

Published in final edited form as:

Addict Behav. 2013 December ; 38(12): . doi:10.1016/j.addbeh.2013.08.025.

Expressive Writing as a Brief Intervention for Reducing Drinking Intentions

Chelsie M. Young, Lindsey M. Rodriguez, and Clayton Neighbors

University of Houston

Abstract

The present study examined the effectiveness of expressive writing in reducing drinking behavior. We expected that students prompted to write about negative drinking experiences would show greater decreases in future drinking intentions compared to the neutral and the positive writing conditions. We also expected that decreases in drinking intentions following the writing prompts might differ based on current drinking and AUDIT scores. Participants included 200 (76% female) undergraduates who completed measures of their current drinking behavior. They were then randomly assigned to either write about: a time when they had a lot to drink that was a good time (Positive); a time when they had a lot to drink that was a bad time (Negative); or their first day of college (Neutral), followed by measures assessing intended drinking over the next three months. Results revealed that participants intended to drink significantly fewer drinks per week and engage in marginally fewer heavy drinking occasions after writing about a negative drinking occasion when compared to control. Interactions provided mixed findings suggesting that writing about a positive event was associated with higher drinking intentions for heavier drinkers. Writing about a negative event was associated with higher intentions among heavier drinkers, but lower intentions among those with higher AUDIT scores. This research builds on previous expressive writing interventions by applying this technique to undergraduate drinkers. Preliminary results provide some support for this innovative strategy but also suggest the need for further refinement, especially with heavier drinkers.

Keywords

alcohol; narrative; college

1. Introduction

1.1. College Drinking

Young adults engage in heavy drinking and experience a range of alcohol-related problems, including hangovers, injuries, and fatalities (Hingson, Heeren, Winter, & Wechsler, 2005;

Please direct all correspondence regarding this manuscript to Chelsie Young, Department of Psychology, 126 Heyne Bldg, University of Houston, Houston, TX 77204-5022. Phone: (713) 743-2616, Fax (713) 743-8588, cyoung2@uh.edu.

Contributors: Author 1 initially analyzed the results, wrote the introduction and method sections, and wrote pieces of all major sections. Author 2 designed the study, wrote a piece of the introduction, and wrote the majority of the discussion. Author 3 participated in analyses, wrote the results section, and compiled the tables. All authors contributed to and have approved the final manuscript.

Conflict of Interest: All three authors have declared no conflict of interest.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Hingson, 2010). Additional research is needed to understand how to more effectively implement empirically-based alcohol interventions to reduce drinking and related problems (Hingson, 2010). The present research evaluates a novel brief intervention for reducing intentions to drink among college students.

1.2. Expressive Writing

Expressive writing (EW) has been shown to lead to improvements in physical and psychological health in both patient (Craft, Davis, & Paulson, 2013; Pennebaker, Kiecolt-Glaser, & Glaser, 1988; Stanton et al., 2002) and healthy college populations (Pennebaker & Beall, 1986). EW has been theorized to promote health and well-being through emotional disclosure and cognitive processing of traumatic events. In the traditional EW paradigm, participants reflect on a traumatic experience and express related thoughts and feelings in narrative form. As such, EW is tied both to emotion regulation (Smyth & Arigo, 2009) and cognitive processes (Smyth & Greenberg, 2000). EW prompts participants to reorganize their memory of these events into a narrative, which may also assist in efforts to better understand and cope with the experience (Smyth & Helm, 2003).

1.3. Expressive Writing and Behavior Change

Although EW has been repeatedly linked to improved physical health and psychological functioning, the relationship between EW and behavior change is unclear. EW is ineffective in promoting health through increasing exercise (Pennebaker et al., 1988) and decreasing smoking (Ames et al., 2005; Ames et al., 2007); however, EW is effective in promoting healthy sleep patterns (Harvey & Farrell, 2003), improving student grades (Lumley & Provenzano, 2003), decreasing absenteeism (Francis & Pennebaker, 1992), and reducing time spent searching for a job (Spera, Buhrfeind, & Pennebaker, 1994). Interestingly, participants in the EW condition of the Spera et al. (1994) study reported accepting employment at greater rates *and* decreasing their alcohol consumption compared to the control group at six-week follow-up. This downstream effect of decreased drinking provides promise for the use of EW paradigms as brief interventions to reduce drinking.

1.4. Memory and Expectancies

The consequences of an alcohol-related experience and the setting in which those consequences occur provide a basis for expectations and intentions for future similar behavior (Bandura, 1977; Bandura, 1986; Maisto, Carey, & Bradizza, 1999; Wall, Thrussel, & Lalonde, 2003). A large body of literature has found significant associations between expectations of alcohol effects and subsequent drinking (Jones, Corbin, & Fromme, 2001). Alcohol expectancies have been described as a complex network of alcohol-related associations that represent memories (Goldman, Reich, & Darkes, 2006). It is important to recognize the distinction between expectations related to alcohol's effects and subsequent evaluations (Fromme, Stroot, & Kaplan, 1993). What some individuals regard as undesirable effects of alcohol (e.g., cognitive impairment, increased probability of sexual experience), others may regard as desirable. These evaluations of experiences may be important in considering how recalling and writing about prior alcohol experiences influences subsequent drinking intentions.

1.5. Current Research

The present research examined a brief writing intervention as a strategy to reduce problem drinking among undergraduates. Based on previous research, we hypothesized that writing about a negative alcohol-related event would activate negative associations with alcohol, and would thereby lead to decreased intentions to drink. Furthermore, we evaluated whether writing about a positive alcohol-related event would also lead to lower intentions to drink or

would have an iatrogenic effect and lead to greater drinking intentions. Finally, we were also interested in whether the effect of writing about a past drinking experience on future drinking intentions might vary as a function of typical drinking and/or level of hazardous drinking based on AUDIT scores.

2. Method

2.1. Participants and Procedure

Participants included 200 undergraduate students (76% female) aged 18-50 ($M=22.69$, $SD=4.89$) from a large and diverse southern university. Procedures were approved by the university's Institutional Review Board. Participants were seated in private individual testing rooms. Procedures took place on a computer that was programmed to administer a baseline survey, the experimental writing task, and a short follow-up assessment. Participants received course credit for their participation.

Participants completed a baseline survey, which included typical drinking behavior. Next, participants were randomly assigned to one of three writing conditions in which they were instructed to write about either: a time when they had a lot to drink that was a good time (Positive); a time when they had a lot to drink that was a bad time (Negative); or their first day of college (Neutral). They were asked to be as descriptive as possible and to provide specific details about their experiences. Upon completion of the writing assignment participants were asked to report their future drinking intentions.

2.3. Measures

2.3.1. Alcohol use—The Daily Drinking Questionnaire (DDQ; Collins, Parks, & Marlatt, 1985) measured the number of standard drinks consumed on every day of a normal week within the previous three months. The Quantity/Frequency Scale (Baer, 1993; Marlatt, Baer, & Larimer, 1995) consists of five items assessing the number of drinks consumed on a peak drinking occasion in the past month, as well as the number of days of the month that the individual consumed alcohol. The Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test was used as a measure of problem drinking (AUDIT; Babor, Higgins-Biddle, Saunders, & Monteiro, 2001). The AUDIT consists of 10 questions regarding typical drinking behavior.

2.3.2. Future drinking intentions—Drinking intentions were assessed with a modified version of the DDQ. We also included an item assessing the number of drinks intended to consume during a typical drinking event within the next three months (i.e., typical drinking), as well as the number of days out of the month that the individual intended to consume alcohol (i.e., drinking frequency; range identical to the QF item above). Finally, we asked participants how many times they intended to consume 4/5 or more drinks on a single occasion in the upcoming three months.

3. Results

3.1. Descriptives

Participants reported drinking an average of 6.99 drinks per week ($SD=8.82$), 4.18 drinks per typical occasion ($SD=4.69$), and AUDIT scores of 5.33 ($SD=5.05$).

3.2. Effect of Narrative Intervention

Drinking intentions were evaluated as a function of two contrasts representing the distinctions between the positive heavy drinking occasion and the neutral condition and between the negative heavy drinking occasion and the neutral condition. Compared to participants in the control condition, participants who wrote about a negative drinking

experience reported intending to drink significantly fewer drinks per week, $\beta = -.227$, $t(197) = -2.73$, $p = .007$. There was also a marginal effect of the negative condition compared to control in reducing the number of intended heavy drinking occasions, $\beta = -.145$, $t(196) = -1.73$, $p = .086$. No significant intervention effects were found for intended drinking frequency or intended number of drinks during typical occasions. Further, there were no significant effects of writing about a positive drinking experience on intended future drinking (all $ps > .15$). Thus, overall, without respect to previous drinking, we found some support for writing about a negative alcohol experience as a means of reducing future drinking intentions, but this effect was limited to one outcome.

3.3. Narrative Intervention Effects Contingent upon Baseline Drinking

Baseline typical drinking was created as a composite of drinks per week, drinking frequency, and number of drinks on a typical occasion. We also operationalized baseline hazardous drinking with AUDIT scores. We conducted hierarchical regression analyses, with separate models for typical drinking and hazardous drinking. Main effects of condition (i.e., positive versus control and negative versus control) and baseline drinking were entered into a regression equation at Step 1, with the interaction between condition and baseline drinking added at Step 2. The results are presented in the Table. Significant positive condition \times typical baseline drinking interactions emerged in two of the four outcomes: intended drinking frequency and intended number of drinks during a typical occasion. There was also a marginal positive condition \times typical hazardous drinking interaction predicting intended number of drinks during a typical occasion. Further, a significant negative condition \times typical baseline drinking interaction emerged in predicting intended drinking frequency, and a significant negative interaction \times hazardous baseline drinking emerged in predicting number of intended heavy drinking occasions. Interactions were graphed using procedures described by Aiken and colleagues (Aiken & West, 1991; Cohen, Cohen, West, & Aiken, 2003). Predicted values for drinking frequency intentions were derived from regression parameter estimates for positive and neutral conditions at high and low values of baseline drinking. Figure 1 graphs each of the significant interactions and presents respective simple slopes.

4. Discussion

The present study evaluated the effectiveness of three types of writing prompts on future drinking intentions among college students. Consistent with expectations, participants who were instructed to write about a negative drinking experience reported intending to drink fewer drinks per week and to engage in marginally fewer heavy drinking episodes compared to those in the control condition. No significant overall differences were found in intentions to drink among those in the positive writing condition and those in the control group. Further examination of the data looking at light versus heavy drinkers revealed mixed evidence, suggesting that writing about positive drinking events was associated with greater intentions to drink among heavier drinkers. This is unlikely to be problematic in considering further evaluation of the EW approach.

With respect to the negative condition, intervention effects were mixed. Based on the drinking composite, writing about a negative event was associated with lower drinking frequency intentions for lighter drinkers and higher drinking frequency intentions for heavier drinkers. In contrast, based on AUDIT scores, writing about a negative event was associated with lower intentions to engage in heavy drinking episodes among more hazardous drinkers and did not differ from control among less hazardous drinkers. Based on these results, screening criteria for this approach should be based on AUDIT scores rather than an index of consumption.

Results should be considered in light of some important limitations. We conceived this study as a first step in examining the potential for the EW narrative approach. As such, we did not evaluate actual drinking at follow-up. Second, the instructions for the writing task were very simple and did not reflect much direction. Previous research that has found positive effects for EW interventions have typically included more detailed instructions regarding content, therefore revised instructions might result in a more effective approach. For example, it might be more effective to ask individuals to write about a time they had a lot to drink and did something they were ashamed of or felt guilty about. The approach might also be more effective if multiple samples of negative events were written about and if they were distributed over time.

While the present results provide some encouragement for pursuing this approach, qualitative examination of the narratives suggest avenues for improvement. We noted in reviewing narratives that individuals instructed to write about negative events sometimes wrote about events that did not seem to have very negative outcomes. In contrast, some of the positive narratives did not seem very positive and/or were accompanied by justification of behavior.

Based on the present empirical findings as well as informal review of narrative content, there is support for continuing refinement of the approach. Informal review of the narratives revealed evidence of “change thought” and statements that were similar to Barnett and colleagues' (2006) ideas related to teachable moments. Future work could more thoroughly evaluate narrative content and examine “change thought” as a potential mediator of intervention effects. In addition, more specific instructions could be constructed to better facilitate change statements. In sum, the present research provided some preliminary support for the approach and identified important constraints, which will need to be taken into account in subsequent adaptations of this approach.

Acknowledgments

Role of Funding Sources: Funding for this study was provided by the NIAAA Grants R01AA014576 and F31AA020442. NIAAA had no role in the study design, collection, analysis or interpretation of the data, writing the manuscript, or the decision to submit the paper for publication.

Preparation of this article was supported by National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism Grants R01AA014576 and F31AA020442.

References


- Aiken, L.S.; West, S.G. Multiple regression: Testing and interpreting interactions. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications; 1991.
- Ames SC, Patten CA, Offord KP, Pennebaker JW, Croghan IT, Tri DM, Hurt RD, et al. Expressive writing intervention for young adult cigarette smokers. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*. 2005; 61:1555–1570. [PubMed: 16193479]
- Ames SC, Patten CA, Werch CE, Schroeder DR, Stevens SR, Fredrickson PA, Hurt RD, et al. Expressive writing as a smoking cessation treatment adjunct for young adult smokers. *Nicotine & Tobacco Research*. 2007; 9:185–194. [PubMed: 17365749]
- Babor TF, Higgins-Biddle JC, Saunders JB, Monteiro MG. AUDIT: The alcohol use disorders identification test guidelines for use in primary care. 2001
- Baer, J.S. Etiology and secondary prevention of alcohol problems with young adults. In: Baer, J.; Marlatt, G.; McMahon, R., editors. Addictive behaviors across the life span: Prevention, treatment, and policy issues. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications, Inc; 1993. p. 111-137.
- Bandura, A. Social learning theory. Englewood Cliffs, NJ US: Prentice-Hall; 1977.
- Bandura, A. The social foundations of thought and action. Englewood Cliffs, NJ US: Prentice-Hall; 1986.

- Barnett NP, Goldstein AL, Murphy JG, Colby SM, Monti PM. "I'll never drink like that again": characteristics of alcohol-related incidents and predictors of motivation to change in college students. *Journal of Studies on Alcohol*. 2006; 67:754–763. [PubMed: 16847545]
- Cohen, J.; Cohen, P.; West, SG.; Aiken, LS. *Applied multiple regression/correlation analysis for the behavioral sciences*. 3rd. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum; 2003.
- Collins RL, Parks GA, Marlatt GA. Social determinants of alcohol consumption: The effects of social interaction and model status on the self-administration of alcohol. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*. 1985; 53:189–200. [PubMed: 3998247]
- Craft MA, Davis GC, Paulson RM. Expressive writing in early breast cancer survivors. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*. 2013; 69:305–315. [PubMed: 22494086]
- Francis ME, Pennebaker JW. Putting stress into words. The impact of writing on physiological, absentee, and self-reported emotional well-being measures. *American Journal of Health Promotion*. 1992; 6:280–287. [PubMed: 10146806]
- Fromme K, Stroot E, Kaplan D. Comprehensive effects of alcohol: Development and psychometric assessment of a new expectancy questionnaire. *Psychological Assessment*. 1993; 5:19–26.
- Goldman, MS.; Reich, RR.; Darkes, J. Expectancy as a Unifying Construct in Alcohol-Related Cognition. In: Wiers, RW.; Stacy, AW., editors. *Handbook of implicit cognition and addiction*. Thousand Oaks, CA US: Sage Publications, Inc; 2006. p. 105-119.
- Harvey AG, Farrell C. The efficacy of a Pennebaker-like writing intervention for poor sleepers. *Behavioral Sleep Medicine*. 2003; 1:115–124. [PubMed: 15600133]
- Hingson R, Heeren T, Winter M, Wechsler H. Magnitude of alcohol-related mortality and morbidity among U.S. college students ages 18-24: Changes from 1998 to 2001. *Annual Review of Public Health*. 2005; 26:259–279.
- Hingson RW. Magnitude and prevention of college drinking and related problems. *Alcohol Research & Health*. 2010; 33:45–54. [PubMed: 23579935]
- Jones BT, Corbin W, Fromme K. A review of expectancy theory and alcohol consumption. *Addiction*. 2001; 96:57–72. [PubMed: 11177520]
- Kaysen D, Neighbors C, Martell J, Fossos N, Larimer ME. Incapacitated rape and alcohol use: A prospective analysis. *Addictive Behaviors*. 2006; 31:1820–1832. [PubMed: 16446044]
- Lumley MA, Provenzano KM. Stress management through emotional disclosure improves academic performance among college students with physical symptoms. *Journal of Educational Psychology*. 2003; 95:641–649.
- Maisto, SA.; Carey, KB.; Bradizza, CM. Social learning theory. In: Leonard, K.; Blane, H., editors. *Psychological theories of drinking and alcoholism*. 2nd. New York, NY: US: Guilford Press; 1999. p. 106-163.
- Marlatt, GA.; Baer, JS.; Larimer, ME. Preventing alcohol abuse in college students: A harm-reduction approach. In: Boyd, G.; Howard, J.; Zucker, R., editors. *Alcohol Problems among Adolescents: Current Directions in Prevention Research*. Mahwah NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum; 1995. p. 147-172.
- Pennebaker JW, Beall SK. Confronting a traumatic event. Toward an understanding of inhibition and disease. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*. 1986; 95:274–281. [PubMed: 3745650]
- Pennebaker JW, Kiecolt-Glaser JK, Glaser R. Disclosure of traumas and immune function. Health implications for psychotherapy. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*. 1988; 56:239–245. [PubMed: 3372832]
- Smyth JM, Arigo D. Recent evidence supports emotion-regulation interventions for improving health in at-risk and clinical populations. *Current Opinion in Psychiatry*. 2009; 22:205–210. [PubMed: 19553877]
- Smyth, JM.; Greenberg, MA. Scriptotherapy: The effects of writing about traumatic events. In: Masling, J.; Duberstein, P., editors. *Psychodynamic perspectives on sickness and health*. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association; 2000. p. 121-164.
- Smyth J, Helm R. Focused expressive writing as self-help for stress and trauma. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*. 2003; 59:227–235. [PubMed: 12552631]
- Spera SP, Buhrfeind ED, Pennebaker JW. Expressive writing and coping with job loss. *Academy of Management Journal*. 1994; 37:722–733.

- Stanton AL, Danoff-Burg S, Sworowski LA, Collins CA, Branstetter AD, Rodriguez-Hanley A, Kirk SB, Austenfeld JL. Randomized, controlled trial of written emotional expression and benefit finding in breast cancer patients. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*. 2002; 20:4160–4168. [PubMed: 12377959]
- Wall A, Thrussell C, Lalonde RN. Do alcohol expectancies become intoxicated outcomes? A test of social-learning theory in a naturalistic bar setting. *Addictive Behaviors*. 2003; 28:1271–1283. [PubMed: 12915168]

Highlights

- An expressive writing intervention was used to reduce future drinking intentions.
- Participants were given either a positive, negative, or neutral narrative prompt.
- Writing about a negative drinking event led to decreased intended drinks per week.
- This decrease in drinking intentions was found for those with high AUDIT scores.

**Figure 1.**

A The effect of writing about a positive heavy drinking experience on intended drinking frequency over the next three months as a function of baseline typical drinking. *Figure 1.B.* The effect of writing about a positive heavy drinking experience on intended quantity during a typical occasion as a function of baseline typical drinking. *Figure 1.C.* The effect of writing about a negative heavy drinking experience on intended drinking frequency as a function of baseline typical drinking. *Figure 1D.* The effect of writing about a negative heavy drinking experience on intended number of heavy drinking episodes as a function of baseline hazardous drinking (i.e., AUDIT scores). * $p < .05$. ** $p < .01$. $^{\dagger}p < .10$.

Table 1

Hierarchical regression models including intervention \times typical drinking (baseline and hazardous) predicting intended future alcohol use.

Criterion	Step	Predictor	Typical Baseline Drinking			Typical Hazardous Drinking		
			t	β	p	t	β	p
Intended Drinks per Week	1	Positive condition	-1.61	-.134	.108	-1.61	-.134	.108
		Negative condition	-2.73	-.227	.007	-2.73	-.227	.007
	2	Positive condition	-1.36	-.083	.177	-.76	-.076	.450
		Negative condition	-1.87	-.114	.063	-.50	-.052	.620
		Typical drinking	8.05	.732	<.001	6.09	.730	<.001
		Positive \times typical drinking	-.32	-.024	.750	-.46	-.056	.643
Intended Drinking Frequency	1	Positive condition	-.08	.007	.938	.08	.007	.938
		Negative condition	-.83	-.070	.408	-.83	-.070	.408
	2	Positive condition	.34	.023	.735	-.79	-.087	.428
		Negative condition	.02	.001	.986	-.40	-.046	.692
		Typical drinking	3.29	.336	.001	3.35	.442	.001
		Positive \times typical drinking	2.84	.235	.005	1.32	.176	.187
Intended Number of Drinks during Typical Occasion	1	Positive condition	.14	.012	.892	.14	.012	.892
		Negative condition	-.32	-.027	.751	-.32	-.027	.751
	2	Positive condition	.48	.02	.632	-1.03	-.107	.304
		Negative condition	.84	.056	.402	-.28	-.030	.784
		Typical drinking	4.26	.424	<.001	3.74	.454	<.001
		Positive \times typical drinking	2.99	.242	.003	1.71	.214	.090
Intended Number of Heavy Drinking Occasions	1	Negative \times typical drinking	1.11	.089	.268	.62	.074	.536
		Positive condition	-1.48	-.125	.140	-1.48	-.125	.140
	2	Negative condition	-1.73	-.145	.086	-1.73	-.145	.086
		Positive condition	-1.16	-.082	.247	.01	.001	.989
		Negative condition	-.83	-.059	.406	1.01	.109	.313
		Typical drinking	5.14	.542	<.001	6.50	.806	<.001

Criterion	Typical Baseline Drinking			Typical Hazardous Drinking		
	Step	Predictor	<i>t</i>	β	<i>p</i>	<i>p</i>
		Positive \times typical drinking	.75	.064	.455	.220
		Negative \times typical drinking	-.33	-.028	.742	.017