model is defined by $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \le y \le k_1 \\ 0 \le x \le k_2 \end{pmatrix}$, $|C_{ni}|^2$ of Eqs. (3-183) is related to the transition coefficient, $T_{\text{He}_{i,i\rightarrow i}}$, which appears in Eq. (3-102), and the reflection coefficient, $R_{\text{He}_{i,i\rightarrow i}}$, of the first model. Both $T_{\text{He}_{i,i\rightarrow i}}$ and $|C_{ni}|^2$ become vanishingly small as \mathcal{H}_{ni}^2 approaches zero, and in the limit of small ϕ_{ni} and/or small sin($\mathcal{U}_{ni}, \mathcal{X}_{z}$), the expression for $|C_{ni}|^2$ is given by

(3-185)

$$|C_{ni}|^{2} = \frac{4\phi_{ni}^{2}}{\mathcal{V}_{ni}^{2} \operatorname{Cos}^{2}(\mathcal{V}_{ni}, l_{2})} \qquad (\operatorname{Cos}(\mathcal{U}_{ni}, l_{2}) \neq 0)$$

where

$$\mathcal{U}_{ni}^{z} \equiv 2 \mu_{i} \left(\mathcal{H}_{ni}^{z} + 7.154 \text{ e.v.} \right).$$
 (3-186)

Equation (3-167) is similarly used to interpret C_{nf} as the amplitude for the H atom of $\langle F|$ being in the y $\langle l_1$ region, and the absolute square of C_{nf} , given as

$$|C_{nf}|^{2} = \frac{\mu \widetilde{\phi}_{nf}^{2}}{\widetilde{\phi}_{nf}^{2} S_{iN}^{2}(\Theta_{nf} l_{i}) + \Theta_{nf}^{2} C_{os}^{2}(\Theta_{nf} l_{i})}, \qquad (3-187)$$

is proportional to the probability that the $\langle f|$ state H atom be in the $y < l_1$ region. The kinetic energy, $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{n_F}^2$, of the H atom in the $y < l_1$ region is related to the wave number $\widehat{\phi}_{n_F}$, by [Eq. (3-164)].

In the limit of small ϕ_{nf} and/or small sin $(\Theta_{nf} l_i)$, the equation for $|C_{nf}|^2$ is given by

$$|C_{nf}|^{2} = \frac{4 \tilde{\phi}_{nf}^{2}}{\Theta_{nf}^{2} C_{os}^{2}(\Theta_{nf},l_{i})} \qquad (Cos(\Theta_{nf},l_{i}) \pm 0)$$

$$(3-189)$$

which implies that the probability of the $\langle f|$ state H atom being in the $g < \lambda_i$ region becomes smaller as the kinetic energy of the H atom decreases. Note, however, that the H atom must be in the $g < \lambda_i$ region in order for the reaction to occur, i.e., for energy exchange purposes.

2. Data and Analysis

The He - H_2^+ reaction [Eq. (3-98)] has been investigated 50 experimentally over the relative translational energy range of 0-10 e.v. The calculated total reaction probability curves of Figs. 7-10 compare favorably with the experimentally obtained cross-sections over the 0-1.6 e.v. energy range; i.e., the general qualitative features of the experimental and theoretical curves are compatible over the RTE values specified. However the experimental cross-section curve using ground state H_2^+ does not display an initial downward slope as shown by the $1_{\not{a}} \rightarrow 1_{\not{\beta}}$ curve of Fig. 10. The difference in the experimental and theoretical curves is likely due to an oscillation, and calculations at lower RTE should drop back to zero. The $2_{\not{a}}$, $3_{\not{a}}$, and $4_{\not{a}}$ total

reaction probability cruves [Figs. 8-10] agree in form over the whole energy range with the corresponding experimental curves for cross-sections. The most noticable differences are the oscillations of the calculated probability curves compared to the smooth experimental cross-section curves. After reaching a maximum, the experimental cross-section curves generally approach zero at higher RTE values, i.e., 6-10 e.v. This effect is not a prominant in the calculated probability curves due to the more restricted RTE ranges employed in the latter. This comparison implies that the experimental and theoretical treatments of the reactive collision are in qualitative agreement at least over the RTE range considered. Of course, the experimental cross-sections reflect reactions of $He-H_2^+$ in three dimensional space which were not necessarily the result of collinear collisions. Furthermore the $He-H_2^+^+$ interaction potential is, of course, completely smooth.

The calculated reaction probabilities were obtained, however, by restricting the collisions to be collinear. Also, the potential surface is at best only qualitative since it possesses discontinuities. Finally, the cross derivate terms in the kinetic energy operator which result from our choice of relative coordinates were neglected. The neglected cross derivate, $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \cdot \partial y}$, corresponds classically to the dot product of the momenta for the two reacting particles, i.e., $\hat{p}_{\rm H} \cdot \hat{p}_{\rm He}$. If this interaction were included, it is not clear how the structure of the reaction probability curves would be altered. By neglecting the cross term, the kinetic energy operator of the Hamiltonian is dagonalized. We then scale the He atom distance such that only the H atom mass appears in the coefficients of the kinetic energy operators. This scale change for the He atom distance results in a change in the width of the exit "trough" of the potential.

The potential energy surface shown in Fig.4 is employed for the calculations. The channel width ℓ_1 is chosen to be .37 A^O so that the initial H_2^+ molecule has the correct zero point energy. The channel width ℓ_2 is taken to be .30 A^O so that the bound state energy levels of HeH⁺ are correct. The potential energy of 0.846 e.v. assigned to the exit channel is the endoergicity requirement for the reaction (where the endoergicity is the difference in the binding energies of H_2^+ and HeH^+). The interaction region is a potential well of -0.2 e.v. which is obtained from the ab initio energy surface for HeH2⁺ generated by Brown and Hayes 51. The region designated as Vo was assigned a value of 8.0 e.v. in order to obtain a sufficient number of bound HeH^+ states with the present version of the program. A value such as 2.4 e.v. which correspond more closely to the H_2^+ binding energy would produce a more realistic simulation of the $He-H_2^+$ energy surface. An important consequence of this is the fact that the perturbation, $\Delta V(xy)$ which is responsible for the reaction in the second descriptive model, would become + 1.354 e.v. compared to the ΔV of + 6.954 e.v. when Vo = 8.0e.v.

The reaction probabilities computed with coupled \mathcal{C} operator equations can be discussed in a "physical sense", using the two qualitative models introduced in the previous section. The reactant

121

oscillator, H_2^+ , is in configuration \propto and notation 1_{\propto} , 2_{\propto} , 3_{\propto} , and $4 \propto$ is used to indicate respectively, the first four vibrational energy states of H_2^+ . In configuration β , HeH^+ is the oscillator and the first three vibrational energy states are denoted by 1_{β} , 2_{β} , and 3_{β} respectively. Thus, the notation $3_{\alpha} \rightarrow 1_{\beta}$ is used to represent the reactive collision of a third vibrational state H_2^+ with He yielding a ground state He H⁺ (1_{β}) and H.

In Figures 7-10, reaction probabilities versus relative translational energy of reactants are plotted for the first four vibrational energy states of H_2^+ where the first three energy states of HeH⁺ are available as product oscillator. In Fig. 7, the initial oscillator, H_2^+ , is in the third vibrational energy state $(3 \propto)$ with an internal energy of 1.22 e.v. The minimum energy required for a $3_{\alpha} \rightarrow 1_{\beta}$ reaction is 1.047 e.v. which is the sum of the HeH⁺ ground state (.201 e.v.) and the endoergicity requirements (.846 e.v.). Since this energy requirement is less than the internal vibrational energy (1.22 e.v.), the $3_{\alpha} \rightarrow 1_{\beta}$ reaction probabilities are non zero at very low relative translational energies. Note, however, that the reaction probabilities decreases sharply as the relative translational energy, RTE, approaches zero and that such behavior is consistent with predictions of both qualitative models.

The reaction probability curves in Fig. 7 exhibit two characteristic features which are found in the other reaction probability curves corresponding to the calculations using the initial H_2^+ oscillator states of I_{α} , 2_{α} , and 4_{α} . First, the individual reaction probability curves in Fig. 7 fluctuate considerably and the curve, ΣR , representing

the sum of reaction probabilities displays an oscillatory behavior as a function of translational energy. Second, increases in reaction probabilities are observed for the newly opened reactive channels compared to the reaction probabilities of those channels which opened at lower energies, i.e., $3_{\alpha} \rightarrow 2_{\beta}$ and $3_{\alpha} \rightarrow 3_{\beta}$ are the newly opened channels in Fig. 7.

The energies corresponding to the second and third vibrational states of HeH⁺ are .804 e.v. and 1.80 e.v., respectively. The $3_{\alpha} \rightarrow 2_{\beta}$ channel requires a total energy of 1.65 e.v. (=.846 e.v. + .804 e.v.) and opens at the threshold translational energy of .43 e.v. (=1.65 e.v. -1.22 e.v.). The ensuing reaction probabilities are enhanced for this newly opened channel compared to the probabilities of the $3_{\alpha} \rightarrow 1_{\beta}$ channel. Such behavior is predicted by Eq. (3-174), rewritten here as

$$F(l_z) = S_{iN}(\mathcal{U}_{ni}l_z) \left[\frac{\mathcal{K}_{ni}}{\mathcal{U}_{ni}^2 - \mathcal{K}_{nf}^2}\right]$$
(3-190)

which indicates that $F(l_2)$ becomes larger for smaller differences in the initial and final wave numbers for the He atom. Since \mathcal{K}_{nf} is given by

(3-191)

$$\mathcal{K}_{nf}^{2} \equiv 2\mu_{i}\left(\mathsf{E}_{\mathsf{HeH}^{+}}\right)$$

where E_{HeH}^+ is the HeH⁺ oscillator energy, the difference in the wave number, $2l_{ni}$, of free He and the wave number, \mathcal{K}_{nf} , of bound He is less when \mathcal{K}_{nf} is the He atom wave number for the second vibrational state rather than the ground state of HeH⁺. The contribution of $to \mathcal{M}_{if}^{(n)}$ [Eq. (3-170)] is accordingly larger for the smaller differences in wave numbers, and since the reaction probability is proportional to $\mathcal{M}_{if}^{(n)}$, the probability curve of the newly opened $3_{\alpha} \rightarrow 2_{\beta}$ channel is qualitatively consistent with the first order transition rate expression of Eq. (3-171).

The next reactive channel to open in Fig. 7 is $3_{\alpha} \rightarrow 3_{\beta}$ which requires a total energy of 2.646 e.v. (=1.80 e.v. + .846 e.v.) and the threshold energy is 1.42 e.v. (=2.64 e.v. - 1.22 e.v.). The reaction probabilities of the newly opened channel are seen to increase here as previously described, and the same argument applies to the newly opened $3_{\alpha} \rightarrow 3_{\beta}$ channel as was applied to the newly opened $3_{\alpha} \rightarrow 2_{\beta}$ channel. Thus with each opening of a new reactive channel, the difference in wave numbers between the free He atom and bound Helium is less since the bound atoms occupy higher energy states. Associated with this "likeness of wave number" is an enhancement of the reaction probabilities into the said channels. Recall that the transition rate expression $\mathcal{W}_{if}^{(n)}$ is the absolute square of a product of four quantities, the two integrals $F(\mathcal{X}_1)$ and $F(\mathcal{X}_2)$ and the two amplitudes C_{ni} and C_{nf} . The integral $F(\mathcal{X}_2)$ is given on previous page by Eq. (3-190) and the absolute square of the amplitude C_{ni} is rewritten here for convenience

$$|C_{ni}|^{2} = \frac{4 \phi_{ni}^{2}}{\phi_{ni}^{2} S_{iN}^{2}(2n_{i} l_{z}) + 2l_{ni}^{2} C_{os}^{2}(2n_{i} l_{z})}$$
(3-192)

The physical variables in Eqs. (3-190) and (3-192) are the wave numbers \mathcal{U}_{ni} , \mathcal{K}_{nf} , and ϕ_{ni} respectively for free and bound He at x < ℓ_z and free He at $x > l_2$. Thus the quantity $S_{in}(v_{ni}, l_2)$ in Eq. (3-190) would cause oscillation in the reaction probability curves for individual channels such as that demostrated by the $3_{\mathcal{A}} \longrightarrow 1_{\beta}$ curve of Fig. 7. The sine function would also cause $\mathcal{W}_{i_{\mathfrak{f}}}^{(n)}$ to vanish, of course, when the product \mathcal{V}_{n} , l_2 is equal to $n\pi$ (n= 1,2,3,---). The cosine and sine functions in the denominator of Eq. (3-192) also cause oscillatory behavior in $\mathcal{W}_{i,\mathsf{F}}^{(l)}$, whereas $\left|\mathcal{C}_{n;}\right|^2$ vanishes only if $\phi_{n;}$ vanishes. The analogous arguments apply to the quantities $F(l_i)$ and $|C_n f|^2$ given respectively by Eqs. (3-177) and (3-187) where the wave numbers Θ_{nf} , and $\widehat{\phi}_{nf}$ are for the H atom. Therefore, $\mathcal{W}_{f}^{(l)}$ expressed Kn: as a product of these four quantities as in Eq. (3-170) would be expected to demonstrate some type of oscillatory behavior as a function of relative translational energy.

In Fig. 8, the initial oscillator is in the fourth vibrational state $(4_{\mathcal{A}})$ at an energy level of 2.16 e.v. which is sufficient to open both of the first two reactive channels, i.e., $4_{\mathcal{A}} \xrightarrow{-->} 1_{\beta}$, which requires 1.047 e.v. (= .201 e.v. + .846 e.v.) and $4_{\mathcal{A}} \xrightarrow{-->} 2_{\beta}$, which

125

as

requires 1.65 e.v. (=.804 e.v. + .846 e.v.). These channels which are opened by the availability of sufficient vibrational energy are seen to exhibit similar reaction probabilities for low RTE (relative translational energy) values; e.g., the reaction probability curves for $4 \rightarrow 1_{\beta}$, $4_{d} \rightarrow 2_{\beta}$, and $3_{d} \rightarrow 1_{\beta}$ (Fig. 7) decline very rapidly as the RTE value converges toward zero. Such behavior appears to be independent of the particular channels available for reaction but dependent on the relative kinetic energy as discussed in the descriptive models. The large dip observed in the reaction probabilities of the $3_{d} \rightarrow 1_{\beta}$ channel is also seen, to a lesser extent, in the $4_{d} \rightarrow 1_{\beta}$ curve at approximately the same RTE value of .29 e.v. However the dip observed in the total probabilities for 3_{d} H₂⁺ (Fig. 11) does not occur in 4_{d} H₂⁺ (Fig. 8) since the open 2_{β} channel is sufficiently reactive to mask the decrease in 1_{β} reactivety.

After opening the $4_{4} \longrightarrow 3_{7}$ channel at a total energy of 2.646 e.v. (=1.80 e.v. + .846 e.v.) and a RTE value of 0.48 e.v. (=2.160 e.v.), the 3_{7} channel reaction probabilities increase rapidly as a function of RTE, which is the expected pattern for a newly opened channel. However, the characteristic high probability "peak" which usually accompanies the opening of a new channel (e.g., $3_{4} \longrightarrow 3_{7}$ of Fig. 7) is not seen in the $4_{4} \longrightarrow 3_{7}$ curve of Fig. 8 due to a lower maximum RTE value. This results from using the same maximum total energy for each of the different H_{2}^{+} initial states. The range of relative translational energy is thus decreased (Figs. 11 and 12) as the energy level of the initial H_2^+ oscillator increases from $l_{\mathcal{L}}$ to $4_{\mathcal{L}}$.

The reaction probability curves for the second vibrational H_2^+ state (2,) are shown in Fig. 9. The 2, \rightarrow 1, channel opens at a total energy value of 1.047 e.v. (=.201 e.v. + .846 e.v.) with the threshold RTE being .505 e.v. (=1.047 e.v. - .542 e.v.). The 1, reaction probability curve then increases until the 2, \rightarrow 2, channel opens at a total energy requirement of 1.65 e.v. (= .804 e.v. + .846 e.v.) and threshold RTE value of 1.118 e.v. (=1.650 e.v. - .542 e.v.). The reaction probability for the 1, channel then decreases more sharply than that of the 2, channel, which is usual pattern. However at higher RTE values, the reaction probabilities display large oscillations and a "spike" in the 1, curve is greater than a similar "spike" in the 2, curve. The reaction probability curves for the 2, \rightarrow 3, and 1, \rightarrow 3, (Fig. 10) channels exhibit clearly the expected behavior associated with close matching of the wave number for the initially free He atom and the wave number for the He atom when it is bound.

The probability curves for the H_2^+ ground state (1_{\checkmark}) initial oscillator are given in Fig. 10. The total reaction probabilities shown by the curve, ΣR , are seen to be less for the l_{\bigstar} H_2^+ compared to the total probabilities arising from the 2_{\measuredangle} , 3_{\measuredangle} , and 4_{\bigstar} initial H_2^+ states, as shown in Fig. 11. This pattern is consistent with the model prediction that when the bound H atom wave number and final free H atom wave number are close, reaction is enhanced. That is higher vibrational states of H_2^+ correspond to "more nearly free" H atoms.

APPRENDIX A

TRANSITION PROBABILITIES FOR THE CHANNEL $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}$ OPERATOR EQUATIONS

The transition probabilities are obtained from the asymptotic form of the scattering wave function $\Psi^{+}(xy)$. For the nonreactive collision, Y remains finite as X approaches infinity and the scattering wave function is written as

$$L_{im} \Psi(xy) = C\left[e^{-ik_n x} \mathcal{A}_{(nly)} + \sum_{n=1}^{N} \rho_n e^{ik_n x} \mathcal{A}_{(nly)}\right]$$
(A-1)
$$x \to \infty$$

where C is a constant and N is the number of open channels. The transition probabilities, $P_{n_o}^{(R)} \rightarrow n$, for nonreactive collision are then given by

$$\begin{array}{l} P(\mathbf{R}) \\ \mathbf{n}_{o} \longrightarrow \mathbf{n} \end{array} = \left(\frac{\mathbf{k}_{n}}{\mathbf{k}_{no}} \right) \left| \rho_{n} \right|^{2} \qquad (A-2)$$

From Eq. (3-36) the scattering state Ψ^{\dagger} can be written in terms of $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{a\,\alpha}$ as

$$\Psi^{\dagger}(x_{y}) = \Theta_{\alpha} + (E - K_{\alpha} + i\epsilon)^{-1} \tilde{c}_{\alpha \alpha} \Theta_{\alpha}$$

(A-3)

which can also be expressed as

$$\sum_{n} \Psi(n|x) \Psi(n|y) = \Theta_{\alpha} + \left(E - K_{\alpha} + i\epsilon\right)^{-1} \sum_{n} \chi(n|x) \Psi(n|y)$$
(A-4)

by using Eq. (3-44) and expanding the scattering state $\,\,\Psi^{+}$ as

$$\Psi^{+} = \sum_{n} \Psi_{(n|x)} \varphi_{(n|y)}.$$

Equation (A-4) can be multiplied by $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{L}|\mathcal{G})$ and integrated with respect to \mathcal{G} to yield

$$\Psi(x|x) = Sin k_{n,x} \int \mathcal{P}(n_0|y) \mathcal{P}(x|y) dy \qquad (A-6)$$

$$-\frac{1}{k_x} \int e^{i k_x x_y} Sin(k_x x_0) \chi(x|x') dx'.$$

In the asymptotic limit of x, Eq. ($_{A-6}$) becomes

$$L_{im} \Psi(q|x) = S_{in}(k_{n_o}x) \int_{n_o q} - \frac{e^{\frac{i}{k_g}x}}{k_g} \int_{0}^{\infty} dx' S_{in} \frac{k_g x'}{\chi'(q|x')}$$

$$= S_{in} k_{n_o} x' \int_{n_o q} - \frac{e^{\frac{i}{k_g}x}}{k_g} R_g.$$

Equation ($_{\rm A-7}$) can now be substituted into Eq. (A-5) to produce

(A-5)

$$L_{ini} \mathcal{U}^{\dagger}(xy) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left\{ S_{in} k_n x \mathcal{J}_{n_o n} - \frac{e^{ik_n x}}{k_n} R_n \right\} \mathcal{P}(ny)$$

$$= S_{in} k_{n_o} x \mathcal{P}(n_o | y) - \frac{e^{ik_n x}}{k_{n_o}} R_n \mathcal{P}(no | y)$$

$$\sum_{n \neq n_o}^{N} \left(\frac{e^{ik_n x}}{k_n} \right) R_n \mathcal{P}(n| y)$$

which can be rewritten as

$$Lim \Psi^{\dagger}(xy) = \frac{-1}{2i} \left\{ e^{-ik_{no}X} \mathcal{A}(n_{o}|y) - (1 - \frac{2iR_{no}}{R_{no}}) \right\}$$

$$X e^{ik_{no}X} \mathcal{A}(n_{o}|y) \right\}$$

$$-\frac{1}{2i} \sum_{\substack{n \neq n_{o}}} \left(\frac{2ie^{ik_{n}X}}{k_{n}} \right) R_{n} \mathcal{A}(n|y).$$
(A-9)

By equation Eqs. (A-1) and (A-9), the constant C can be identified with $-\frac{1}{2i}$ and it follows that

$$P_{n_{o}} = -\left[1 - \frac{2iR_{n_{o}}}{R_{n_{o}}}\right]$$
(A-10)

and

$$\rho_n = \frac{2iR_n}{R_n} \quad (n \neq n_o). \tag{A-11}$$

.

The nonreactive transition probabilities are therefore given as

$$P_{n, \rightarrow n} \stackrel{(R)}{=} \left| 1 - \frac{2iR_{n, o}}{R_{n, o}} \right|^{2}$$
(A-12)

and

$$P_{n_{o} \rightarrow n_{o}}^{(R)} = \frac{4}{k_{n_{o}}k_{n}} \left| P_{n} \right|^{2}$$
(A-13)

A similar analysis can be used to show that the transition probability, ${\rm p}_{\rm n}$ (T) , for the reactive channel are given by

(A-14)

.

$$P_{n_{o} \rightarrow n} (t) = \frac{4}{k_{n_{o}}k_{n}} |T_{n}|^{2}$$

APPRENDIX B

CHANNEL \mathcal{T} OPERATOR FORMALISM FOR THREE BODY COLLISIONS WITH SMOOTH INTERACTION POTENTIALS.

Baer and Kouri have generalized the $\tilde{\chi}$ operator formalism to treat non-collinear reactive collisions in three dimensions with angle dependent potential energy surfaces. A further refinement of the $\tilde{\chi}$ formalism would result if the piecewise constant potential were replaced with a "smooth" potential in order to more closely approximate the actual interaction potential of an atomic system. However, it is convenient if the functions employed to represent the "smooth" potential have the same separable qualities as the piecewise constant potentials. One possible function is the Morse potential⁵² which has been successfully used ^{53,54} approximate the potential energy of diatomic molecules.

The purpose of this chapter is to present the $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ operator equations for treating the collinear reactive system of Li-HBr where the interactions are represented with Morse potentials.

The collision system can be schematically represented by Fig. 2 if the center atom, Br, is taken to be infinitely massive. When Li is far from Br, the scattering system is in the \propto configuration, whereas it is in the β configuration when the H atom is far from Br.

The total interaction potential $V_{\tau}(R_{\alpha}, R_{\beta})$ is given by (B-1)

$$\bigvee_{T} (R_{\alpha}, R_{\beta}) = \bigvee_{L \in B_{T}} (R_{\gamma}) + \bigvee_{H \in B_{T}} (R_{\beta}) + \bigvee_{L \in H} (R_{\alpha} + R_{\beta})$$
where Morse potentials will be used to express the two particle interactions V_{LiBr} , V_{HBr} , and V_{LiH} . In the \propto configuration, $R \propto$ is large and the perturbation V_{\propto} ($R \propto$, R_{β}) is given by

$$\bigvee_{\alpha} (R_{\alpha}, R_{\beta}) = \bigvee_{\tau} (R_{\alpha}, R_{\beta}) - \bigvee_{HB_{\tau}} (R_{\beta}) .$$
(B-2)

This can be written in a separable for as

$$\bigvee (\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{R}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) = \sum_{\substack{\lambda=1 \\ \lambda=1}}^{3} \mathbf{A}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\lambda}} (\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}}) \mathbf{B}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\lambda}} (\mathbf{R}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}})$$
(B-3)

where

$$A_{\alpha_{1}}(R_{\alpha}) = D_{e}^{(\prime)} e^{-q_{1}(R_{x} - R_{1}^{e})} \left[e^{-q_{1}(R_{\alpha} - R_{1}^{e})} - 2 \right]$$
(B-4)

$$B_{x_1}(R_{\beta}) = 1.0,$$
 (B-5)

$$A_{\alpha_{a}}(R) = \left[D_{e}^{(3)} \right] \left[e^{-2a_{s}\left(R_{a}^{-} - R_{s}^{e}/2\right)} \right], \tag{B-6}$$

$$B_{\alpha_{3}}^{(R)} = \left[D_{e}^{(3)} \right]^{\gamma_{2}} \left[e^{-\lambda q_{3}(R_{f} - R_{3}^{e}/2)} \right]$$
(B-7)

$$A_{\alpha \beta}(R) = \left[2 D_{e}^{(3)} \right]^{\gamma_{2}} \left[e^{-\alpha_{3}} \left(R R_{3}^{e} / 2 \right) \right]$$
(B-8)

and

$$B_{\alpha,3}^{(R_{\beta})} = \left[2 D_{\alpha}^{(3)} \right]^{2} \left[e^{-\alpha \left(R_{\beta} - R_{3/2}^{e} \right)} \right]$$
(B-9)

We note that the $A_{\alpha \lambda}$ (i = 1,2,3) are function of R_{α} only and the $B_{\alpha \lambda}$ are functions of R_{β} . In equations (B-4) through (B-9), the constants $D_{e}^{(j)}$, a_{j} , and R_{j}^{e} occur in the Morse function representing the jth interaction potential where j is 1, 2, or 3 corresponding to the LiBr, HBr, or LiH interaction terms, respectively. The perturbation V_{β} is given as

$$V_{\beta}(R_{\alpha}, R_{\beta}) = V_{\tau}(R_{\alpha}, R_{\beta}) - V_{L_{\beta}}(R_{\alpha})$$
(B-10)

which has a separable form analogously expressed as

$$V_{\beta}(R_{\alpha}R_{\beta}) = \sum_{i=1}^{3} A_{\beta,i}(R_{\alpha}) B_{\beta,i}(R_{\beta})$$
 (B-11)

with

$$A_{\rho_1}(R_{\alpha}) = 1.0$$
, (B-13)

$$B_{\beta 1}(R_{\beta}) = D_{e}^{(a)} e^{-q_{2}(R_{\beta}-R_{a}^{e})} \left[e^{-q_{2}(R_{\beta}-R_{a}^{e})} - z \right], \qquad (B-14)$$

$$A_{\beta 2}(R_{\alpha}) = \left[D_{e}^{(3)} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[e^{-2a_{3}(R_{\alpha} - R_{3}^{e}/2)} \right]_{(B-15)}$$

$$B_{\beta 2}(R_{\beta}) = \left[\mathbb{D}_{e}^{(3)} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[e^{-\frac{2\alpha_{3}}{R_{\beta}} - \frac{R_{\beta}^{e}}{R_{\beta}^{2}}} \right],$$

135

$$A_{\beta 3}(R_{\star}) \qquad \left[2 D_{e}^{(3)}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[e^{-Q_{3}(R_{\star} - R_{3}^{e}/2)}\right],$$

and

$$B_{\beta 3}(R_{\beta}) \qquad \left[2 \mathbb{D}_{e}^{(3)}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[e^{-\frac{q_{3}(R_{\beta}-R_{3}^{e}/2)}{2}}\right]. \tag{B-17}$$

It is recalled from Chapter III that the coupled equations for the channel operators are given as

$$\mathcal{L}_{d\alpha} = V_{\alpha} + V_{\alpha} \left(E - K_{\beta} + i\epsilon \right)^{-1} \mathcal{L}_{\beta\alpha}$$
(B-18)

and

$$\widetilde{l}_{\beta \alpha} = V_{\alpha} \quad V_{\beta} \left(E - K_{\alpha} + i\epsilon \right)^{-1} \widetilde{l}_{\alpha \alpha} . \tag{B-19}$$

Operating with the channel opererators on the eigenstate,

 $\begin{array}{l} \displaystyle \bigoplus(\mathcal{V}_{a}^{\circ}|\ R_{\star}\ R_{\beta}) & \text{ of the unperturbed Hamiltonian K}_{\star}, \text{ the amplitude densities } & \chi_{\star}(\mathcal{V}_{\star}|R_{\star}) & \text{ and } & \chi_{\beta}(\mathcal{V}_{\beta}|R_{\beta}) \text{ result which can be expanded in terms of the bound state vibrational functions, } & \mathcal{U}_{\star}(\mathcal{V}_{\star}|R_{\beta}) \\ \text{ and } & \mathcal{U}_{\beta}(\mathcal{V}_{\beta}|R_{\star}), \text{ as} \end{array}$

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{aa} \Theta(\nu_{a}^{\circ}|R_{a}R_{p}) = \sum_{\nu_{a}} \chi_{a}(\nu_{a}|R_{a}) \mathcal{U}_{a}(\nu_{a}|R_{p})$$
(B-20)

and

(B-22)

$$\mathcal{T}_{\beta\alpha} \Theta(\mathcal{V}_{\alpha}) = \sum_{\mathcal{V}_{\beta}} \mathcal{K}_{\beta}(\mathcal{V}_{\beta}) R_{\beta} U_{\beta}(\mathcal{V}_{\beta}) R_{\alpha},$$

The bound state function $U_{\rho}(v_{\rho}|R)$, are Morse eigenfunctions. The unperturbed eigenfunctions, $\Theta(v_{\sigma}'|R,R_{\rho})$, are given by

$$\Theta(v_{\alpha} \circ | R_{\alpha} R_{\beta}) = U_{\alpha}(v_{\alpha} \circ | R_{\beta}) Sin(K_{v_{\alpha}} R_{\alpha})$$

where K is the wave number, and ν is the vibrational quantum number.

By multiplying Eqs. (B-20) and (B-21) by $\bigoplus^{*}(\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{A}}|R_{\mathcal{A}},R_{\beta})$ and integrating over the $R_{\mathcal{A}}$ and R_{β} , the transition aplitude matrix elements can then be expressed as

$$\langle \Theta(v_{a}) | \widetilde{L}_{\alpha\alpha} | \Theta(v_{a}) \rangle = \int dR_{\alpha} Sin[K_{v_{a}} R_{a}] \chi_{\alpha}(v_{a}) R_{a}$$

and

$$\langle \Theta(\tau_{\beta}) | T_{\beta \alpha} | \Theta(\tau_{\alpha}) \rangle = \int dR_{\beta} S_{iN}(K_{\tau_{\beta}} R_{\beta}) \chi_{\beta}(\tau_{\beta} | R_{\beta})$$

(B-24)

These χ elements can therefore be computed once the $\chi(\nu|R)$ are known. Equations for the $\chi(\nu|R)$ can be obtained by employing Eqs. (B-18) and (B-19) to rewrite Eqs. (B-20) and (B-21) as

$$\sum_{V_{\alpha}} \chi_{\alpha}(v_{\alpha} | R_{\alpha}) U_{\alpha}(v_{\alpha} | R_{\beta}) = V_{\alpha}(R_{\alpha} R_{\beta}) \Theta(v_{\alpha} | R_{\alpha} R_{\beta})$$

$$+ V_{\alpha}(R_{\alpha} R_{\beta}) \int dR'_{\alpha} \int dR'_{\beta} G_{\beta}(R_{\alpha} R_{\beta} | R'_{\alpha} R'_{\beta}) \sum_{V_{\beta}} \chi_{\beta}(v_{\beta} | R'_{\beta}) U_{\beta}(v_{\beta} | R'_{\alpha})$$
and

$$\sum_{V_{\beta}} \chi_{\rho}(v_{\rho} | R_{\rho}) \mathcal{U}_{\rho}(v_{\rho} | R_{\lambda}) = V_{\alpha}(R_{\alpha} R_{\beta}) \Theta(v_{\alpha}^{*} | R_{\alpha} R_{\beta})$$

$$+ V_{\rho}(R_{\alpha} R_{\beta}) \int dR'_{\alpha} \int dR'_{\rho} G_{\alpha}(R_{\alpha} R_{\beta} | R'_{\alpha} R'_{\beta}) \sum_{Z'_{\alpha}} \chi_{\alpha}(v_{\alpha} | R'_{\alpha}) \mathcal{U}_{\alpha}(v_{\alpha} | R'_{\beta})$$

$$(B-26)$$

By multiplying Eqs. (B-25) and (B-26) by $U_{\checkmark}(v_{\bullet} | R_{\beta})$ and $U_{\rho}(v_{\rho} | R_{\prec})$, repectively and integrating over the appropriate R, the $\chi(v|R)$ are found to satisfy coupled integral equations given by

$$\mathcal{L}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{V}_{\alpha}|\mathcal{R}_{\alpha}) = \int d\mathcal{R}_{\beta} \mathcal{U}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{V}_{\alpha}|\mathcal{R}_{\beta}) \, \mathcal{V}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{R}_{\alpha},\mathcal{R}_{\beta}) \, \mathcal{U}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{V}_{\alpha}\cdot|\mathcal{R}_{\beta}) \, Sin(\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{V}_{\alpha}},\mathcal{R}_{\alpha}) \\ + \int d\mathcal{R}_{\alpha} \, \mathcal{U}_{\beta}(\mathcal{V}_{\beta}|\mathcal{R}_{\alpha}) \, \mathcal{V}_{\beta}(\mathcal{R}_{\alpha},\mathcal{R}_{\beta}) \int d\mathcal{R}_{\alpha}' \int d\mathcal{R}_{\beta}' \, \mathcal{G}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{R}_{\alpha},\mathcal{R}_{\beta}) \, \mathcal{E}_{\alpha}'(\mathcal{L}_{\alpha},\mathcal{R}_{\beta}) \, \mathcal{E}_{\alpha}'(\mathcal{L}_{\alpha},\mathcal{R}_{\alpha}) \, \mathcal{U}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{L}_{\alpha},\mathcal{R}_{\beta}) \\ = \int d\mathcal{R}_{\alpha} \, \mathcal{U}_{\beta}(\mathcal{V}_{\beta}|\mathcal{R}_{\alpha}) \, \mathcal{V}_{\beta}(\mathcal{R}_{\alpha},\mathcal{R}_{\beta}) \int d\mathcal{R}_{\alpha}' \int d\mathcal{R}_{\beta}' \, \mathcal{G}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{R}_{\alpha},\mathcal{R}_{\beta}) \, \mathcal{E}_{\alpha}'(\mathcal{L}_{\alpha},\mathcal{R}_{\alpha}) \, \mathcal{U}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{L}_{\alpha},\mathcal{R}_{\beta}) \\ = \int d\mathcal{R}_{\alpha} \, \mathcal{U}_{\beta}(\mathcal{V}_{\beta},\mathcal{R}_{\alpha}) \, \mathcal{V}_{\beta}(\mathcal{R}_{\alpha},\mathcal{R}_{\beta}) \, \mathcal{V}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{R}_{\alpha},\mathcal{R}_{\beta}) \, \mathcal{U}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{L}_{\alpha},\mathcal{R}_{\beta}) \, \mathcal{U}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{L}_{\alpha},\mathcal{R}_{\beta}) \\ = \int d\mathcal{R}_{\beta} \, \mathcal{U}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{L}_{\alpha},\mathcal{R}_{\beta}) \, \mathcal{U}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{L}_{\alpha},\mathcal{L}_{\alpha},\mathcal{L}_{\alpha}) \, \mathcal{U}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{L}_{\alpha},\mathcal{L}_{\alpha}) \, \mathcal{U}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{$$

$$\begin{split} \chi_{p}(\nu_{p})R_{p}) &= \int dR_{u} U_{p}(\nu_{p})R_{u} V_{u}(R_{u}R_{p}) U_{u}(\nu_{u})R_{p} \int Sim K_{u} R_{u} \\ \int G'R_{u} U_{p}(\nu_{p})R_{u}) V_{p}(R_{u}R_{p}) \int dR'_{u} \int G'R_{p} G_{u}(R_{u}R_{p})R'_{u}R'_{p} \sum_{T'_{u}} U_{u}(\nu_{u})R'_{p}) \\ \int G'R_{u} U_{p}(\nu_{p})R_{u}) V_{p}(R_{u}R_{p}) \int dR'_{u} \int G'R'_{p} G_{u}(R_{u}R_{p})R'_{u}R'_{p} \sum_{T'_{u}} U_{u}(\nu_{u})R'_{p}) \\ \int G'R_{u} U_{p}(\nu_{p})R_{u}) V_{p}(R_{u}R_{p}) \int dR'_{u} \int G'R'_{p} G_{u}(R_{u}R_{p})R'_{u}R'_{p} \sum_{T'_{u}} U'_{u}(\nu_{u})R'_{p}) \\ \int G'R_{u} U_{p}(\nu_{p})R_{u} V_{p}(R_{u}R_{p}) \int dR'_{u} \int G'R'_{p} G_{u}(R_{u}R_{p})R'_{u}R'_{p} \sum_{T'_{u}} U'_{u}(\nu_{u})R'_{p}) \\ \int G'R_{u} U_{p}(\nu_{p})R_{u} V_{p}(R_{u}R_{p}) \int G'R'_{u} \int G'R'_{p} G_{u}(R_{u}R_{p})R'_{u}R'_{p} \sum_{T'_{u}} U'_{u}(\nu_{u})R'_{p}) \\ \int G'R'_{u} U'_{p}(\nu_{p})R_{u} V_{p}(R_{u}R_{p}) \int G'R'_{u} \int G'R'_{u} G'R'_{u} R'_{p} \int G'R'_{u} R'_{u} \int G'R'_{u} R'_{p} \int G'R'_{u} R'_{p} \int G'R'_{u} R'_{u} R'_{u} \int G'R'_{u} R'_{u} R'_{u} \int G'R'_{u} R'_{u} R'_{u} \int G'R'_{u} R'_{u} R'_{u}$$

The Green function $G_{\rho}(R_{\alpha}, R_{\beta}) R'_{\alpha} R'_{\beta}$) was obtained in Chapter III and is given here as

$$G_{\mu}(R_{A}R_{\beta}|R_{A}R_{\beta}) = -i \sum_{\nu} \frac{1}{\mathcal{R}_{\nu}} e^{i \mathcal{R}_{\mu}R_{\nu}} S_{in} \mathcal{R}_{\nu} R_{\nu} U_{\mu}(v|R) U_{\mu}(v|R)$$
(B-29)

where R_{χ} and R_{χ} are the lesser and greater respectively of R_{χ} and R'_{χ} . The resulting integrals in Eqs. (B-27) and (B-28) are now executed to give

$$\mathcal{X}_{d}(u_{1}R_{A}) = Sin_{K_{2a}}R_{a}\int_{0}^{\infty} \partial R_{\beta} U_{a}(z_{a}R_{\beta}) V_{a}(R_{a}R_{\beta}) U_{a}(z_{a}R_{\beta}) U_{a}($$

and

$$\mathcal{L}_{p}(z_{p}|R_{p}) = U_{q}(z_{0}) \int dR_{q} U_{p}(z_{p}) R_{d} V_{q}(R_{q}, R_{p}) \int dR_{q} U_{q}(z_{p}) R_{q} V_{q}(R_{q}, R_{p}) \int dR_{q} U_{q}(Z_{q}, R_{q}) \int dR_{q} U_{q}(Z_{q}, R_{q}$$

(B-28)

where

$$F(v_{\rho}|R_{\rho}) = \frac{i}{K_{\nu_{\rho}}} \int dR'_{\rho} \chi_{\rho}(v_{\rho}|R'_{\rho}) J_{\rho}(R_{\rho}|R_{\rho})$$
(B-32)

and

$$J_p(R_{px}|R_{ps}) = Sinx \chi_p R_{ex} e^{i \chi_p R_{ps}}.$$
(B-33)

It is now convenient to express Eqs. (B-30) and (B-31) in terms of the F_p $(\mathcal{V}_p)R_p$). Thus each equation is multiplied by the appropriate $J_{p}(R_{e}(R_{f}))/_{\mathcal{X}_{p}}$ and integrated over the corresponding R_p to yield

$$F_{a}(\mathcal{V}_{a}|R_{a}) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{K}_{v_{a}}} \int_{a}^{a} \mathcal{J}_{a}(R_{a}|R_{a}) S_{ini}\mathcal{K}_{v_{a}}R_{a} \int_{a}^{a} \mathcal{J}_{a}(R_{a}|R_{p}) V_{a}(R_{a}, R_{p}) \mathcal{U}_{a}(v_{a}|R_{p}) - \frac{i}{\mathcal{K}_{v_{a}}} \sum_{v_{b}} \int_{a}^{a} \mathcal{J}_{a}(R_{a}|R_{a}) \mathcal{U}_{b}(v_{p}|R_{a}) \int_{a}^{a} \mathcal{J}_{a}(R_{p}, R_{p}) \mathcal{V}_{a}(\mathcal{K}_{a}, R_{p}) \mathcal{U}_{a}(v_{a}|R_{p})$$

and

$$F_{p}(v_{\beta 1}R_{\rho}) \qquad (B-35)$$

$$= \frac{1}{K_{v_{p}}} \int dR_{p}' J_{p}(R_{p}(1R_{p})) U_{a}(v_{a}|R_{p}) \int dR_{a} U_{p}(v_{p}/R_{a}) V_{a}(R_{a}R_{p}) Sin K_{v_{a}}R_{a}$$

$$- \frac{\lambda}{K_{v_{p}}} \sum_{v_{a}} \int dR_{p} J_{p}(R_{p}(1R_{p})) U_{a}(v_{a}|R_{p}) \int dR_{a} F_{a}(v_{a}|R_{a}) V_{p}(R_{a}R_{p}) U_{p}(v_{p}|R_{a}).$$

Since the perturbations V_{α} (R_{α} , R_{β}) and V_{β} (R_{α} , R_{β}) are separable in R_{α} and R_{β} as indicated by Eqs. (B-) and (B-11), the separable equations for F_{α} (V_{α}) R_{α}) and F_{β} (V_{β}) R_{β}) are

$$F_{\alpha}(\nu_{\alpha}|R_{\alpha}) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{K}_{\nu_{\alpha}}} \sum_{\substack{i'=1\\ i'=1}}^{3} \int dR'_{\alpha} J_{\alpha}(R_{\alpha}(|R_{\alpha}\rangle) Sin(\mathcal{K}_{\nu_{\alpha}}, R'_{\alpha}) A_{\alpha,i'}(R'_{\alpha})$$

$$X \int_{0}^{\infty} dR_{\beta} U_{\alpha}(\nu_{\alpha}|R_{\beta}) B_{\alpha,i'}(R_{\beta}) U_{\alpha}(\nu_{\alpha}\circ|R_{\beta})$$

$$-\frac{i}{\mathcal{K}_{\nu_{\alpha}}} \sum_{\substack{\nu_{\beta} \\ \nu_{\alpha} \\ \nu_{\beta}}} \sum_{\substack{j' \\ i'=1}}^{3} \int dR_{\beta} F_{\beta}(\nu_{\beta}|R_{\beta}) U_{\alpha}(\nu_{\alpha}|R_{\beta}) B_{\alpha,i'}(R_{\beta})$$

$$X \int_{0}^{\infty} dR'_{\alpha} J_{\alpha}(R_{\alpha}\langle R_{\alpha}\rangle) A_{\alpha,i'}(R'_{\alpha}) U_{\beta}(\nu_{\beta}'|R'_{\alpha})$$

$$X \int_{0}^{\infty} dR'_{\alpha} J_{\alpha}(R_{\alpha}\langle R_{\alpha}\rangle) A_{\alpha,i'}(R'_{\alpha}) U_{\beta}(\nu_{\beta}'|R'_{\alpha})$$

or more compactly as

$$F_{a}(z_{a}|R_{a}) = \frac{1}{K_{v_{a}}} \left[\sum_{i'=1}^{3} I_{a'}(v_{a}|R_{a}|i') - i \sum_{i'=1}^{3} \sum_{i'=1}^{3} H_{a}(z_{a}|v_{p}|i'|R_{a}) F_{p}(v_{a}|v_{p}|i') \right];$$
(B-37)

and

which in condensed notation becomes

(B-39)

$$=\frac{1}{R_{\nu_{p}}} \left[\sum_{i'=1}^{3} I_{p}(2i) R_{p}(i') - i \sum_{i'=1}^{3} \frac{1}{K_{\nu_{p}}} (2i) I_{p}(2i) I_{p}(i') - i \sum_{i'=1}^{3} \frac{1}{K_{\nu_{p}}} (2i) I_{p}(2i) I_{p}$$

The quantities $\mathbb{I}_{po}(\mathcal{V}_{p}|\mathcal{R}_{p}|\mathcal{I})$, $H_{p}(\mathcal{V}_{p}|\mathcal{V}_{p}|\mathcal{I}|\mathcal{R}_{p})$, and $F_{p}(\mathcal{V}_{p}|\mathcal{V}_{p}|\mathcal{I})$ above are defined by

$$I_{a^{o}}(\mathcal{V}_{a}|\mathbf{R}_{a}|\mathbf{x}) = \int_{a}^{o} dR_{a} J_{a}(\mathbf{R}_{a^{o}}|\mathbf{R}_{a^{o}}) S_{i^{o}}K_{\mathbf{x}} R_{a}^{\prime} A_{a^{o}}(\mathbf{R}_{a}^{\prime})$$

$$X \int_{a}^{o} dR_{p} U_{a}(\mathbf{v}_{a}|\mathbf{R}_{p}) B_{a^{o}}(\mathbf{R}_{p}) U_{a}(\mathbf{v}_{a}|\mathbf{R}_{p}) ,$$
(B-40)

$$I_{\beta^{\circ}}(\nu_{\beta}R_{\beta}I_{\alpha}) = \int_{0}^{\infty} dR_{\beta}' J_{\beta}(R_{\beta^{\circ}}|R_{\beta^{\circ}}) B_{\beta^{\circ}}(R_{\beta}) U_{\alpha}(\nu_{\alpha}\circ R_{\beta}')$$

$$X \int_{0}^{\infty} dR_{\alpha} U_{\beta}(\nu_{\beta}R_{\alpha}) A_{\beta^{\circ}}(R_{\alpha}) S_{ini}(R_{z_{\alpha}}, R_{\alpha}),$$
(B-42)

$$H_{\alpha}(v_{\alpha}|v_{\beta}|i|R_{\alpha}) = \int dR'_{\alpha} J_{\alpha}(R_{\alpha}|R_{\alpha}) A_{\alpha i}(R'_{\alpha}) U_{\beta}(v_{\beta}|R'_{\alpha}), \qquad (B-43)$$

$$H_{\rho}(\nu_{\rho}|\nu_{a}|i|R_{\rho}) = \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} dR_{\rho}' J_{\rho}(R_{\rho}|R_{\rho}) B_{\beta,i}(R_{\beta}) U_{a}(\nu_{a}|R_{\beta}), \qquad (B-44)$$

$$F_{a}(v_{\beta}|v_{a}|i) = \int dR_{a} F_{a}(v_{a}|R_{a}) U_{\beta}(v_{\beta}|R_{a}) A_{\beta i}(R_{a}),$$

and

Equations (B-37) and (B-49) can be substituted into the right hand sides of Eqs. (B-44) and (B-45), respectively to obtain equations for the constants F_{α} (ν_{μ} | ν_{μ} | $\dot{\lambda}$) and F_{β} (ν_{α} | ν_{β} | $\dot{\lambda}$) given by

$$(B-46)$$

$$F_{a}(\nu_{p}|\nu_{a}|i) = \frac{1}{K_{\nu_{a}}} \int_{i=1}^{3} \int_{a}^{a} dR_{a} I_{ao}(\nu_{a}|R_{a}|i') U_{p}(\nu_{p}|R_{a}) A_{pi}(R_{a})$$

$$-\frac{i}{K_{\nu_{a}}} \sum_{\nu_{p}}^{3} \int_{i=1}^{3} F_{p}(\nu_{a}|\nu_{p}'|i') \int_{a}^{a} dR_{a} H_{a}(\nu_{a}|\nu_{p}'|i') R_{a}) U_{p}(\nu_{p}'|R_{a}) A_{pi}(R_{a})$$
and
$$(B-47)$$

$$F_{p}(v_{a}|v_{p}|i) = \frac{1}{R_{v_{p}}} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \int dR_{p} I_{po}(v_{p}|R_{p}|i) \mathcal{U}_{a}(v_{a}|R_{p}) B_{ai}(R_{p})$$

$$-\frac{i}{R_{v_{p}}} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{j=1}^{3} F_{a}(v_{p}|v_{a}|i) \int dR_{p} H_{p}(v_{p}|v_{a}|i|R_{p}) \mathcal{U}_{a}(v_{a}|R_{p}) B_{ai}(R_{p});$$

and these are expressed more concisely as

(B-48)

$$F_{a}(v_{p}|v_{a}|i) = \frac{1}{K_{v_{a}}} \widetilde{I}_{ao}(v_{a}|v_{p}|i)$$
$$-\frac{1}{K_{v_{a}}} \sum_{v_{a}} \sum_{v_{a}} \widetilde{I}_{ao}(v_{a}|v_{p}|i) \widetilde{H}_{a}(i) v_{a}(v_{p}|i') F_{p}(v_{a}') v_{p}(i')$$

and

$$F_{p}(v_{a}|v_{p}|i) = \frac{1}{K_{v_{p}}} \widetilde{I}_{po}(v_{p}|v_{a}|i) - \frac{1}{K_{v_{p}}} \widetilde{I}_{p}(v_{p}|v_{a}|i) \widetilde{I}_{p}(i|v_{p}'|v_{a}'|i') F_{p}(v_{p}'|v_{a}'|i') \widetilde{I}_{p}(v_{p}'|v_{a}'|i').$$

Equations (B-48) and (B-49) constitute members of a set of coupled algebraic equations which can be simultaneously solved for the $F_{\alpha}(\tau_{\beta}|\tau_{\alpha}|i)$ and $F_{\beta}(\tau_{\alpha}|\tau_{\beta}|i)$, using a computer. After obtaining these quantities, the functions $F_{\alpha}(\tau_{\alpha}|R_{\alpha})$ and $f_{\beta}(\tau_{\beta}|R_{\beta})$ can be obtained from Eqs. (B-37) and (B-39).

The transition amplitudes given by Eqs. (B-23) and (B-24) can now be obtained by considering the asymptotic form of $F_{p}(\mathcal{V}_{p} | \mathcal{R}_{p})$, i.e.,

$$L_{irn} = F_{a}(v_{a}|R_{a}) = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{e^{iK_{v_{a}}R_{a}}}{K} \int_{0}^{\infty} dR'_{a} S_{in}(R'_{v_{a}}R'_{a}) S_{in}(R'_{v_{a}}R'_{a}) A_{a,i}(R'_{a})$$

$$X \int_{0}^{\infty} dR_{p} U_{a}(v_{a}|R_{p}) B_{a,i}(R_{p}) U_{a}(v_{a}) R_{p})$$

$$- \frac{i}{K_{v_{a}}} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{j=1}^{3} F_{p}(v_{a}|v_{j}|i) e^{iK_{v_{a}}R_{a}} \int_{0}^{\infty} dR'_{e} S_{in}K_{v_{a}}R'_{a} A_{a,i}(R_{a}) U_{i}(v_{j}|R_{a}).$$

$$(3-51)$$

$$\lim_{R_{a}\to \infty} \overline{E}(\nu_{a}|R_{a}) = \frac{e^{iR_{\nu_{a}}R_{a}}}{R_{\nu_{a}}} \left\langle \Theta(\nu_{a}) \right| \left\langle \zeta_{a} \right| \Theta(\nu_{a}) \right\rangle.$$

Similarly

$$\frac{1}{R_{p} \to \infty} = \frac{e^{i R_{p} R_{p}}}{\frac{1}{R_{p} \to \infty}} \left\langle \Theta(p) \left| \frac{1}{2} \rho_{x} \right| \Theta(p) \right\rangle,$$

and the transition matrix elements can be determined from these relations.

If Morse eigenfunctions are used as the bound state vibrational function $\bigcup_{\rho} (\mathcal{V}_{\rho} | R_{\rho'})$, it appears that a numerical evaluation is required of the integrals which define the $\widetilde{\mathbf{T}}_{\rho}$ and $\widetilde{\mathbf{H}}_{\rho}$ in Eqs, (B-48) and (B-49). However, if $\bigcup_{\rho} (\mathcal{V}_{\rho} | R_{\rho'})$ is expressed as a Slater function, i.e., as (B-53)

$$U_{\alpha}(\mathcal{V}_{\alpha}|\mathcal{R}_{\beta}) = \sum_{n} B_{n}(\mathcal{V}_{\alpha}) \mathcal{R}_{\beta}^{n} e^{-\mathcal{V}_{n}\mathcal{R}_{\beta}},$$

the integrals in question can be evaluated analytically once the constants $B_n(\mathcal{V}_a)$ and \mathcal{V}_n for the Slater functions are known.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 1. W.N. Sams and D.J. Kouri, J.Chem. Phys. 51, 4809 and 4851 (1969).
- W.N. Sams, Ph.D. dissertation, Physics Department, University of Houston.
- M. Baer and D.J. Kouri, J. Chem. Phys., "Theory of Reactive Scattering II. Application of the Operator Formalism to a Linear Model for Three Body Rearrangements", Accepted for Publication.
- 4. L.M. Delves, Nuclear Phys. <u>9</u> 391 (1958-1959).
- 5. L.M. Delves, Nuclear Phys. <u>20</u>, 275 (1060).
- F.T. Smith, Phys. Rev. <u>120</u>, 1058 (1960). Additional references are given in this paper.
- 7. F.T. Smith, J. Math. Phys. 3, 735 (1962).
- 8. A.J. Dragt, J. Math. Phys. <u>6</u>, 533 (1965).
- R.D. Amado, in M Chretien and S. Schweber (eds), Elementary Particle Physics and Scattering Theory, Vol. 11 (Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, Inc., New York, 1970) pp. 5-123.
- P.M. Morse and H. Feshbach, Methods of Theoretical Physics (McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc., New York, 1953) p. 1730.
- 11. R.J. White and F.H. Stillinger Jr., J. Chem. Phys. <u>52</u>, 5800 (1970).
- 12. A. Sommerfeld, Math. Ann. <u>119</u>, 1 (1943).
- 13. L.C. Hostler, J. Math. Phys. <u>11</u>, 2966 (1970).
- 14 W.N. Sams and D.J. Kouri, J. Chem. Phys. 53, 496 (1970).
- 15. W.G. Cooper and D.J. Kouri, J. Chem. Phys. (accepted for publication).

- 16. Reference 9, p. 29.
- A. Messiah, Quantum Mechanics (North Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam, 1965) p. 470.
- 18. Reference 17, p. 497 where $e^{ik \cdot (\underline{r} \underline{r}')}$ is written $(e^{ik \cdot \underline{r}})(e^{ik \cdot \underline{r}'})^*$
- A.R. Edmonds, Angular momentum in Quantum Mechanics (Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1957) p. 21.
- 20.G.N. Watson, A. Treatise on the Theory of Bessel Functions (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1966) p. 370.
- 21.Reference 10, p. 1755.
- 22. Reference 20, p. 429.
- 23. H.F. Hameka, J. Chem. Phys. 51, 1148 (1969).
- 24. W.N. Sams and D.J. Kouri, J. Chem. Phys. 52, 4144 (1970).
- 25. P.D. Robinson, T.T. Warnock, and N. Anderson, Phys. Rev. <u>A1</u>, 1314 (1970). Also see reference 26 and references to the literature cited in these papers.
- 26. S. Weinberg. Phys. Rev. 133, B 232 (1964).
- 27. R.J. White and F.H Stillinger Jr. Phys. Rev. 3, A. 1521 (1971).
- 28. J.H. Macek, Phys. Rev. <u>160</u>, 170 (1967).
- 29. W. Zickendraht, Ann. Phys. <u>35</u>, 18 (1965).
- 30. W.G. Cooper and D.J. Kouri, J. Math Phys. (accepted for publication).
- 31. Normalized Center of Mass Coordinates Result from a transformation such that the relative kinetic energy operator contains no cross terms and there is a single reduced mass. Such coordinates are discussed by D.W. Jepsen and J.O. Hirschfelder, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.

45, 249 (1959). E.P. Wigner originated this idea and suggested one such transformation; also, see reference 6 for a detailed discussion.
 33. Reference 10, p. 1323.

- 34. J. Gruninger, Y. Ohrn, and P.O Löwdin, J. Chem. Phys. <u>52</u>,5551 (1970).
- 35. F. London, Z. Electrochem. <u>35</u>, 552 (1929).
- 36. H. Eyring and M. Polanyi, Z. Physik. Chem <u>B12</u>, 279 (1931).
- 37. H. Hulburt and J.O. Hirschfelder, J. Chem. Phys. 11, 273 (1944).
- 38. D.J. Diestler and V. Mckoy, J. Chem. Phys. 48, 2951 (1968).
- 39. K.T. Tang, B. Kleinman and M. Karplus, J. Chem. Phys. 50, 1119 (1969).
- 40. D.G. Truhlar and A. Kupperman, J. Chem. Phys. <u>52</u>, 3841, (1969).
- 41. P.D. Robinson, J. Chem. Phys. 52, 3175 (1970).
- 42. D.R. Dion, M.B. Milleur, and J.D. Hirschfelder, J. Chem. Phys. <u>52</u>, 3170 (1970).
- 43. D.J. Locker and D.J. Wilson, J. Chem. Phys. <u>53</u>, 2858 (1970).
- 44. M. Baer and D.J. Kouri, Phys. Rev. "Rearrangement Channel Operator Approach to Models for Three Body Reactions. I. ", Accepted for Publication.
- 45. N. S. Evers, Ph.D. dissertation, Chemistry Department, University of Houston (1971).
- 46. N.S. Evers, and D.J. Kouri, J. Chem. Phys; "Theory of Reactive Scattering IV. Simple Model for Endoergic and Excergic Collinear Reactive Collision", accepted for publication.
- 47. M. Baer and D.J. Kouri, J. Chem. Phys, "Theory of Reactive Scattering III. Coupled Channel & Operator Formalism for Three Body Rearrangements in Three Dimensions:, accepted for publication.

- 48. J.O. Hirschfelder, Int. J. of Quantum Chem. III S, 17 (1969).
- 49. L.I. Schiff, Quantum Mechanics (McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc., New York, 1968) p. 285.
- 50. W. A. Chupka, J. Berkowitz and M.E. Russell, Sixth International Conference on Atomic and Electronic Collisions, Cambridge, Mass., MIT Press, p. 71 (1969).
- 51.P.J. Brown and E.F. Hayes, J. Chem. Phys. The experimental cross-section curves were obtained from Dr. W.A. Chupka through the courtesy of Professor E.F. Hayes.
- 52. P.M. Morse, Phys. Rev., 34, 57 (1929).
- 53. H.S. Heaps and G. Herzberg, Z. Physik 133, 48 (1952).
- 54. J.C. Slater, Quantum Theory of Molecules and Solids (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1963) Vol. 1, p. 17.

TABLE 1. H atom: Comparison of Calculated and Exact Energy Values in rydbergs for the Ground and First Three Excited S-States

| | Ground State 1 s | lst Excited State 2 s | 2nd Excited State 3 s | 3rd Excited State 4 s |
|----------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Exact | -1.0 | -0.25 | -1/9 | -0.0625 |
| Calculation I ^a | -1.00000000000 | -0.249999999988 | -0.11111111102 | -0.062499996 |
| Calculation II | -1.00000000001 | -0.249999999990 | -0.11111111106 | -0.062499997 |

^aFor calculations I and II, the radial component was integrated to a maximum value of 75 in step sizes of 0.005 and 0.01, respectively.

•

. ·

.

.

· .

.

TABLE 2. H atom: Calculated Energy Values in rydbergs

as a Function of the Upper Limit of Integration,

R max.

.

| | Ground state 1 s | lst Excited state 2 s | 2nd Excited state 3 s | 3rd Excited state 4 s |
|------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| R _{max} | • . | | | |
| 25 | -1.00000000004 | -0.2499999565 | -0.110594711 | -0.04594868 |
| 35 | -0.99999999999999 | -0.249999999998 | -0.111106206 | -0.06079321 |
| 45 | -0.9999999999997 | -0.249999999998 | -0.111111085 | -0.06239419 |
| 50 | -0.9999999999998 | -0.24999999993 | -0.111111109 | -0.06247836 |
| 60 | -0.9999999999995 | -0.249999999991 | -0.111111111 | -0.06249933 |
| 75 | -1.00000000011 | -0.249999999990 | -0.111111111 | -0.06249999 |

^aThe step size was 0.01 for each case and the maximum computer time required on the Univac 1108 was 20 seconds for $R_{max} = 75$.

TABLE 3. Comparison of the Helium Atom Calculations with the

Experimental Ground State Energy

| Type of He system | total energy, rydbergs |
|--|------------------------|
| • | |
| experimental observation | -5.808 |
| system I ^a (moving nucleus model) | -4.9957862 |
| systems II & III (infinitely massive and fixed nucleus models) | -5.0000450 |

^aAn upper limit of integration of 35 and a step size of 0.001 was employed to compute the energy values.

1

TABLE 4. Calculated Energy for the Ground State Helium Atom as a Function of Step Size and Comparison of the Results Obtained Using Numerical and Analytic Techniques of Integration

| Method of integration | total energy, rydbergs | |
|---|------------------------|--|
| | | |
| analytic ^a | -5.0000456 | |
| numerical ^b (Δ =0.001) | -5.0000450 | |
| numerical (A=0.005) | -5.0002951 | |
| numerical (A=0.01) | -5.0010764 | |
| | | |

^aReference η ^bThe upper limit of integration is 35 and the step size is indicated by Δ .



· · ·



155



156



١

.

FIGURE 4





•

·

.























••* ^{*}



 \mathbf{N}



1 ,
FIGURE 16

·









Figure 18







PROPOSAL

A Mathematical Model for Transformation in Bacillus subtilis

The proposed research consist of developing and testing a mathematical model to describe genetic transformation of <u>Bacillus</u> <u>subtilis</u>. This project is suggested by the conclusions of, for example, Erickson and Braun (10), Bodmer (3), Cooper (5) and Cooper and Evans (6) that recipient chromosomes of a competent culture possess target loci with which particular donor segments must interact in order to effect the particular transformations. It is further suggested that unbroken portions of single stranded donor DNA becomes integrated into recipient chromosomes (7) and that either of the two donor strands can produce a transformation (4). It is also well known that the target loci are not susceptible to transforming DNA unless the recipient culture is in the physiological state of competence (1).

By using this information and the fact that a replication map exists for <u>B</u>. <u>subtilis</u> chromosomes (9), a possible model for the transformation system may be assumed. For example, in a usual transformation experiment (1), the rate at which <u>B</u>. <u>subtilis</u> transformants accumulate would be assumed to depend on (a) the concentration and physical properties of donor DNA (11), (b) the time (11) of DNA incubation, (c) growth of the transformed cells during the incubation period, and (d) the concentration of receptive loci, i.e., those which can be transformed.

By using these variables, a general mathematical model can be constructed to obtain an accurate description of the observable transforming events in cultures throughout the growth curve spectrum. It is anticipated that this model will involve several parameters and rate constants to be determined empirically. In turn, explicit forms of the mathematical model could render information concerning the possible mechanisms for describing DNA recombination. Thus, such mechanisms and their attendant mathematical models should suggest new experiments and/or ways of using data currently available.

Although Hotchkiss(13) and Fox and Hotchkiss (11) have considered some of the kinetic processes involved in transformation experiments, a more general example model for DNA induced transformation in a competent <u>B. subtilis</u> culture can now be considered where terms are defined in the following manner:

t = time

y = number of observed transformats

x = the number of loci receptive to transformation

 $x_0 =$ the number of receptive loci when t = 0

z = the number of loci not receptive to transformation

 K_T = transformation constant; dependet on the physical properties and concentration of donor DNA and on the recipient locus to be transformed

 K_p = replication constant for transformed loci

N = x + z

 $K_{R1} \Rightarrow$ replication constant for receptive loci

 δ_{z} = competence constant governing the cell reaction $Z \xrightarrow{\delta_{z}} X$ which changes the cell classification from Z to X.

$$S_{\mathbf{X}}$$
 = competence constant governing the cell reaction $X \xrightarrow{S_{\mathbf{X}}} \mathbb{Z}$
which changes the cell classification from X to $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{N}}$

With this example model, the number of transformed recipient loci, y, would change according to the equation.

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = K_T X + K_R Y \tag{1}$$

and the rate of change of X would be written as

(2)

(3)

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = -K_{\tau}X + K_{R_{I}}X + S_{z}Z - S_{x}X$$
$$= (K_{R_{I}} - K_{\tau} - S_{x})X + S_{z}(N-X) = 2X + S_{z}N$$

where

$$\mathcal{V} = \left(K_{RI} - K_{T} - S_{X} - S_{Z} \right).$$

The equation for N is given by

$$N = N_o e^{\mathcal{H}t} \tag{4}$$

where \mathcal{H} is the usual growth constant and N₀ is the value of N at t = 0. Equation (4) is substituted into Eq. (2) to give

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = UX + \int_{z}^{z} N_{o} e^{2et}$$
(5)

which can be integrated to give an equation for X as

$$X(t) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{H} - \mathcal{W}} \left\{ S_{z} N_{o} e^{\mathcal{H}t} + \left[X_{o} (\mathcal{H} - \mathcal{W}) - S_{z} N_{o} \right] e^{\mathcal{W}t} \right\}$$

This expression for X is substituted into Eq. (1) to give

$$d' y'_{dt} - K_R y = K_T \left\{ \frac{1}{2R - 20} \left[\int_{z} N_0 e^{2Rt} + \left\{ X_0 (2R - 20) - \int_{z} N_0 \right\} e^{2Rt} \right] \right\}$$

which is integrated to yield an equation for y as

$$Y(t) = \frac{\kappa_{\tau}}{\partial l - 2\ell} \left\{ \frac{\delta_{i} N_{o}}{\partial l - \kappa_{R}} e^{-\beta l \cdot t} + \left(\frac{X_{o}(\partial l - 2\ell) - \delta_{i} N_{o}}{2\ell - \kappa_{R}} \right) e^{-2\ell t} \left(\frac{\delta_{i} N_{o}}{2\ell - \kappa_{R}} + \frac{X_{o}(\partial l - 2\ell) - \delta_{i} N_{o}}{2\ell - \kappa_{R}} \right) e^{\kappa_{R} t} \right\}$$

$$(8)$$

Due to the nature of genetic transfer in transformation, this process appears to be a logical first choice to consider in constructing a mechanistic model to describe genetic recombination in bacteria. For example, transformation is particularly amenable for investigating relationships between the physical structure of DNA and it biological functions; i.e., it is the only method currently available by which the effects of physical and/or chemical alterations in the structure of DNA may be correlated with its biological activity. The <u>B. subtilis</u> transformation system is particularly attractive because of extensive

(6)

(7)

1-1

genetic studies of this organism; these include transformation, transduction, and replication mapping. However, since transformation has recently been discovered in <u>E</u>. <u>coli</u> (2), a more meaningful comparison of transformation, transduction, and conjugation with respect to the modes of transfer and the recombination event itself is possible. Thus mathematical models of recombination for a transforming system could serve as a basis which could be expanded (or adapted) to describe bacteria recombination in general and/or the specific categories of recombination. Further, it is not improbable that "spontaneous" mutations are closely connected to the mechanisms involved with genetic recombination (14), whereas the latter appears to be associated with replication (8). Thus, models which correctly describe genetic recombination in bacteria could be applicable to more complex biological systems.

By experimentally measuring the various constants appearing in Eq. (8), one should hope to obtain a better understanding of the transformation process and perhaps modify the present model.

REFERENCES

- 1. Anagnostopoulos, E. and J. Spizizen (1961) J. Baceriol <u>81</u>, 74.
- 2. Aradhani, N.G., B. Mehta and D. Rege (1969) J. Mol.Biol. 42, 413.
- 3. Bodmer, W.F (1965) J. Mol. Biol. 14, 534.
- 4. Chilton, M.D. (1967) Science 157, 817.
- 5. Cooper, W.G. (1968) Master's Thesis, University of Houston.

178

- 6. Cooper, W.G. and J.E. Evans (1968) Biophy. J., Soc. Abstr. TA 12.
- 7. Darlington, A. and W. Bodmer (1968) Genetics 60, 681.
- 8. Drake, John W. (1970) The Molecular Basis of Mutation (Holden-Day, San Francisco, 1970) pp. 177-185.
- Dubnabu, D., C. Goldthwate, J. Smith and J. Marmer (1967) J. Mol. Biol. <u>27</u>, 163.
- 10.Erickson, R. and W. Braun (1968) Bacterio. Rev. 32, 291.
- 11. Fox, M.S. and R.D. Hotchkiss (1957) Nature 179, 1322.
- 12. Hayes, W. (1968) The Genetics of Bacteria and Their Viruses, 2nd Edition, p. 579.
- Hotchkiss, R.D. (1957) in McElroy and Glass (eds.), A Symposium on the Chemical Basis of Hereditary (Baltimore, The John Hopkins Press) p. 321.
- 14. Yoshikawa, H. (1966) Genetics 54, 1201.